

UN Climate Change Regime:
World government
By 2015 or earlier

By Dave Lucas, BA , Mdiv.

Table of Contents

Introduction	5
The purpose of this analysis	6
Abbreviations and Acronyms related to the UN Climate Change Regime	7
Definitions	8
The first World Wide Regime	9
Full Circle: back to One World Regime	10
Competing worldviews.....	10
Modern day advances seen as a threat	13
Mother Earth exalted	14
Beginnings of the Climate Change Regime (decade of environment)	17
Rachel Carson <i>Silent Spring</i>	18
Gaylord Nelson Earth Day.....	19
Paul R. Ehrlich .. <i>Population Bomb</i>.....	20
Roe v. wade Population control	21
Barry Commoner ..<i>The Closing Circle</i>	22
Dr. James E. Lovelock GAIA Theory	23
Dr. Robert Muller.....	24
Maurice Strong	28
Mikhail Gorbachev.....	30
Al Gore	32
Steven Schneider.....	33
Gro Harlan Bruntland Our Common Future	33
Club of Rome .. <i>Mankind at the Turning Point</i>	34
Council on Foreign Relations.....	39
UNFPA	
World Population Report Facing a changing World:	41
Historical background of the Climate Change Regime	43
The Clean Air Act	44
The Endangered Species Act	44
Beginning of the UNFCCC Climate Change Regime.....	51
Skeptics Observation	53
First Scientist to Raise Concern.....	53
Religious Prejudice	53
The United Nations Framework on Climate Change Convention.....	54
President signs UNFCCC Treaty.....	59
Sustainable Development: Agenda 21.....	60
UNFCCC Volunteer Cooperation.....	66
Move Toward a Legally Binding Agreement.....	67
Kyoto Protocol.....	67
U.S. Not bound by Kyoto	68
Implementation of Kyoto emphasized.....	68
Summary of Energy Policy Act 2005.....	69
Volunteer Cooperation Not Enough.....	69
Big Companies Global Impact.....	70
Evidence of Financial Terrorism.....	70

Bali Action Plan	71
EPA Involvement	72
Old Car Incentives.....	72
What EPA CO2 finding does not say.....	73
Demonstrable Progress in curbing Greenhouse Emissions.....	73
Fast Track Compliance with UNFCCC Climate Change Regime.....	75
United Nations and Climate Change related Czars.....	75
Mandatory Reporting by EPA.....	80
Who Has Access.....	82
EPA Regulations on Coal burning Power Plants.....	82
The Copenhagen UNFCCC COP 15.....	89
The Cancun UNFCCC COP 16.....	92
Legally Binding is the Key.....	93
Record Setting Contributions	93
New Bodies, Institutions, and Committees established by UNFCCC COP 16.....	94
Durban UNFCCC COP 17 Implementing the Climate Change Regime.....	97
Durban Enhanced Plan of Action: The Durban Deal.....	98
An International Climate Court of Justice.....	100
False Proposition.....	101
Lies and Deception.....	101
Real Scientists.....	102
ERBE Satellite Data.....	103
CERN Experiment.....	103
Growing Scientific Opposition to Man Caused Cataclysmic Climate Change.....	104
When Science is not in Your Favor Lie	105
Undeniable Truth.....	106
Lie Harder and Ridicule Honest Real Scientists.....	107
Its All about the Money.....	108
Implementing the Climate Change Regime	110
The Pillars of Agenda 21	110
Agenda 21: First Pillar implemented April 2009 FSB established.....	111
The UNFCCC Climate Change Regime Connection.....	113
What did the Financial Stability Board FSB and G20 Accomplish in Italy.....	113
Who are members of the G20.....	116
Who are members of the FSB.....	116
Agenda 21: Second Pillar Implemented Social Equity.....	118
Agenda 21: Third Pillar Being Implemented Environmental Controls.....	120
Financial involvement affects environmental Scientific Assessments.....	121
Create a Crisis to Manipulate Society by fear, intimidation, and misinformation.....	121
Agenda 21: Fourth Pillar Law: Establishes The Climate Change Regime.....	124
Scope and application of Universal Jurisdiction.....	124
Action Taken By sixth Committee.....	125
The Only Question is When.....	127
The Rio+20 Conference on Environment and Development The Future We Want.....	128
Rio+20 Draft International Covenant on Environment and Development	135
Intentional Planned Deception stated in ICED foreword	137

Articles of the International Covenant on Environment and Development	140
Treason is prosecutable by law!	150
Elements of the Communist Party USA Agenda implemented by Obama.....	152
Enemies within key to loss of Sovereignty.....	156
Federal Lawsuits used to destroy border enforcement sovereignty.....	158
Internationalizing U.S. constitutional law.....	163
Obama's Illegal Immigration Policy Directives Part of Climate Change Regime Implementation.....	165
E O 13524 International Police Force receives Full Diplomatic Immunity	167
Climate Change Regime Police Force in Place	173
Executive Orders, Directives, and Policy, undermining U. S. Sovereignty	174
"Memorandum for The Secretary of State".....	174
Executive Order 13499	175
Executive Order 13506 Establishing a "White House Council on Women and Girls" March 11, 2009.....	176
E O 13507 - Establishment of the White House Office of Health Reform	182
E O 13528 Establishment of the Council of Governors	186
E O 13575 The white House Rural Council 6/9/2011.....	193
EO 13600 Establishing President's Global Development Council	195
EO 13601 Establishment of Interagency Trade Enforcement Center	196
EO 13602 White House Council on Strong Cities, Strong Communities ...	197
E O 13603 National Defense Resources Preparedness.....	199
EO 13604 Improving Performance of Federal Permitting and Review of Infrastructure Projects	203
EO 13610 Identifying and Reducing Regulatory Burdens	204
Executive Orders of succession	204
EO 13618 Assignment of National Security and Emergency Preparedness Communications Functions	205
High Level Traitor Leaks Highly Classified Information	206
The Climate Change Regime Christ	208
The Great Invocation and the United Nations Maitreya.....	209
The Iranian Connection 12th Imam	217
Some in Congressmen concerned about Muslim Brotherhood Influence.....	225
Do Islamic extremist groups still pose a mortal threat to the U. S.?	227
The General's Concern	233
Obama Tutankhamun's Mideast timeline.....	235
The total absurdity of not demanding accountability	237
The Climate Change Regime Christ is Islam's Imam Mahdi	238
The legally binding Instrument is adopted: what then?.....	241
The Last Word.....	243

Introduction

Any time anyone takes time to compile an analysis of a problem there are always those who wonder why. While there are numerous reasons that we could list **the final straw**, so to speak, that has motivated this author **was a decision by the United Nations Framework of Climate Change Convention** in Durban South Africa on **December 11, 2011**. "The 194-party conference agreed to start negotiations on **a new accord** that would put **all countries under the same legal regime enforcing commitments** to control greenhouse gases." (Arthur Max, "Climate Conference president says agreement reached on course for future global warming talks," The Associated Press 12/11/ 2011) This "**universal legal agreement** on climate change" is supposed to be reached "as soon as possible, but no later than 2015." (www.un.org/en/ Durban conference delivers ...; 12/11/2012)

This analysis is also written because on April 7, 2009 the **Office of Intelligence and Analysis Assessment** in coordination with the FBI released a study entitled, "**Rightwing Extremism: Current Economic and Political Climate Fueling Resurgence in Radicalization and Recruitment**". This document states that, "**Conspiracy theories** involving, declarations of martial law, impending civil strife or racial conflict, suspension of the U. S. Constitution and the creation of citizen detention camps" can be causes for motivating "extremist individuals and groups to stockpile food, ammunition, and weapons. Some of the extremist groups listed are "violent **Christian Identity organizations**" (p. 4) and "**disgruntled military veterans**." (p.7) One of the "**Perceived**" threats listed is a "**New World Order** " that "**would bring about a world government that would usurp the sovereignty of the United States and its Constitution**, thus infringing upon their liberty." (p.6)

Since the December 11, 2011, when the gathering of 194 countries at the COP 18 meeting of the UN Framework Convention of Climate Change (UNFCCC) voted on the "Durban Deal" to **put all countries under the same legally binding Climate Change Regime by 2015**, there can now be no doubt we are close to a "New World Order". **There is a published, acknowledged agenda** to bind "**all parties**" under, what has been described by the current and past UNFCCC Executive Secretaries as, the "**Climate Change Regime**." (Yvo de Boer Bonn, 18 May 2007, Christiana Figueres Bonn, 17 June, 2011)

No one can say that the plan to implement a "rules based" Climate Change Regime is a "conspiracy theory" or only a "perceived threat" because it has been openly publicized, discussed, planned, and advocated. Therefore, it behooves us to analyze this declared agenda.

The purpose of this analysis

This analysis is written to **explore how the world can be brought under a global rules based government**, even when the majority do not want this to happen.

This document is written to reveal from published documents (most of which are available on the internet) that **there is a plan to implement a Climate Change Global (One World) Regime (rule, government, governance)**. **This is not a conspiracy theory it is a documented fact. Being informed about the facts is meant to inform not to incite. To fail to plan is planning to fail.**

This document is also written to reveal that **part of the agenda is anti industrialization, anti fossil fuel use, anti coal use, anti using too much water to shower, anti capitalism, and anti USA consumption patterns in general**. Specifically, **according to the Climate Change Regime**, industrialized (Annex I) nations (USA in particular) have contributed to pollution and now **owe a climate debt that they must pay** to undeveloped, unindustrialized nations.

This document is written to establish **the fact that fraud science is being used** to support the pretention that the world is in the throes of a critical Climate Crisis that demands immediate, and urgent action.

This document is written to establish that **there is a religious agenda at the root of the Climate Change Regime**. This religious root **blames perceived Judeo - Christian world view for the modern industrialized society that has caused untold damage to Mother Earth and the climate**. The religious base of the Climate change regime exalts Mother Earth and intends to hold civilized humanity accountable and make them pay for supposed damages.

This document intends to reveal that **the establishment of the G20 and the "financial stability board" is global financial governance**. The **stated goal of the G20 is a "Green Economy"** and a document has already been agreed upon that implements the pillars of a global "Government" controlled by the COP.

This document intends to document that the Green Economy **Climate Change Regime and Sustainable Development: Agenda 21 rules are being and have been being implemented** into the US laws, statutes, and ordinances at the national, state and local levels.

We will reveal **the use of legal maneuvering to compromise the sovereignty of the USA**, in particular, and the world in general. It will be revealed that this has actually been discussed in published documents and is part of the current agenda.

We will establish that **there is a declared world ruler called Maitreya, also known as the islamic 12th Imam Mahdi**, who has not yet declared his true status to the world.

Finally, it will be revealed that **there is a world governing legal document for the Climate Change Regime that has been being prepared since March 13, 1995, has**

been revised twice, and is, no doubt, being given its final revision to be put in place as early as possible but no later than 2015.

Abbreviations and Acronyms related to the UN Climate Change Regime (used throughout UN documents and communications)

CBD	Convention on Biological Diversity
CIFs	Climate Investment Funds
CDM	Clean (low Carbon) Development Mechanism
COP	Conference of the Parties
CSD	UN Commission on Sustainable Development
CSR	Corporate Social Responsibility
CTE	Committee on Trade and Environment
CTF	Clean Technology Fund
DSD	Division for Sustainable Development
ECOFIN	Economic and Financial Committee
ECOSOC	Economic and Social Council
EMG	Environmental Management Group
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency USA
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
GATT	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
GEF	Global Environment Facility
GEO	Global Environment Organization
GMEF	Global Ministerial Environment Forum
GRI	Global Reporting Initiative
IACSD	Inter-Agency Committee on Sustainable Development
ICE	International Court for the Environment
IEG	International Environmental Governance
IETA	International Emissions Trading Association
IFC	International Finance Corporation
IFI	International Financial Institution
IGM	Intergovernmental Group of Ministers
ILO	International Labor Organization
IMF	International Monetary Fund
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
JPOI	Johannesburg Plan of Implementation
MDGs	Millennium Development Goals
MEAs	Multilateral Environmental Agreements
MEF	Major Economies Forum
NAMAs	
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
PIC	Prior Informed Consent
PP	Precautionary Principle
REDD+	Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation in Developing Countries
SCF	Strategic Climate Fund
SDGs	Sustainable Development Goals

UNCCD UN Convention to Combat Desertification
 UNCED UN Conference on Environment and Development
 UNCSD UN Conference on Sustainable Development
 UNCTAD UN Conference on Trade and Development
 UNDESA UN Department for Economic and Social Affairs
 UNDG UN Development Group
 UNDP United Nations Development Program
 UNECE UN Economic Commission for Europe
 UNEO UN Environment Organization
 UNEP United Nations Environment Program
 UNFCCC UN Framework Convention on Climate Change
 WB World Bank
 WEO World Environment Organization
 WHO World Health Organization
 WSSD World Summit on Sustainable Development
 WTO World Trade Organization

Definitions

The definition of a word or term is often the key to understanding meaning and interpreting intent in any printed or published work. Since we are considering a **climate control government** it would be good to see how this and other related terms are used by key publications of the UN.

Govern: Consider the following quote. "Governments and observers generally agree that the" UNFCCC "took a **step in the right direction in Cancun** at the sixteenth Conference of the Parties (COP-16) and the sixth Conference of the Parties serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (COP/MOP-6) in December 2010 in **setting the foundation of a comprehensive framework to govern the world's efforts to reduce emissions and to adapt to a changing climate**" (Remi Moncel and others, "Building The Climate Change Regime: Survey and analysis of Approaches"; *UNEP WRSI* 2011 p. 2)

The usage of **govern** here and in other UN documents appears to fit with the *Webster's New World Dictionary: Second College Edition, 1970* definition of "govern" "to exercise authority over; rule, administer, direct, control, manage, etc." p.604

Government: Consider the following quote: "The **government will be ruled by the COP** with the support of a new subsidiary body on adaptation, and of an **Executive Board responsible for the management of the new funds and the related facilitative processes and bodies.**" (United Nations Framework for Climate Change Convention; FCCC/AWGLCA/2009/INF.2 15 September 2009 p. 18)

This usage agrees with *Webster's* definition of "**government** 1. a) the **exercise, of authority over** a state, district, organization, institution, etc; direction; control; **rule; management.** 2.a) a system of ruling, controlling" (*Webster's* p. 605)

Governance: Consider the implications of this heading "Strengthening **international governance** for sustainable development." After discussing the weakness of the Commission on Sustainable Development that was "often focusing primarily on environmental aspects and thus neglecting broader economic and social aspects of sustainable development," the report recommends "**what is needed is an institutional**

architecture". This new institution will have a "higher institutional stature, with relevance to attract the engagement of leaders at the highest level and attain universal legitimacy." More will be said about this "institution" later but it is obvious that any committee, group, or person that has international governance capabilities would by definition be a government. (*Resilient People, Resilient Planet: A future worth choosing*, "The report of the United Nations Secretary-General's High-level Panel on Global Sustainability", p. 77)

Regime: Consider the following quote: "**We define climate regime as the set of international, national, and sub-national institutions and actors involved in addressing climate change. We seek to identify concrete pathways for building a regime capable of delivering a level of action consistent with the objective of the Convention.**" (Remi etc. Building; p. 3)

This usage appears to agree with Webster's definition of "**regime 1. a) a political system b) a form or manner of government or rule 2. a social system or order 3. the period of time that a person or system is in power**" (*Webster's* . p. 1195)

Babel: The first World Wide Regime

There was a time when the world actually was under one Regime (government), with one goal. The ancient Biblical account of the Tower of Babel was that time. The story begins with **Nimrod** "who began to be a mighty one on the earth," and "**the beginning of his kingdom was Babel...**" (Genesis 10: 8-10)

We have stated that this time was the first Unified global Regime for the following reasons.

(1) The "**whole earth had one language and one speech.**" (Genesis 11: 1) **Unified communications** means no one has an excuse that they can't understand the plan.

(2) **They were unified in their location.** They were on "a plain in the land of Shinar." (Gen 11:2) Water was no doubt available but they were not encroaching on wet lands or sensitive habitat.

(3) **They had a consensus agreed upon plan**, "make bricks, bake them thoroughly", and using tar for mortar "build ourselves a city," (Gen. 11: 4) Their **development was sustainable because they had vast unpopulated, undeveloped, wild lands to maintain biodiversity and environmental balance.** They would all stay in a very tight knit, compact city. According to the biblical account, there were no other people, therefore over population was not a problem.

(4) **They had a vision**, "a tower that reaches (or whose top is in) the heavens," **man in control not God.** The original Hebrew can be translated "whose top represents the heavens".

In the book *The Fortune Sellers*, author Gary Wilburn says, "What they were building was a tower of astrological worship". (pub. by Regal, 1972, p. 39)

(5) **They had a goal** "make a name for ourselves." With self exaltation there is no need for God.

(6) **They had their agenda** "not be scattered over the whole earth".

(God had given the instructions to, "Be fruitful and increase in numbers and **fill the earth.**"(Genesis 9: 1)

While we are not sure why the people did not want to be scattered we may surmise that scattering would mean individualism and people owning and using their own property. Scattering would have done harm to more of Mother Earth and made her angry.

The Babel culture was a cohesive whole or collective. Collectivism cannot allow individuality, it demands unity even in the midst of diversity.

With all of their determination and effort the tower culture knew that they could reach their goals, but things don't always go as planned.

The biblical account informs us that the Creator God of the Judeo - Christian worldview observed mans efforts to defy Him. He was not pleased with what He observed. He therefore confused the language, and scattered the people bringing an end to, what they may have considered, their utopian **plans**.

Full Circle: back to One World Regime

Whether one believes the biblical account or not, basically mankind has come full circle. (1) **Once again language is no longer the great barrier** it once was.

(2) Through technology communication is virtually instant **with out all people being in one place.** The speed and video capabilities of the internet have made face to face communications instantaneous and the world is seen as a "global village".

(3) There is an **agreed upon plan** The plan is to have **all the 194 Country Parties to the United Nations for Climate Change Convention (UNFCCC) under an agreement with legal force, known as the Durban Deal. The bricks and mortar of this regime are the framework established by the UNFCCC treaty, and Sustainable Development: Agenda 21.**

(4) The stated "shared vision for long-term cooperative action" focuses on "substantially reducing global greenhouse emissions" "to continue to work towards and identifying a global goal" which is "to be considered at its eighteenth session," (Draft decision CP.17 AHWG on AT CA, "A shared vision...")

(5) The new stated goal is to make a name for the UN by fundamentally changing our world economy from a fossil fuel based hi carbon economy to a "green" renewable energy based, low carbon economy. Thus, "the ultimate objective of the Convention will require strengthening the multilateral, rules based regime under the Convention" and adopting "a protocol, another legal instrument or an agreed outcome with legal force under the Convention applicable to all the Parties" "... as early as possible but no later than 2015". (Ibid.)

(6) The agenda is to implement this new Climate Change Regime through a multitude of legal instruments and agreements by Parties to the UN Convention. This is accomplished in the Party states, nations, and provinces by incorporating Agenda 21 into national, state, and local laws, rules, and regulations.

Competing Worldviews

Through out history there has been a titanic struggle between two competing and contradictory plans for mankind. These competing and contradictory plans for mankind are also revealed in the position and authority of man in creation.

One view, **associated with the Judeo-Christian position, places mankind in a unique position of authority over the planet, and its creatures.** The Biblical record says that after God made mankind, He blessed them, and said, "**be fruitful and multiply; fill the earth and subdue it; have dominion** over the fish of the sea, over the birds of the air, and over every living thing that moves on the earth." (Genesis 1: 28 NKJV)

Bernard Ramm, in his book "**The Christian View of Science and the Scripture**" writes that if a Christian should write a scientific or **environmental text-book** "it would be practically identical to the one written by a non-Christian save for a note in the preface which might say (i) **matter is created by God; (ii) the laws of Nature are as they are because God so made them;** and (iii) the **rationality of both man and the universe derive from the same God.**" (Wm B. Eerdmans Pub. Co.1976, p.24) (This is a pre environmental movement book.)

Dr. Ramm once again states the obvious, "**It is not possible to separate the theological and ethical teachings of the Bible from references to Nature.**" (*Ibid.* p. 26) With these things being understood, The real **Christian world view has always advocated the responsible handling of the earth and its resources as part of their responsibility to God.** Christians intend to preserve the world, sustain it, and leave it to the next generation for their use and care.

From the Christian worldview, since God is the maker of all things, He is also the final judge of humankind's stewardship and relationship to the earth. Specifically, **God**, through the Bible writers, **consistently warns against worshipping the creation** through any of the various deities, Asherah, Astarte, Baal, Molech, etc. relative to their times (see for example Jeremiah 2, Leviticus 20: 2, Judges 3: 7). The Christian world view is presented by the Apostle Paul in Romans 2: 18- 25 where he sums up his statement by saying, "They exchanged the truth of God for a lie, and worshiped and served created things rather than the Creator..." (NIV)

Robert S. Ellwood Jr. writes about two contrasting world views of reality in his book "**Religious and Spiritual Groups in Modern America.**" He writes, "**On one hand**, there was, both among the ancient **Hebrews and the Greeks** of the Homeric era, an **assumption that men or tribes are each separate entities living and acting in the stream of world history and dominant over nature.** This orientation, different from that of the East, **led to the unique contributions of Western man to world culture**, and as well to certain evils of the West." (Ellwood, Prentice-hall, inc.1973 p. 42) (This is a pre-environmental movement book.)

The **Creation centered view exalts creation** and makes man, at best, only an individual part of the whole. For instance, humans have rights but so do cats, dogs, rocks, trees, bugs, and the earth itself as a collective whole. Elwood writes "**On the other hand**, the West has also known a view, traceable to early contact both with India and

Asiatic shamanism, developed in Platonism and Neoplatonism, ... Grounded in Platonic wonder and amazement at Being itself, it sees the soul as separate from the body, and, **man as a part of nature in a monistic cosmos.**" (*Ibid.*) Speaking of this view, he later says, "we may call **it the alternative reality tradition**, meaning an alternative view and experience of reality." (*Ibid.*)

The roots of the **alternative reality** view are as old as all religions and traditions that worship the creation with its seasons. For instance, "**Great Mother religion**, popular across the Mediterranean, was basically Syrian though **the goddess went by innumerable names - Astarte, Ishtar, Cybele, Isis**, etc. (*Ibid.* p. 47) "Isis represents **the Great Mother** of a thousand names in **a more benign form as a protecting and rejuvenating force.**" (*Ibid.* p. 48) One should not forget, however, that ancient nature based religions **had both male and female deities** representing everything from the sun, moon, seasons, fertility, magic, life, death, etc. al.

The **Climate Change Regime**, known also as the Environmental movement, sustainable development, Agenda 21 **comes from the religious bias of a specific world view of reality, as revealed in many articles, decisions, discussions, requests and demands.**

In an article entitled "**Moral and Spiritual Approaches to Sustainable Scale**" by Sana-Barbara Family Foundation, the writer reviews what he considers the "**predominant Judeo-Christian worldview that influenced Europe for centuries.**" Then goes on to discuss the **other traditions** that he considers a "minority perspective," including Islamic, eastern religious, and Indigenous traditions". The article concludes, "The **current resurgence of stewardship concept** among the world's major spiritual traditions **is in direct contrast to the notion that humankind has a duty to subdue and exploit nature** - the worldview now dominant. The **stewardship concept recognizes the dependence of humankind on nature, and makes explicit our obligations to preserve and protect all creation.** Any activities that have the **potential to trigger an irrevocable collapse of the ecosystem services that support all life**, are clear violations of this obligation." (Moral and Spiritual ... www.sustainablescale.org/ConceptualFramework/understanding)

The writer of the above "**Moral and Spiritual Approaches**" article is in error on two counts. **First, the Judeo-Christian worldview is definitely not the dominant view on the basis of population, since they are in the minority.** The Judeo-Christian world view of man could only **be considered dominant in the countries that reached modern industrialization, and civilization.** **Second**, subduing nature does not mean "exploiting nature." The Bible never encourages nor condones the exploitation of nature or natural resources. Many of those **seeking to establish the Climate Change Regime** today seek to **blame the Judeo-Christian worldview** for being the **primary cause** of our supposed environmental problems.

John P. Holdren, one of US President Obama's Czars coauthored a book entitled *Ecoscience* in which he **advocated a "planetary regime". The regime that he envisions advocates population control by a global police force and blames the problem on the Judeo-Christian worldview.** The book states, "Lynn White, Jr.,

professor emeritus of history at the University of California, Los Angeles, and past president of the American Historical Association, has suggested that **the basic cause of Western society's destructive attitude toward nature lies in the Judeo-Christian tradition.** He pointed out, for instance, that **before the Christian era, people believed trees, springs, hills, streams, and other objects of nature had guardian spirits.** Those spirits had to be approached and placated before one could safely invade those territories: 'By destroying pagan animism, **Christianity made it possible to exploit nature in a mood of indifference to the feelings of natural objects.**'" P. 809
(www.prisonplanet.com/obama-science-advisor-called-for-plan...)

Modern day development seen as a threat

Those working for the sustainable development Climate Change Regime acknowledge the progresses of modern science and technology but see it as a threat. In an article entitled "**Dominant Myths**" it is stated, "The incredible advances in science and technology over the last century are truly remarkable. This explosion of knowledge is unprecedented in human history, and application in everyday lives of hundreds of millions of people has come to be taken for granted. The range and complexities of **these discoveries reinforce the belief that humans can subjugate nature**, and that whatever problems societies face, science and technology will provide solutions."
(www.sustainablescale.org/ConceptualFramework/CausesofScale...) While most people would think this "humans subjugating nature" would be a good thing, this "Myths" writer sees "**these dominant cultural worldviews**" as presenting a "**formidable obstacle to recognizing the scale issue as a potentially serious threat.**" In fact he declares, "There is no 'when to stop' rule in either neoclassical economic theory, or the Book of Genesis." The writer states that "**new myths**" must be considered which "run counter to a **now dominant myth**," which we have already been told is **the Judeo-Christian worldview.** (Ibid.)

It is astounding that the (UNEP) United Nations Environmental Program's "**Millennium Ecosystem Assessment**" is reviewed on the same website with the two previous articles entitled "Moral and Spiritual Approaches to Sustainable Scale" and "Dominant Myths". It should not surprise us the when one of the "Strength of the MA" is said to be "there is explicit recognition that **major changes in** economic activities, business operation, institutional and government decision making and life-style adjustments are **needed if ecosystem services are to be sustainable; economic growth is identified as one of the drivers of ecosystem decline**..." (Millennium Ecosystems Assessment, "Ibid.)

One recent UN General Assembly resolution entitled " **65/164 Harmony with Nature**" reveals a great deal more about **how far they go in blaming humanity** for environmental degradation. Two paragraphs read as follows:

" **Expressing its concern** about the documented environmental degradation and the negative impact on nature **resulting from human activity**,
"Recognizing that gross domestic product is not an adequate indicator for measuring environmental **degradation resulting from human activity**,
"Recognizing also that many ancient civilizations and indigenous cultures have

a rich history of understanding the **sybiotic connection between human beings and nature that** fosters a mutually beneficial relationship," (GA/res/65/164, 15 March, 2011)

The UN Secretary -General is requested to convene a special meeting April 20, 2012 "with the participation of Member States, United Nations organizations, independent experts and other stakeholders, to actively and effectively contribute to and support efforts in the preparatory process of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development, to be held in Brazil in 2012, on the following topics:

" (a) Ways to promote a holistic approach to **sustainable development in harmony with nature;**

(b) Sharing national experiences on criteria and indicators for **measuring sustainable development in harmony with nature;**" (Ibid.)

It is imperative to one's understanding of the Climate Change Regime to understand that the world view behind it exalts "Mother Earth" and blatantly contradicts the worldview that has given us a modern industrialized civilization.

The earlier parts of the GA 65/164 Harmony with Nature" resolution lead us to our next point.

Mother Earth exalted

Even if everyone in the **Climate Control Regime** is not totally opposed to the Judeo-Christian world view, that has brought us modern civilization and technological, industrialized society, **there can be no doubt that Mother Earth is being exalted by the majority.**

"In 2009 the UN General Assembly proclaimed 22 April as **International Mother Earth Day**, expressing its conviction that, to achieve a just balance among the **economic, social and environmental** needs of present and future generations, 'it is necessary to promote harmony with nature and earth.'" "Pointing to the upcoming UN Conference on Sustainable development, also known as (Rio+20), taking place in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, in June, Mr. Ban said that the event offers a timely chance for a **much-needed paradigm shift.**" According to Mr. Ban ki-moon, UN Secretary General, **"Mother Earth belongs to us all; Rio+20 is a once-in-a-generation opportunity that all of us must seize."** (UN News Centre, Ahead of International Mother Earth Day, UN officials highlight global concerns", 4/20/2012, www.un.org/appa/news...)

The International Institute for Sustainable Development website had an article about this year's **Mother Earth Day** and said that it, "promotes a **view of the Earth as the entity that sustains all living things found in nature**, honoring the Earth as a whole and **the place of humans within it.**" (UNGA, UN Secretary-General, and CBD, Mark international Mother Earth Day) This article tells us, "Opening the dialogue on 18 April 2012, Nassir Abdulaziz Al-Nasser, UNGA President, said future work on a new sustainable development paradigm "should be supported by a **globally recognized and coherent science base.**" He called on **scientists to help guide this new paradigm with findings that reveal the negative impacts of human activities on the planet,** from biodiversity loss to climate change, with particular attention to the regenerative capacity of these natural systems. (Ibid.)

Let us make three observations from this article on the official United Nations Sustainable Development website. **First, the definition of Earth as "the entity that sustains all living things" makes it equivalent to the deity Gaia. Second, real scientists should not have to be told what to find in their scientific research. Third, human impact on the earth has definitely not all been negative.** Any real research would see the vast positive impact humanity has had on earth.

The GA 65/164 "Resolution Adopted by the General Assembly reads as follows:

The General Assembly,

Reaffirming the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, Agenda 21, the Programme for the Further Implementation of Agenda 21, the Johannesburg Declaration on Sustainable Development and the Plan of Implementation of the World Summit on Sustainable Development ("Johannesburg Plan of Implementation"),

Recalling its resolution 64/196 of 21 December 2009 on Harmony with Nature and its resolution 63/278 of 22 April 2009, by which it designated 22 April as International Mother Earth Day,

Recalling also the 1982 World Charter for Nature,

Recalling further its resolution 47/193 of 22 December 1992, by which it declared 22 March the World Day for Water, its resolution 49/114 of 19 December 1994, by which it proclaimed 16 September the International Day for the Preservation of the Ozone Layer, its resolution 55/201 of 20 December 2000, in which it proclaimed 22 May the International Day for Biological Diversity, its resolution 61/193 of 20 December 2006 on the International Year of Forests, 2011, and its resolution 64/253 of 23 February 2010, entitled "International Day of Nowruz",

Noting the first Peoples' World Conference on Climate Change and the Rights of Mother Earth, hosted by the Plurinational State of Bolivia in Cochabamba from 20 to 22 April 2010," (Op. Cite)

The World People's Conference on Climate Change and the Rights of Mother Earth now has its own web site found at <http://www.motherearthrights.org>. This site has the "**Universal Declaration of The Rights of Mother Earth**" available for review. The "**Preamble**" calls "on the **General Assembly of the United Nation to adopt it, as a common standard of achievement for all peoples and all nations of the world,** and to the end that every individual and institution takes responsibility for promoting through teaching, education, and consciousness raising, respect for the rights recognized in this Declaration and ensure through prompt and progressive measures and mechanisms, national and international, their universal and effective recognition and observance among all peoples and States in the world." Article 1. Mother Earth reads as follows:

1. **Mother Earth is a living being.**
2. **Mother Earth is a unique, indivisible, self-regulating community of interrelated beings that sustains, contains and reproduces all beings.**
3. Each being is defined by its relationships as an integral part of Mother Earth.

4. **The inherent rights of Mother Earth are inalienable** in that they arise from the same source as existence.

5. Mother Earth and all beings are entitled to all the inherent rights recognized in this Declaration **without distinction of any kind, such as may be made between organic and inorganic beings, species, origin, use to human beings**, or any other status.

6. Just as human beings have human rights, all other beings also have rights which are specific to their species or kind and appropriate for their role and function within the communities within which they exist.

7. The rights of each being are limited by the rights of other beings and any conflict between their rights must be resolved in a way that maintains the integrity, balance and health of Mother Earth.

Article 2. "Inherent Rights of Mother Earth" starts with her right to "life", and continues with "respect", "to regenerate", "maintain its identity and integrity" and more." (Ibid)

Article 3. "Obligations of human beings to Mother Earth" begins with stating "Every human being is responsible for respecting and living in harmony with Mother Earth," and continues to declare what **"all" "human beings", "states", "public and private institutions must" do**. Included in these demands are: guaranteeing "that the **damages caused by human violations** of the inherent rights recognized in this Declaration **are rectified and that those responsible are held accountable for restoring the integrity and health of Mother Earth.**" Establishing **"precautionary and restrictive measures to prevent human activities from causing** species extinction, the destruction of ecosystems or the disruption of ecological cycles;" (Ibid.)

Lest anyone think that this emphasis on **Mother Earth** is just one fringe part of the **UN Climate Change Regime** consider the links that they have posted on the web site which are:

Links

- [Center for Earth Jurisprudence](#)
- [Community Environmental Legal Defense Fund](#)
- [Earth Charter in Action](#)
- [Earth System Science Partnership](#)
- [Global Footprint Network](#)
- [Living Planet Report](#)
- [One Planet Living](#)
- [Pachamama Alliance](#)
- [The Gaia Foundation](#)
- [The Peoples Declaration](#)

- [Wild Law](#)

Consider the Recent posts which are:

- [Submission by the Global Alliance for the Rights of Nature to Río+20](#)
- [Paraguay submission to Rio+20 proposes harmony with nature](#)
- [Ecuadorian Submission for Rio+20 proposes Universal Declaration of the Rights of Nature](#)
- [The Durban Package: "Laisser faire, laisser passer"](#)
- [One Year Since Cancun and Just Days Away from Durban: MORE THAN 4°C](#)

The Submission by the **Global Alliance for the Rights of Nature Río+20** article that is posted on the motherearthrights.org web site by **The Rights of Nature.org** is another glaring **example of just how vast this exaltation of Mother Earth goes**. According to this post it is "**representing 18 organizations from all continents,**" who "made a submission to the Río+20 process." "Some key issues they propose: and urge upon "the organizers of Earth Summit/Rio +20 are: "**to adopt the Universal Declaration of the rights of mother earth and to actively support its implementation through law;**" (therightsofnature.org, "Submission by the Global Alliance for the Rights of Nature to Rio+20", 22/12/2011, at <http://motherearthrights.org/2010/04/27/>)

We will deal with more of the Global alliance recommendations later under the "Pillar of Law".

The latest officially adopted statement from the United Nations conference on Sustainable Development, Rio+20 (June 20 - 22, 2012) is entitled "**The Future We Want**". The documents states in the following paragraphs:

39. We recognize that the planet Earth and its ecosystems are our home and that **Mother Earth is a common expression in a number of countries and regions and we note that some countries recognize the rights of nature** in the context of the promotion of sustainable development. **We are convinced that in order to achieve a just balance among the economic, social and environmental needs of present and future generations, it is necessary to promote harmony with nature.** 40. We call for holistic and integrated approaches to sustainable development which will guide humanity to live in harmony with nature and lead to efforts to restore the health and integrity of Earth's ecosystems." ([ny.un.org N1238164.pdf](http://ny.un.org/N1238164.pdf))

Although there is not a lot of elaboration in these statements they are very clear in their fundamental emphasis on Mother Earth. As one reviews Agenda 21 and the many Environmental statements made by the UN especially through the UNFCCC it becomes increasingly apparent that religion must be the basis of much of this regime.

Beginnings of the Climate Change Regime (Environmental Movement)

Wikipedia's discussion of the "Environmental Movement" starts by saying, "The **environmental movement**, a term that includes the [conservation](#) and [green](#)

[politics](#), is a diverse scientific, social, and [political movement](#) for addressing [environmental issues](#)." (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Environmental_movement)

Some of the most influential people credited with either starting or greatly influencing the environmental movement are shared here with the understanding that many more could be added.

Rachel Carson

Rachel Carson **had become concerned about the effect of pesticides, DDT particularly, as early as the 1940s**, when anti-pest campaigns had been part of the Pacific war effort. She had already begun collecting research on the matter and calling others' attention to it when a 1957 lawsuit against the U.S. Department of Agriculture regarding **aerial spraying over Long Island caught her attention and mobilized her to embark on the project that would eventually become *Silent Spring***. However, she says that **the impetus for *Silent Spring* was a letter she received, written by her friend Olga Huckins in January 1958**, decrying the death of many birds around her property after an aerial application of DDT to kill mosquitoes. (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Silent_Spring)

Silent Spring, written by [Rachel Carson](#) and published by [Houghton Mifflin](#) on September 27, 1962, **is widely credited with helping launch the [environmental movement](#)**. "[The New Yorker](#) started serializing *Silent Spring* in June 1962, and it was published in book form (with illustrations by [Lois](#) and [Louis Darling](#)) by [Houghton Mifflin](#) later that year." When the book *Silent Spring* was published, Carson was already a well-known writer on natural history, but had not previously been known a social critic.

"The book documented detrimental effects of pesticides on the environment, particularly on birds. Carson accused the [chemical industry](#) of spreading [disinformation](#), and public officials of accepting industry claims uncritically." (Ibid.)

After ***Silent Spring*** was selected by the **Book of the Month Club** and made the **New York Times Best Seller list** it became more widely read and inspired public concerns over **pesticides and environmental pollution**. *Silent Spring* facilitated the ban of the pesticide **DDT** in 1972 in the United States. (Ibid.)

U.S. President [John F. Kennedy](#) responded to the uproar that *Silent Spring* caused by directing his Science Advisory Committee to investigate Carson's claims. "Their investigation vindicated Carson's work, and led to an immediate strengthening of the regulation of chemical pesticides." (Ibid.)

Former Vice President and radical environmentalist Al Gore attributes his interest in environmental issues to Carson and *Silent Spring*. He says, "Carson was one of the reasons that I became so conscious of the environment and so involved with environmental issues ... Carson has had as much or more effect on me than any, and perhaps than all of them together." (Ibid.)

In 2012, according to Charles Dewberry of Gutenberg College, *Silent Spring* is **"Highly controversial, but may be the most important book in the formation of the environmental movement in the 1960s".**

Silent Spring's conclusions and the reaction banning DDT as well as other some other pesticides has brought much criticism. One of the biggest criticisms has focused on the value of DDT's effects in killing malaria carrying mosquitoes in countries other than the US. One writer, Ronald Bailey in a 2002 *Reason Magazine* article, has gone so far as to say, "The book did point to problems that had not been adequately addressed, such as the effects of DDT on some wildlife. And given the state of the science at the time she wrote, one might even make the case that Carson's concerns about the effects of synthetic chemicals on human health were not completely unwarranted. Along with other researchers, she was simply ignorant of the facts. **But after four decades in which tens of billions of dollars have been wasted chasing imaginary risks without measurably improving American health, her intellectual descendants don't have the same excuse.** (*Ibid.*)

Gaylord Nelson

According to Earth Day Network, "Each year, Earth Day -- April 22 -- marks the anniversary of what many consider **the birth of the modern environmental movement in 1970.**" (<http://www.earthday.org/earth-day-history-movement>)

The source informs us that, "The idea came to **Earth Day founder," then a Democrat U.S. Senator from Wisconsin,** "after witnessing the ravages of the 1969 massive oil spill in Santa Barbara, California." (*Ibid.*)

According to this "Earth Day" article, when Nelson observed the effectiveness of the student anti-war movement, "he realized that if he **could infuse that energy with an emerging public consciousness about air and water pollution, it would force environmental protection onto the national political agenda.** Senator Nelson announced the idea for a "national teach-in on the environment" to the national media; persuaded Pete McCloskey, a conservation-minded **Republican Congressman,** to serve as his co-chair; and recruited Denis Hayes as national coordinator. Hayes built a national staff of 85 to promote events across the land." (*Ibid.*)

The **first Earth Day led to the creation of the United States Environmental Protection Agency** and the passage of the [Clean Air](#), [Clean Water](#), and [Endangered Species](#) Acts. (*Ibid.*) We will discuss these Acts later.

According to **Nelson's website,** "His long fight against pesticides propelled forward when the **newly formed Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)** forbade all nonessential uses of DDT and agreed to Nelson's requests to ban aldrin and dieldrin and curb the use of the herbicide [Agent Orange](#). (*Ibid.*)

Nelson led Congress to provide funding for alternative pest control methods and helped establish the precautionary principle with the **Toxic Substances Control Act of 1976.** He was also helped to limit air pollution, "Congress enacted the **Clear Air Act of 1970,** which included Nelson's amendment setting **a deadline by which cars must include emissions-reducing technologies.** The **Clean Water Act of 1972** incorporated Nelson's proposals to offer businesses low-interest loans to install pollution controls.

Nelson was also involved with the passage of the **Endangered Species Act of 1973**, and **added legal protections for predators** and marine mammals.
(<http://www.nelsonearthday.net/nelson/environmental-decade.htm>)

Every one should applaud the advances made in the reduction of toxic substances and air pollution. Furthermore, the need for controls on drinking water to ensure its purity and over all healthfulness have been a great boon to our society. However, one does not have to have an agenda to exalt Mother Earth to fight for these useful and necessary benefits to our American way of life.

Nelson's website also informs us that he was part of the largest land grab in history when "in his final weeks in office, **he pushed through the preservation of 100 million acres in Alaska** and, in his last legislative act, added 1,000 acres to the Saint Croix Scenic River way." (*Ibid.*)

Now this is some more important information. Not only is the **Environmental Movement** (to be **known later as the Climate Change Regime**) interested in "preservation" **is interested in usurpation of land rights and possession of properties, by the Federal Government, and ultimately by the world government Climate Change Regime.**

In 1995, on the **Silver anniversary of Earth Day**, Gaylord Nelson delivered a "message for Earth Day XXV" entitled "Environment . Population . Sustainable Development Where Do We Go From Here?" In this message he said "We are now on the **threshold of a third great revolution, the transition to a sustainable society** ... which is described as "one that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs." He asks the question "**Can we as a nation evolve into a sustainable society during the next four or five decades?**" (*Ibid.*) In this message he singles out population growth as "**the most critical environmental problem** that he says is "practically unanimously" agreed on by experts. (*Ibid.* p.4)

He believes that their needs to be a new "environmental ethic" that deals with "a profound moral question that revolves around the issue of how we treat the life-giving resources of the planet." (*Ibid.* p. 2)

Paul R. Ehrlich

Paul R. Ehrlich was one of the first, greatly influential environmentalists setting the stage for Nelson's work. He is "an **American biologist** and educator who is the **Bing Professor of Population Studies** in the department of Biological Sciences at **Stanford University** and **president of Stanford's Center for Conservation Biology.**" (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paul_R._Ehrlich)

Just two years prior to Gaylord Nelson's establishment of **Earth Day**, emerging scientific research drew new attention to existing and **hypothetical threats to the**

environment and humanity. Among them were [Paul R. Ehrlich](#), whose book **The Population Bomb** (1968) revived concerns about the impact of exponential population growth." (Ibid.)

The Population Bomb's basic premise was that the population of the world was growing so fast that it would cause mass starvation in the near future even if immediately curbed. When Ehrlich was asked the question of what needed to be done about population growth he responded, "We must rapidly bring the world population under control, reducing the growth rate to zero or making it negative. Conscious regulation of human numbers must be achieved. Simultaneously we must, at least temporarily, greatly increase our food production."

(wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Population_Bomb)

Ehrlich proposed a **Department of Population and Environment** which had the power, "to take whatever steps are necessary to establish a reasonable population size in the United States" and which would support research into **population control**, such as **better contraceptives, mass sterilizing agents, and prenatal sex discernment** because families often continue to have children until a male is born. Ehrlich suggested that if they could choose a male child this would reduce the birthrate). Furthermore he wanted legislation enacted **guaranteeing the right to an abortion**, and sex education should be expanded.

Roe v. Wade Population control

Is it not astounding that the USA had laws prohibiting abortion until the Supreme Court suddenly totally reversed all other court decisions in its **January 22, 1973 roe v. wade case**.

"**Roe v. Wade** is the historic Supreme Court decision overturning a Texas interpretation of abortion law and **making abortion legal in the United States**." "Roe v. Wade legalized abortion in the United States, **which was not legal at all in many states and was limited by law in others**."

(http://womenshistory.about.com/od/abortionuslegal/p/roe_v_wade.htm)

When Supreme Court **Justice Rehnquist** wrote the dissent from the decision he invoked, in various ways, previous legal precedent, revealing that he understood the **Roe v. Wade decision was made in clear contradiction of the legal principle that decisions should be made being taking into full account with the legal precedents**. Here is just part of what Justice Rehnquist wrote in his dissent:

To reach its result, the Court necessarily has had to find within the scope of the Fourteenth Amendment a right that was apparently completely unknown to the drafters of the Amendment. As early as 1821, the first state law dealing directly with abortion was enacted by the Connecticut Legislature. Conn. Stat., Tit. 22, 14, 16. By the time of the adoption of the Fourteenth [410 U.S. 113, 175] Amendment in 1868, there were **at least 36 laws enacted by state or territorial legislatures limiting abortion**. While many States have

amended or updated [410 U.S. 113, 176] their laws, 21 of the laws on the books in 1868 remain in effect today. Indeed, the **Texas statute struck down today was, as the majority notes, first enacted in 1857 [410 U.S. 113, 177] and "has remained substantially unchanged to the present time."** Ante, at 119." (http://womenshistory.about.com/library/etext/gov/bl_roe_j.htm)

The real truth is that the roe v. wade decision was about population control not a woman's rights over her own body. Two living people go into an abortion clinic, only one comes out still living.

Furthermore, the Roe v. wade ruling revealed without a doubt that the Supreme Court had been corrupted by appointments who did not abide by the "rule of law" but by social agenda as revealed in the Rehnquist dissent.

Barry Commoner

Biologist [Barry Commoner](#) generated a debate about growth, affluence and "flawed technology." In his 1971 book *The Closing Circle*, Commoner proposed a **restructuring of the American economy to conform to the unbending laws of ecology**. For example, he argued that polluting products (like detergents or synthetic textiles) should be replaced with natural products (like soap or cotton and wool). This book was one of the first to bring the idea of **sustainable development to a mass audience**. Commoner suggested a left-wing, **eco-socialist**, response to the **limits to growth thesis, postulating that capitalist technologies were chiefly responsible for environmental degradation**, as opposed to population pressures. (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Barry_Commoner)

Commoner published another bestseller in 1976, *The Poverty of Power*. Towards the book's end, Commoner suggests that the problem of the Three Es is caused by the **capitalistic system and can only be solved by replacing it with some sort of socialism**. (Ibid.)

Wikipedia describes eco-socialism thusly, "Eco-socialism, green socialism or socialist ecology is an ideology merging aspects of Marxism, socialism, green politics, ecology and alter globalization." Eco-socialists advocate **the dismantling of capitalism and the state**, focusing on [common ownership](#) of the [means of production](#) by freely associated producers and restoration of the [commons](#). (<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Eco-socialism>)

It is important to know that the enunciated principles of Gaylord Nelson and Barry Commoner are at the forefront of the Climate Change Regime. One of the latest recommendations to be considered at the Rio+20 convention includes a Trusteeship Council that would be "given the mandate of exercising trusteeship over global commons (atmosphere, oceans, outer space, drinking water). (A Pocket Guide to Sustainable Development Governance, 2nd Ed. ed. By Emlyn W. Cruickshank, www.thecommonwealth.org.)

Dr. James E. Lovelock

Dr. James E. Lovelock is another person who has had a **great and ongoing influence on the environmental Climate Change Regime movement**. In a "short biography" posted on ecolo.org, James Lovelock's website we are told that he is an, "independent scientist, environmentalist, author, and researcher, Doctor Honoris Causa of several universities throughout the world, he is considered ...**as one of the main ideological leaders, if not the main one, in the history of the development of environmental awareness**" (ecolo.org/lovelock/lovbioen.htm) Dr. Lovelock's personal website says, "Welcome to the personal website of James Lovelock, **originator of Gaia theory**, inventor of the electron capture detector (which made possible the detection of CFCs and other atmospheric nano-pollutants) and of the microwave oven." (<http://www.jameslovelock.org/>)

Dr Lovelock has a great deal to say about GAIA.

In Lovelock's **1979** book *GAIA: A New Look at Life on Earth* he says that, "**all life forms on this planet are part of Gaia - part of one spirit goddess that sustains life on earth. Since this transformation into a living system the interventions of Gaia have brought about the evolving diversity of living creatures on planet Earth.**" "In contrast to the conventional belief that living matter is passive in the face of threats to its existendce, the book explores the theory that the Earth's living matter - air, ocean, and land surfaces - form a complex system which has the capacity to keep earth a place fit for life." (Op. cite. Ecolo p. 3)

Lovelocks self approved ecole website, In a preview of his 1988 book *The Ages of GAIA* says, Lovelock proposes that **the earth "behaves as if it were a superorganism, made up from all the living things and from their material environment."** When he first sketched out his **brilliant Gaia theory in the 1970's, people around the world embraced it**, and within a short time Gaia has moved **from the margins of scientific research to the mainstream.**" He believes that "self regulation of climate and chemical composition is a process that emerges from the rightly coupled **evolution of rocks, air and the ocean- in addition to that of organisms.** Such interlocking self-regulation, while rarely optimal ...nevertheless keeps the Earth a place fit for life." (*Ibid.*)

While Lovelock doesn't detail population control, he does blame humanity for GAIA being really angry. On his [ecolo](http://ecolo.org) website it says, this about his book *Revenge of GAIA: Why the Earth is Fighting Back - and How We Can still Save Humanity*, "**human society, through greenhouse gas emissions and other forms of environmental degradation, has brought the natural world to the brink of a crisis.** Temperatures will rise, Professor Lovelock warns, reliable supplies of water will be disrupted, life in the oceans will be compromised, food production will decline, and there will be mass migrations to areas of the planet's surface which remain habitable. With fossil fuels currently the dominant source of energy, he sees a large-scale switch to nuclear power as vital if electricity supplies are to continue reliably and carbon emissions are to be brought down." (*Ibid.* p.2)

Lovelock's contribution to what has become **the UNFCCC Climate Change Regime agenda** was affirmed and accentuated by two **well known UN during leaders** of that same time period, Dr. Robert Mueller and Maurice Strong.

Dr. Robert Mueller

Dr Robert Muller's Biography, *Prophet, The Hat Maker's Son* says, "Dr. Robert Muller: Assistant to legendary Secretaries General of the United Nations, Recipient of the UNESCO Peace Education Prize, Co-Founder and Chancellor Emeritus of the University for Peace in Costa Rica, and Nuclear Age Peace Foundation World Citizen honoree for 2002. Robert Muller is the man behind Ted Turner's billion dollar donation to the United Nations and thousands of other acts of conscience."(
eb.archive.org/web/20050403170548/http://www.earthpax.net/Prophet.htm)

Here is how he describes himself "A divine motivator ... the wise man of the UN ... the shaman of the UN ... the man through whom God speaks ... the spokesman of Christ ..." (<http://www.green-agenda.com/gaians.html>)

This 'divine motivator' was the co-founder of UNESCO and a **key architect behind many of the UN's most important environmental policies and plans**. Dr Muller is also one of the key figures behind the drive to establish a universal global consciousness. He is the co-Chairman of the World Commission for Global Consciousness and Spirituality and the co-Chairman, alongside Mikhail Gorbachev, of the World Wisdom Council. (<http://robertmuller.org/rm/R1/Biography.html>)
 Dr Muller, who served under three consecutive **UN Secretary Generals**, and has been responsible for **formulating many UN policies and programs clearly believes that the mankind comes from "Mother Earth" and is part of her (GAIA theory). From Muller's "Decide to be a global citizen" we read:**

.....
 Know this planet
 Love this planet
 Care for this planet
For you come from Mother Earth
You are made of her elements
You are the Earth become conscious
of herself
 You are her eyes, her ears, her voice
 her mind and her heart

Save your Mother Earth
 from her matricidal children
 who destroy her
 who divide her
 who spike her with nuclear arms
 who hold their territories to be
 greater than the globe
 and their groups
 greater than humanity

United, global citizens, to save and heal
 planet Earth

And to make our Mother bloom again

...

(<http://web.archive.org/web/20050318233316/http://www.earthpax.net/Reference-Index%203501~4000.htm>)

This is pure Gaia theology, whether or not Mueller acknowledges it and it is unquestionably the motivation behind many of his 5000 ideas.

Dr Mueller was behind efforts to establish a global consciousness through interfaith dialogue. His first attempt at global interfaith dialogue occurred at the first 'Parliament of World Religions' held in Chicago in 1893. This conference involved representatives from most established and emerging religious groups and also **marked the introduction of the Baha'i faith into the United States.** In the early 1990s a group of **interfaith dialogue** proponents decided to organize a centenary conference to be held in 1993, also in Chicago.

Dr Muller was one of the primary organizers, along with Hans Kung and Dr. Gerald Barney, and was a keynote speaker. Kung is a Catholic priest who previously held the powerful position of 'Expositor of Theology' at the Vatican and is the founder of the Global Ethic Foundation, another organization strongly pushing the concept of human global consciousness. The conference included more than 8,000 representatives from 150 different religious and spiritual groups. Dr Muller's speech was entitled '**A Proposal to establish a United Nations of Religion**'. It received a prolonged standing ovation and his proposal was endorsed in the conferences joint communiqué. (**The Antichrist Identity V**, www.globalreports.com, p. 18)

Dr. Mueller has a number of "ideas" that deal with his aspirations of a world government and its implementation through the United Nations. One "idea" from Robert Muller's 5000 thousand is especially important.

"Humanity needs a World Charter of Spirituality similar to the remarkable Earth Charter, to extend human consciousness to the entire universe and time **and make all humans deeply spiritual, transcendent, cosmic beings,** members of a perfect, peaceful, fulfilled humanity, in harmony with, loving and caring for our miraculous planetary home.

The recently born United Religions should draft a World Charter of Spirituality. It could help **humanity save itself from its materialistic, moneycratic engulfment.**" (<http://robertmuller.org/ideas>, Idea 3551)

Here are a few more of Dr. Muller's "ideas" that reveal his radical views that have been incorporated into the **Climate Change Regime.**

"Our earth cannot be changed unless in the not too distant future an alteration in the consciousness of individuals is achieved. This has already been seen in areas such as war and peace or economy and ecology. And it is precisely for this alteration in inner orientation, in the entire mentality, in the "heart," that religion bear responsibility in a special way. **Religion must be a unifier and peacemaker,** not a cause for violence and separation." (Conversations with God <http://robertmueller.org/volume/ideas/3501.html>:)

Idea 3521 deals with humanity's basic slowness to make important progress in major areas. After giving several examples of this Mueller writes, "The acceptance of new ideas and discoveries seems to be slow for humans.

"My question is: how many years will it take until national governments and **humanity will at long last recognize the absolute need to create a proper Earth government, either in the form of a United States of the World** or of a World Union along the model of the recent European Union **or even more modern forms favorable to the Earth's survival and further evolution?"** (ibid. idea 3521)

Idea 3533 is a dialog between **earth (god)** and himself

The Earth:

"Dear Robert, **since economic development and capitalism justify their existence by giving employment to people**, I suggest that **people who do not want to 'work' be financed by governments** to live simple, frugal lives in modest homes in rural areas taking care of, preserving, beautifying my nature and restoring it in many places where it has been destroyed. They would be productive too: they would produce oxygen.

Moreover, since their income would be modest **they would not consume and buy all the unnecessary products and activities of the current society** which are causing my death and in the future that of humanity too." (Ibid. idea 3529)

Idea 3533 reveals Dr. Muller's anti-American and anti-capitalism views.

"How strange: **the US, the great land of hope, after having destroyed most of its indigenous people and the first world League of Nations is now also destroying the rest of the Earth with its fundamentalist 'free enterprise world invasion' and reducing the United Nations' ability.** It is also offering less and less the image of a model of democracy." (Ibid. idea 3533)

Idea 3539 reveals Dr Muller's expectation of **an eventual government** that will control even how people in the USA shower by forcing them to use less water.

"Soon we will enter a period of voluntary regression:

For example, the **US and western citizens who are using 56 million gallons of water during their average lifetime will no longer shower themselves as they do now**, namely letting the water run down over their body during the entire period of the shower. They will cut the shower after the first spray, soap themselves and then reopen the shower to wash away the soap from their body.

Later will come the period of forced regression:

Municipalities will recommend that people take a shower only every second day the same way as linen in hotels will henceforth be washed only after the occupants are leaving the hotel.

Please dear reader, start **to cut down on many, many things from waste of electricity to needless packages, purchases, trips and so on and so forth.** With the continued **increase of the world population the situation will become catastrophic. As a result of your reduction in consumption the obligatory regression will start later.** (Ibid. idea 3539)

Dr Muller's radical ideas have caught the attention of more than one Christian writer exposing the New Age, New World Order, one world government (aka the Climate Change Regime), movement. However, Constance Cumbey was one of the first to gain national attention.

In Constance Cumbey's 1985 book *A Planned Deception: The Staging of a New Age Messiah*, she says, "Many influential leaders in the New Age Movement openly acknowledge their debt to" the **teachings of Alice Bailey** which she received from her "Tibetian" master. **"Among those making such open identification are Robert**

Mueller, the assistant Secretary General of the United Nations" (when the book was written) and others. (Pointe Pub. Inc., Detroit, p. 34)

Cumbey's foot note reference is Mueller's book *New Genesis; Reshaping a Global Spirituality*. (Doubleday, 1982) She says "One Chapter in that book is actually entitled **"The Reappearance of the Christ,"** which is a transcript from an address he delivered to an Arcane School conference. The title of this chapter most likely came from **Alice Bailey's** book **"The Reappearance of the Christ"**.

Hint, **The Christ** that Bailey is talking is not **Jesus the Christ** of the Christian Bible just as the god that Muller talks to is no the God of the Bible.

On the **WNRF** website Janice Weaver wrote an article entitled **"Robert Muller, The Millennium Maker"** on May 1, 1999. She writes, " By the early '80s, Muller would say, "We have to manage our planet with more intelligence. **By the year 2000 we will be fully into the business of making a new world.** Historians will some day be astonished by the UN's role in ushering humanity into the third millennium." (<http://www.wnrf.org/cms/robertmuller.shtml>)

"Muller continued to express his faith that humanity was preparing itself for the third millennium. **As Assistant Secretary-General of the UN, he delivered on average 180 speeches a year. In those speeches, he would often mention the miracle of how humans at long last were self-consciously organizing themselves to solve the planet's problems in view of 2000.**" (*ibid.*)

This quote from Dr. Muller's book *Paradise Earth* gives **his understanding of what the goal of the United Nations should be.**

"THE UNITED NATIONS MUST BE VASTLY STRENGTHENED TO RESOLVE THE MAJOR GLOBAL PROBLEMS HENCEFORTH INCREASINGLY CONFRONTING HUMANITY AND THE EARTH. IT MUST BE EMPOWERED TO ADOPT AND ENFORCE WORLD LAWS AND REGULATIONS.

"I recommend that the UN General Assembly should meet urgently at the heads of states level and that one of them will stand up and say to his assembled peers: "The world of sovereign nations is in disarray. The Earth, our vital air, waters, nature, vegetation and many species which it took millions of years to form, our climate are in jeopardy. All this will end in a global disaster without precedent, if we do not react with vision and audacity.

"I appeal to you, I beg you, I implore you, let us put aside all other items on the world agenda and keep only one fundamental one: **to have this General Assembly of heads of states remain in session day and night if necessary, until we give birth to a new political system for our miraculous planet and our sacred human family. Please stand up, delegates of the world,** hold each other's hand and let us swear together that we will accomplish this historical miracle before it is too late: **to save this Earth, to save humanity with a new world order.** All the rest is secondary. **Let us strengthen and reform the United Nations into a United States of the World or a World Union** like the European Union. Let us perform this miracle in the House of Mica, on the shores of the River of the Rising Sun, wherefrom our indigenous brethren prophesized

that a civilization of peace will extend to the entire world."

(<http://www.paradiseearth.us/pdf/PEFull.pdf> p. 3)

We know that Dr Muller was hugely successful in seeing many of his plans fully implemented in the UNFCCC Climate Change Regime and its Sustainable Development: Agenda 21 Implementation manual.

As far back as 1974 Dr Muller with, author/editor Norman Cousins, and New Age peace activist Donald Keys founded a networking group known as **Planetary Citizens**. "Planetary citizens intention is to encourage all citizens of the globe to force their nations into a One World Government and Planetary Consciousness ..." (Tex Mars, *Dark Secrets of the New Age*, Cross Way Books, West Chester Ill.1987, p. 51)

Others have been highly influential in the Climate Change Regime ideals and goals and Maurice Strong would be chief among them.

Maurice Strong

Maurice Strong, was the founder and Secretary General of the United Nations Environment Programme and Senior Advisor to Kofi Annan. He was **founder of the Earth Council** and the **Earth Charter Initiative**, and former President of the United Nations University of Peace. You will find many references to Maurice Strong on this site. He, more than anyone else, has been the architect of the Global Green Agenda. Strong is a devout Baha'i and from his lofty positions within the UN has permeated the organization with Gaian theology. (Op. cit Identity V, p.23)

He is the **author of most of the key UN environmental policies and plans including Agenda 21** "blueprint for creating a sustainable way of life in the 21st Century", **the Earth Charter, the Kyoto Protocol and the UN report on Global Governance.** While he chaired the Rio Earth Summit, outside his wife Hanne and 300 followers called the Wisdom-Keepers, continuously beat drums, chanted prayers to Gaia, and trended sacred flames in order to "establish and hold the energy field" for the duration of the summit. (Ibid. p. 24)

The "**International Institute for Sustainable Development**" has this statement under its "Friends of the Institute" about Maurice strong.

"Maurice Strong, a senior advisor to the Secretary-General of the United Nations and former senior advisor to the President of the World Bank, is one of the world's most influential political and environmental activists. He is a Distinguished Fellow at IISD.

Strong served on the board of directors for the United Nations Foundation, a UN-affiliated organization established by Ted Turner's historic \$1 billion donation. He is also a director of the World Economic Forum Foundation, Chairman of the Earth Council, former Chairman of the Stockholm Environment Institute, and former Chairman of the World Resources Institute." (<http://www.iisd.org/about/staffbio.aspx?id=381>)

Strong came to the UN in 1972 to lead the Conference on the Human Environment in Stockholm, after which he became the executive **director of the UN's environmental program.** Strong also coordinated the UN's emergency relief efforts in Africa in the mid'80s and **was in charge of the historic 1992 Earth Summit in Rio.** He recently took part in the reorganization of the UN's University for Peace, located in Costa Rica, and continues to help the university redefine its mission for the 21st century.

Strong told the opening session of the **Rio Conference (Earth Summit II) in 1992**, when the **UNFCCC Climate Regime got its start**), that industrialized countries have:

"developed and **benefited from the unsustainable patterns of production and consumption which have produced our present dilemma**. It is clear that current lifestyles and consumption patterns of the affluent middle class -- involving high meat intake, consumption of **large amounts of frozen and convenience foods**, use of fossil fuels, appliances, home and work-place air-conditioning, and suburban housing -- are not sustainable. A shift is **necessary toward lifestyles less geared to environmentally damaging consumption patterns**." (Henry Lamb, "Maurice Strong: The New Guy in Your Future!" <http://www.sovereignty.net/p/sd/strong.html>)

Strong wrote in "**Stockholm to Rio: A Journey Down a Generation**", "Strengthening the role the United Nations can play...will require serious examination of the need to **extend into the international arena the rule of law and the principle of taxation to finance agreed actions which provide the basis for governance at the national level**. But this will not come about easily. Resistance to such changes is deeply entrenched. They will come about not through the embrace of full blown world government, but as a careful and pragmatic response to compelling imperatives and the inadequacies of alternatives."

"The concept of national sovereignty has been an immutable, indeed sacred, **principle of international relations**. It is a principle which will yield only slowly and reluctantly to the new imperatives of global environmental cooperation. What is needed is recognition of the reality that in so many fields, and this is **particularly true of environmental issues, it is simply not feasible for sovereignty to be exercised unilaterally by individual nation-states, however powerful**. The global community must be assured of environmental security."

Strong was **heavily influenced by Rachel Carlson's *Silent Spring*** and developed a friendship with **the Italian industrialist Aurelio Peccei, the founder of the Club of Rome**, a global think tank now based in Switzerland that became very famous in **1972 with its publication: "Limits to Growth"**. He expressed his desire to make a personal contribution in a letter to Lady Jackson (Barbara Ward) on the occasion of the publication of her book *Space Ship Earth* in 1966: "My own greatest aspiration at this point is to be able to do something to put into operation some of the ideas and the ideals that you have done so much to inspire." (<http://www.mauricestrong.net/index.php/strong-stockholm-leadership>)

Strong became president of Turner's **Better World Society** during a time when Turner had shed his image as a conservative and was emerging as someone devoted to U.S.-Soviet cooperation, disarmament and various causes embraced by the U.N. (Cliff, Kincaid, "Al Gore, The United Nations and the Cult of GAIA", www.USAsurvival.org, 1999)

One of the **most recent quotes from Strong was in regard to expectations of the Rio+20 Sustainable Development World Summit**. Echoing early writings he says,

"Human societies are living beyond the carrying capacity of the planet. Climate change has emerged as an out-of-control driver of many of the world's environmental and economic crises.

The still-prevailing, **consumption-based economic model is not only failing to deliver progress to enormous numbers of the world's population, but is seriously threatening the economic stability of all nations**, and compromising the prospect for any of us to live on this planet

There is now an increasing **link between environment and security**

Governments have still not given the UN the mandate, the resources or the institutional capacities required to monitor and enforce international agreements. (Maurice Strong, "Environment: Will Rio+20 mend broken promises?" Front Page, Maurice strong.net

As we look at the current state of progress that the Climate Change Regime has made it is astounding how completely Maurice Strong's ideas and plans have been implemented.

Mikhail Gorbachev

Mikhail Gorbachev was born March 2, 1931 in the "Privolnoye, Krasnogvardeisky District, Stavropol territory in the North Caucasus, to a peasant family in a small village, his father an agricultural mechanic on a collective farm." (nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/peace/laureates/1990/gorbachev-bio.html)

In his youth he was a member of the Communist Youth Organization. As an adult he joined the Communist Party of the Soviet Union.

Having enrolled in Faculty of Law at Moscow University in 1950, he received his degree in law in 1955.

He worked his way to the top of the Communist Party and ultimately was elected in 1989 as the Executive President of the Soviet Union. After a coup attempt by CPSU hardliners he resigns as General Secretary of the CPSU in 1991. He resigns as President of the Soviet Union as it crumbles on December 25, 1991. (Ibid.)

He was awarded the Nobel Peace Prize in 1990, "for his leading role in the peace process which today characterizes important parts of the international community." (nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/peace/laureates/1990/press.html)

"In January 1990, during an address to the *Global Forum on Environment and Development for Survival* held in Moscow," **Gorbachev "brought up the idea for an organization that would apply the medical emergency response model of the International Committee of the Red Cross to ecological issues and expedite solutions to the environmental problems that transcend national boundaries."** (gci.ch/who-we-are-/history)

During the Rio Earth Summit in 1992 some delegates strongly encouraged Gorbachev to create and launch his organization. "At the same time the Swiss National Council MP, Roland Wiederkehr founded a World Green Cross' with the same objective. The organizations merged in 1993 to form the Green Cross International" (Ibid.)

"The Green Cross International has been granted consultative status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations and with UNESCO" (Ibid.)

Gorbachev co-chaired, with Maurice Strong, the committee who drafted the **Earth Charter which according to many will be the constitution for a New Green Order aka the Climate Change Regime.** (Op. Cite. Identity V p. 36)

With Gorbachev's Communist ideology it is easy to see how this is a foundational part of the Climate Change Regime.

The Green Cross international has "The Earth Charter: the Green Cross Philosophy" document that reveals the far reaching influence of Mr. Gorbachev. After an eight point "Rationale" a set of fourteen "Principles" are presented with the following introductory statement.

"Respecting Democracy, Human Rights and the United Nations Charter, Green Cross proposes that the following principles be solemnly adopted and implemented by all nations." (www.earthcharterinaction.org/invent/images/uploads/The%20Earth%20..., March 1997.)

While it would be insightful to review all "Principles" and the "Rationale" we will focus on just four principles.

Principle 6 titled "**Stabilization of the World's population**", states, "**World population must stabilize.** Such a balance can be reached through cooperation: an improvement in living conditions, quality of life, fairness, education and the eradication of poverty." (Ibid.) It is obvious that "**stabilizing population**" means **stopping its growth.**

Principle 7 requires "**Zero-Growth of Material Economy**" because earth's "resources are finite". (Ibid.)

Principle 12 "The Precautionary Principle" states, "Precaution must be the basic organizing principle of environmental management. **Scientific uncertainty should be used for objective assessment and not as an excuse for delaying action.**" (Ibid.) This principle was **already adopted in the 1992 UNFCCC Article 3.3.**

Principle 14 "Global Sovereignty" states. The protection of the Biosphere, as **the Common Interest of Humanity, must not be subservient to the rules of state sovereignty,** demands of the free market or individual rights. The idea of Global Sovereignty must be supported by a shift in values which recognize this Common Interest." (Ibid.)

The Climate Change Regime has always been about a centralized sovereign global government thus abrogating (removing) national and state sovereignty.

National sovereignty is what has been in the process of being systematically undermined from no later than when the USA became a signatory to the United Nations Framework of Climate Change Convention in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil 1992 which was ratified by Congress.

Let us now move on to other influential people in the Climate Change Regime Sustainable Development movement.

While not one of the earliest influential people of the Climate Change Regime, Al Gore, never the less, has had a highly influential and visible influence because of his position in the U.S. government.

Al Gore

Al Gore, who was supposed to be a Southern Baptist, wrote a book entitled *Earth in the Balance*, where in he shares Dr James Lovelock's views on Gaia. In his chapter "Environmentalism of the Spirit" he says the Gaia concept is able to "evoke a spiritual response in many of those who hear it." (Al Gore, *Earth in the Balance*. Ecology and the Human Spirit (New York: Houghton Mifflin Company, 1992, p. 265)

Earlier Gore had stated that, "The spiritual sense of our place in nature predates Native American cultures; increasingly it can be traced to the origins of human civilization. A growing number of anthropologists and archaeomythologists, such as Marija Gimbutas and Riane Eisler, argued that the prevailing ideology of belief in prehistoric Europe and much of the world was based on **the worship of a single earth goddess, who was assumed to be the fount of all life and who radiated harmony among all living things**. Much of the evidence for the existence of this primitive religion comes from the many thousands of artifacts uncovered in ceremonial sites. These sites are so widespread that they seem to confirm the **notion that a goddess religion was ubiquitous through much of the world until the antecedents of today's religions**, most of which still have a distinctly masculine orientation...swept out of India and the Near East, almost obliterating belief in the goddess. The last vestige of organized goddess worship was eliminated by Christianity as late as the fifteenth century in Lithuania." (*Ibid.* p. 260)

The following quotes, **while not exemplifying the Gaia hypothesis** never the less are built on and advocate other basic Climate Change Regime perspectives.

Gore reveals his anti modern technology bias by saying, "**We frequently ignore the impact of our technological alchemy on natural processes. When we manufacture millions of internal combustion engines and automate the conversion of oxygen to CO₂, we interfere with the earth's ability to cleanse itself of the impurities that are normally removed from the atmosphere.**" (*Ibid.* 207)

Gore reveals his theology of wholism and the expectation of active conflict by saying, "The world is once again at a critical juncture. **We are invading ourselves and attacking the ecological system of which we are a part. As a result, we now face the prospect of a kind of global civil war between those who refuse to consider the consequences of civilization's relentless advance and those who refuse to be silent partners in the destruction**. The time has come to make this struggle the central organizing principle of world civilization." (*Ibid.* 294)

The problem is, that there is no destruction of our planet going on simply because we use parts of its resources. From answers.com we read the following, " **The first law of thermodynamics** tells us that **energy** cannot be created or destroyed, **and so we know that any mass that is converted to energy will always exist, even if no longer as matter.**" http://wiki.answers.com/Q/Matter_can_neither_be_created_nor_destroyed#ixzz25W7FekqB

If we burn a tree we release energy because of the chemical change that takes place. The remainder of the tree is not destroyed just changed in form

Gore reveals his active part in placing the U.S under the **Climate Change Regime**. He tells us, "the US took the lead in convincing other nations that a voluntary international agreement to reduce carbon pollution was no longer enough--that we needed to negotiate a binding timetable to meet specific goals. **When I led the US delegation to**

the Kyoto Conference in 1997, we worked with 180 other nations to put the world on track to reduce the carbon pollution pouring into the atmosphere. The Kyoto agreement isn't the final answer to global warming, but it is the indispensable first step.

Our next step is to seek meaningful participation from developing nations and submit the Kyoto agreement to the Senate for ratification. I will stay and fight on this issue until we overcome the special-interest opposition, abroad and at home, that threatens to extend and worsen global warming. The Kyoto goals are both practical and economically beneficial. (Op. cite Balance, p. xvii)

The quotes from Al Gore reveal volumes about his real goals and intentions but there are others who have had a great impact on the shaping of the Climate Change Regime.

Steven Schneider

Steven Schneider, Stanford Professor of Biology and Global Change.

Professor Schneider was among the earliest and most vocal proponents of man-made global warming. He is most famous for stating that climatologists should only present the most dramatic and frightening scenarios and find their own balance between truth and lies. **He has been a lead author of many IPCC reports, and was the editor of "Scientists on Gaia" in which he states "the Gaia Hypothesis has now become established in mainstream science."** In 1988 **also organised the first international conference to discuss "Gaia and Science"**. (Op. cit. Identity, p. 27)

A prolific writer Schneider has co authored or edited the following books *The Genesis Strategy: Climate and Global Survival*, (1976); *Coevolution of Climate and Life* (1984), *Global Warming: Are we Entering the Greenhouse Century?* (1989), *Scientists on Gaia* (1992), *The Encyclopedia of Climate and Weather* (1996), *Laboratory Earth: the Planetary Gamble We can't Afford to Lose* (1997), *Wildlife Responses to Climate Change: North American Case Studies* (2001), and *Climate change Policy: a Survey* (2002) (<http://stephenschneider.stanford.edu/Publications/Publications.html>)

He also was involved with writing the IPCC 2001 "Climate Change 2001: Synthesis Report. (Ibid.)

Gro Harlem Bruntland

Born in Oslo, Norway on April 20, 1939, Bruntland became a medical doctor and Master of Public Health, spending 10 years as a physician and scientist in the Norway public Health system. While on a scholarship studying at **the Harvard School of Public Health**, Dr Brundtland **developed an expanded "vision of health extending beyond the confines of the medical world into environment issues..."**. This led to Bruntland's acceptance in 1974 of the position of Minister of the Environment. It was this **"link between health and the environment"** that motivated her to take the position. (<http://www.un.org/News/dh/hlpanel/brundtland-bio.htm>)

When Bruntland was asked by the UN Secretary-General, in 1983, to establish and chair the World Commission on Environment and Development, she accepted. (Ibid.)

The Commission, which included Maurice Strong, developed a report entitled **"Our Common Future, From One Earth To One World"**, also known as **the Bruntland Report** which was published in April 1987. The report presented the concept of "sustainability" as **containing environmental, economic and social aspects**. (www.un-documents.net/ocf-ov.htm)

The Commission's recommendations and the work of the WCED led to the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED) in Rio de Janeiro in 1992 also known as the Earth Summit. (Ibid.)

Bruntland is listed as the **vice-president of the "Socialist International"** during its **1996 XX Congress of the Socialist International held at the UN headquarters in New York September 11, 1996**. (<http://www.socialistinternational.org/viewArticle.cfm?ArticleID=126>)

When Dr Brundtland was nominated as Director-General of the World Health Organization by the Executive Board of WHO in January 1998, she accepted and was elected for the position on 13 May 1998. (Op. Cit. UN bio)

The Club of Rome

During this same time period a group of scientists and political leaders was formed known as the Club of Rome.

"The Club of Rome was founded in April 1968 by [Aurelio Peccei](#), an Italian industrialist (the Fiat and Olivetti companies, and [Alexander King](#), a Scottish [scientist](#). It was formed when a small international group, met at a villa in [Rome, Italy](#)," (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Club_of_Rome)

The **Club of Rome consists of highly influential and distinguished bankers, scientists, and industrialists from 25 nations**, had as its primary function in the beginning the serious study of the "predicament of mankind." (Constance Cumby, *A Planned Deception*, Pointe Publishers, Inc. **1985**, p. 203)

The Club's website says that, "**The Club of Rome** is an international **Think Tank** which addressed the public first in 1972 with the provoking report "**Limits To Growth**" by Donella Meadows, Dennis Meadows and Jorgen Randers. **For the first time, computer based models were used to describe sustainable and disastrous scenarios**. The report started an intensive discussion about the future of humankind." (<http://www.clubofrome.at/about/index.html>) (Emphasis bolding mine)

Others write that "**Limits to Growth**" drew attention to the growing pressure on natural resources **from human activities**. (op. cite., wiki, environmental) According to the Club's website this book sold "**12 million copies**" which were "distributed in **37 languages**" (<http://www.clubofrome.at/about/limitstogrowth.html>)

When the Club's (CoR) pleas regarding the potential collapse of earth's natural resources, because of too much human expansion, did not achieve their required response they began to look for a device capable of achieving the goal. They decided to use a **commando operation using, "computers to create scenarios of what the world promised to be like by the turn of the century, based on the Club's own research of current trends**. With the help of Jay Forrester and Dennis L. Meadows of MIT, plus 17 other researchers **the Club commissioned the computer scenarios**. (Ibid.) The

product of Club's carefully orchestrated "research" was the 1972 book entitled The Limits To Growth. (Ibid.)

Computer "modeling" on the basis of manipulated data is The Climate Change Regime's adopted methodology. Using the IPCC as its so called "science source" to achieve its specific agenda, the Climate Change Regime is in the final steps of implementing a global rules based, Marxist, eco-socialist form of "sustainable development", green government.

Constance Cumby sums up *The Limits to Growth* by stating its three basic premises of the course of world civilization over the next twenty to thirty years. These premises are:

Should the **current trends in population growth be allowed to continue** unchecked, then it is a mathematical probability that the limits to the Earth's **support capacity would be reached within the next 100 years**, bringing about the international breakdown of civilization.

It is not too late to implement some form of population control now;

Such controls must be exerted immediately ... the more time passes without such control's the more difficult and less successful any resultant change would be. (Ibid. p. 204)

Once again we find the roe v. wade January 22, 1973 Supreme Court Decision legalizing abortion in the USA coincides with this time line. Can that be considered only accidental?

Cumby tells us that "Dennis Meadows, formerly with MIT when he authored the club's first report on the Limits To Growth, said (in 1985) that **"the concept of limits has begun to permeate society."** (Ibid. p. 207)

In only two years the Club of Rome announced the results of their second major project with the publication of Mankind At the Turning Point written by Professors Mihajlo Mesarovic and Eduard Pestel. This work informs everyone that

"mankind cannot afford to wait for change to occur spontaneously and fortuitously ... Man must initiate ... changes of necessary but tolerable magnitude in time to avert intolerably massive and externally generated change." (Ibid. p. 208)

Mankind At A Turning Point, proposes that the world be collected **into ten regions**, which are (1) North America, (2) Western Europe, (3) Japan, (4) Australia, South Africa, and the rest of the marketeconomy of the developed world, (5) Eastern Europe, including Russia, (6) Latin America, (7) North African and the Middle East, (8) Tropical Africa, (9) South and Southeast Asia, (10) China (Ibid.)

The authors of this book stress the fact that, in order to meet the challenge of a "viable world system," it becomes necessary for a "**master plan**" to be devised that will allow for "organic **sustainable growth and world development** based on global allocation of all finite resources and anew global economic system."

Mankind at a Turning Point not only emphasized a new world consciousness (global citizenship), a **new ethic** in use of material resources but also, a new **attitude toward nature based on harmony** rather than conquest, where by **man is an integral part of nature**. (The doctrine of wholism, or Monism)

The United Nations Climate Change Regime changes this grouping of the world slightly but still maintains 10 "Regional Groupings" (*The Millennium Development Goals Report* 2010, MGG Report 2010 En 20100604 r14 Final.indd, 6/15/2010 p. 75)

In 2009, the organization known as the **Club of Rome** established a **three-year program** on "**A New Path for World Development**". In a flyer describing the project, it declared "The global issues which were the focus of the 1972 Report, "Limits to Growth" are even more severe and urgent today." The project has five issue areas: Environment and Resources, Globalization, International Development, Social Transformation, and Peace and Security. "The common enemy of humanity is man, water shortages, famine and the like would fit the bill. All these dangers are caused by human intervention, and it is only through changed attitudes and behavior that they can be overcome. The real enemy then, is humanity itself." (Op. cite. Identity p. 11)

The Club of Rome website divides its membership lists into "Associate members", "Honorary Members", and "Full Members", which includes "Executive Committee", and "Ex officio Members". (<http://www.clubofrome.org/?cat=518&paged>) **Some former and current members of the Club of Rome, Club of Madrid, or Club of Budapest or its related extensions with UN Climate Regime ties**

Annan, Kofi– former Secretary General of the United Nations. Nobel Peace Prize Laureate.

Anyauku, Emeka– former Commonwealth Secretary General, current President of the World Wildlife Fund

Beltran, Domingo Jimenez– Executive Director of the European Environment Agency

Binde, Jerome– Director of Foresight, UNESCO

Bruntland, Gro Harlem– United Nations Special Envoy for Climate Change, former President of Norway

Campbell, Kim– former Prime Minister of Canada and Senior Fellow of the Gorbachev Foundation

Carter, Jimmy– former President of the United States, Nobel Peace Prize Laureate. **Council on Foreign Relations**

Cleveland, Harlan– former Assistant US Secretary of State and NATO Ambassador

Clinton, Bill– former President of the United States, founder of the Clinton Global Initiative. **Council on Foreign Relations**

Cuellar, Javier Perez de – former Secretary General of the United Nations.

Daly, Dr. Herman E., U.S.A – Emeritus Professor at the University of Maryland, School of Public Affairs was formerly **Senior Economist in the Environment Department of the World Bank**, and Alumni Professor of Economics at Louisiana State University.

Delors, Jacques– Former President of the **European Commission**

Dubee, Prof. Frederick C., Canada – **Senior Advisor United Nations Global Compact**, Executive Director (International) of the MBA Center and Global Management Education Institute at the Shanghai University, Honorary Professor, Beijing

Ehrlich, Anne – Population Biologist. **Married to Paul Ehrlich** with whom she has authored many books on human overpopulation. **Also a former director of Friends of the Earth and the Sierra Club**, and a **member of the UN's Global Roll of Honor**.

Finkbeiner, Frithjof– Coordinator of the **Global Marshall Plan**

Gates, Bill – founder of Microsoft, philanthropist

Gorbachev, Mikhail – CoR executive member, **former President of the Soviet Union**, founder of Green Cross International and the Gorbachev Foundation, Nobel Peace Prize winner, co-founder (with Hidalgo) of the Club of Madrid, **co-author (with Strong) of the Earth Charter**.

Gore, Al– former VP of the USA, leading climate change campaigner, Nobel Peace Prize winner, Academy Award winner, Emmy winner. **Gore lead the US delegations to the Rio Earth Summit and Kyoto Climate Change conference**. He chaired a meeting of the full Club of Rome held in Washington DC in 1997.

Council on Foreign Relations

Hardin, Garret – Professor of Human Ecology. **Originator of the 'Global Commons' concept**. Has **authored** many controversial **papers on human overpopulation and eugenics**.

Hidalgo Diego– CoR executive member, co-founder (with Gorbachev) of the Club of Madrid, founder and President of the **European Council on Foreign Relations** in association with George Soros

Johnson, Ian has over thirty years experience in economic development. He spent twenty-six years at the **World Bank**.. with his last eight years as, **Vice President for Sustainable Development** and, for five years, also Chairman of the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR).

Johnston, Peter– Director General of **European Commission**

Kanninen, Tapio – **Director of Policy and Planning, United Nations**

Kissinger, Henry – former US Secretary of State, **Counsel of Foreign Relations**

Kung, Hans– Founder of the **Global Ethic Foundation**

Laszlo, Ervin– **founding member of the CoR**, founder and President of the Club of Budapest, founder and Chairman of the World Wisdom Council

Lees, Martin – CoR Secretary General, Rector of the **UN University of Peace**

Lubbers, Ruud– **United Nations High Commissioner** for Refugees

Maathai, Wangari– Nobel Peace Prize Laureate, founder of the **Green Belt Movement**

Mayor, Federico – Former Director General of UNESCO

Matsuura, Koïchiro – Current Director General of UNESCO

Matthews, George– Chairman of the Gorbachev Foundation

Muller, Robert – former Assistant Secretary General of the United Nations, founder and Chancellor of the UN University of Peace.

Osterwalder, Konrad – Under-Secretary-General of the United Nations

Prodi, Romano – former Prime Minister of Italy and President of the European Commission

Radermacher, Franz Josef – Founder of the Global Marshall Plan

Robinson, Mary – United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

Rockefeller, David, Sr. – CoR executive member, former Chairman of Chase Manhattan Bank, founder of the Trilateral Commission, executive member of the World Economic Forum, **donated land on which the United Nations stands, Council on Foreign Relations**

Schneider, Stephen – Stanford Professor of Biology and Global Change.

Professor Schneider **was among the earliest and most vocal proponents of man-made global warming and a lead author of many IPCC reports.**

Soros, George – multibillionaire, major donor to the UN

Strong, Maurice– former Head of the UN Environment Program, Chief Policy Advisor to Kofi Annan, Secretary General of the Rio Earth Summit, **co-author (with Gorbachev) of the Earth Charter, co-author of the Kyoto Protocol, founder of the Earth Council**

Talal, Hassan bin – President of the CoR, President of the Arab Thought Forum, founder of the World Future Council, **recently named as the United Nations 'Champion of the Earth'.**

Tickell, Sir Crispin– former British Permanent Representative to the United Nations and **Permanent Representative on the Security Council, Chairman of the 'Gaia Society', Chairman of the Board of the Climate Institute, leading British climate change campaigner.**

Turner, Ted – media mogul, philanthropist, **founder of CNN, gave 1 billion dollars for UN causes**

Wirth, Timothy – President of the United Nations Foundation

Ernesto Zedillo – Director of The Yale Center for the Study of Globalization (these are just a small sampling of the 1800 members claimed can be found on the membership lists of the CoRUSA , Club of Budapest, Club of Madrid and/or CoR National Association membership pages)

This is by no means a complete list of the current or former CoR members.

Constance Cumbey gives a **November 15, 1979** list of the U. S. Association for the Club of Rome in her book *A Planned Deception: The Staging of a New Age Messiah*. Her list includes:

Barbara Blum -Deputy Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency

Robert Cahn - Washington editor, *Audubon Magazine*, former member , Council on Environmental Quality

Harlan B. Cleveland - Director of Program in International Affairs, The Aspen Institute for Humanistic Studies; **former U. S. Ambassador to NATO**

Earl Cook - Dean of Geosciences and Professor of Geology and Geography, Texas A&M University

Betty Friedan - Founding President of the National Organization for Women

Odessa Komer - Vice president, United Auto Workers, Detroit, Michigan

Donald R. Lesh - Executive Director, U.S. Association for the Club of Rome

Amory B. Lovins - Friends of the Earth

Louis B. Lundborn - Former Chairman of the Board, The Bank of America

Henrietta Marshall - Chairperson, Planned Parenthood Federation of America

A. Helen Martikainen - Former Chief of Health Education, U. N. World Health Organization; Member of board US CoR

Russell W. Peterson - President, National Audubon Society; former Director , U.S. Office of Technology Assessment; former Chairman of Council on Environmental Quality

Frank M. Potter, Jr. - Staff Director and Counsel, House subcommittee on Energy and Power, U.S. Congress (Constance E. Cumbey, *A Planned Deception*, Pointe Pub, 1985, pp. 213 - 219)

(See Cumbey's entire list in Appendix B pp. 213 - 222. It makes for very interesting reading.)

The International Council of the Earth Day Network is packed full of CoR members including: Maurice Strong, Gro Harlem Brundtland, Jonathon Lash, Wangari Maathai, Queen Noor, Jane Goodall and other prominent green leaders such as Lester Brown, David Suzuki, Robert Kennedy Jr, and Gus Sepeth.

CoR member Ted Turner, who reportedly donated one billion dollars to support environmental activities of the UN founded the The United Nations Foundation. A large part of the money was designated for "programs specifically addressing climate change" and funding the IPCC. (Op. cite. Identity p. 30)

The Counsel on Foreign Relations

Wikipedia informs us that, "**The Council on Foreign Relations**, which is a U.S. counterpart to the [Royal Institute of International Affairs](#) in London" (aka Chatham House), was formed in 1922 to bolster America's foreign relations in a noncommercial, nonpolitical way. "From its inception the Council was bipartisan, welcoming members of both [Democratic](#) and [Republican](#) parties." For instance, Democrats Bill Clinton, Jimmy Carter, John Kerry and Republicans Gerald Ford, Herbert Walker Bush, Dick Cheney, and Newt Gingrich are members. It also welcomed Jews, like Henry Kissenger, and African Americans, like Collin Powell. Although women were initially barred from membership Olympia Snowe, Ruth Bader Ginsburg and Sandra D. O'Connor and others are on one writers list of members . CFR meetings have been primarily "**private and confidential.** A critical study found that of 502 government officials surveyed from 1945 to 1972, more than half were members of the Council."

"When [Hamilton Fish Armstrong](#) announced in 1970 that he would be leaving the helm of *Foreign Affairs* after 45 years, new chairman [David Rockefeller](#) approached a family friend, [William Bundy](#), to take over the position." (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Council_on_Foreign_Relations)

"The Council has been the subject of debates over sovereignty as well as the subject of numerous [conspiracy theories](#)." (Ibid.)

The CFR started a program May 1, 2008 entitled "*International Institutions and Global Governance Program: World Order in the 21 St Century*". This, "New Initiative of the Council on Foreign Relations is a, "comprehensive five year program on international institutions **and global governance**," funded by **a grant** from the Robina Foundation called "International Institutions and Global Governance" which aims to identify the institutional requirements for effective multilateral cooperation in the 21st century." The stated purpose of the organization is, "To explore the **institutional requirements for world order in the twenty-first century**," (www.cfr.org)

The Obama administration has strong ties to the CFR. For one thing, Obama has chosen **President of the Council on Foreign Relations** (CFR) Richard Haass, along with senior CFR-member Richard Holbrooke, and CFR-member Dennis Ross as special Foreign Envoys/ Foreign Policy Advisors, reporting directly to Obama (as reported by CBS News). Richard Holbrooke has also been a CFR director three times, as he is presently. Richard Haas is also a CFR director. For anyone who doubts the Commission's continuing influence on Obama, consider that he has already appointed no less than nine members of the Commission to top-level and key positions in his Administration. These include:

Secretary of Treasury, Tim Geithner
Ambassador to the United Nations, Susan Rice
National Security Advisor, Gen. James L. Jones
Deputy National Security Advisor, Thomas Donilon
Director of National Intelligence, Admiral Dennis C. Blair
Chairman, Economic Recovery Committee, Paul Volker
Assistant Secretary of State, Asia & Pacific, Kurt M. Campbell
Deputy Secretary of State, James Steinberg
State Department, Special Envoy, Richard Haass
State Department, Special Envoy, Dennis Ross
State Department, Special Envoy, Richard Holbrooke
 (Identity Of the Antichrist part 2, A Publication of Rema Marketing. @2009)

How serious is this over abundance of CFR members to the sovereignty of the United States ?

Consider this quote from the 2008 CFR publication "*International Institutions and Global Governance*" *Re-conceptualizing "sovereignty" in an age of globalization*. The post-Cold War era has posed challenges to traditional concepts of state sovereignty, in at least four respects. **First**, some failing and post-conflict states have become wards of the international community, **submitting to a form of UN "neo-trusteeship."** **Second**, some **countries by their conduct have lost their immunity from intervention**, as part of an emerging doctrine of "contingent sovereignty." **Third**, nearly all states – **including the United States** – **have voluntarily forfeited some historic freedom of action** to manage transnational threats and exploit international opportunities. **Finally**, some countries, particularly in the EU, have **chosen to "pool" their sovereignty** in return for economic, social, and political benefits. The program could provide a **valuable intellectual contribution by tracing the scope and implications of these transformations.** –

Accommodating non-state actors in global governance. Although states remain the foundation of international order, they face growing competition as wielders of influence and (often) legitimacy from non-state actors. In **designing new frameworks of global governance**, the **United States and other governments must provide opportunities for partnership** with and input from interested stakeholders, including civil society actors, advocacy groups, and corporations—without allowing the global agenda to be hijacked by unrepresentative interests. The program can identify lessons from recent experience about how to strike this delicate balance. —

Overcoming the “democratic deficit” in global governance arrangements. Efforts at international cooperation, particularly of a supranational character (as in the European Union), often become divorced from the democratic will of the national publics of member states. By examining multilateral institutions across a variety of sectors, the program may generate useful insights about how to **improve the democratic accountability of multilateral bodies**. It might also evaluate the frequent contention that an Alliance of Democracies represents **a plausible framework for global order and a realistic alternative to the UN (which obviously includes authoritarian as well as democratic regimes)**" (cited work p. 11)

Another May 21, 2012 CFR document entitled "**The Global Climate Change Regime**" suggests ways "**for Obama to force progress**" because of the U. S. "**failure to pass comprehensive climate legislation.**" (cited work p. 29) The suggestions are: **First, "to issue more executive orders and administrative rulemakings to partially substitute for Congressional opposition to his climate and energy agenda."** **Second,** "**working through the EPA and the Clean Air Act, he could enact tougher rules that would cut carbon pollution from power plants and mitigate the potential effects of failure to enact a national cap-and-trade program.**" **Third "enforced"** auto industry July 2011 fuel standards agreements. **Fourth, "Government procurement of renewable energy and energy-efficient products and services and reductions in subsidies for fossil fuel-related research extraction."** **Finally, "strike a deal with China to reduce global CO2."** (Ibid.)

Is it not incredible that Obama has been two steps ahead of these suggestions! Our sovereignty is being destroyed by consistently implementing the CFR, not to mention the Club of Rome plan but there is more.

UNFPA

The UNFPA has been the population control arm of the Climate Change Regime from its inception. In fact "an independent congressional committee of the Peruvian government" "charged that the UNFPA supported the forced sterilization campaigns executed by former Peruvian dictator Alberto Fujimori". This was seen as invalidating or proving false the "claims in the press that the UNFPA supports only voluntary planning in China and elsewhere." (<http://www.pop.org/content/peru-unfpa-supported-fujimoris-forced-sterilization-campaigns-533>, Population Research Institute, "Weekly Briefing" July 22, 2002 Vol. 4/ No 7)

The Report states "he coercive sterilization campaigns “executed by the Peruvian government [under Fujimori] were induced and financed by international organizations, especially... the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA),”. The UNFPA, along with other international groups, “brought not only special financing but also demographic goals, for the focalized reduction of the Peruvian population and the fecundity of Peruvian women, especially the women of rural areas.” (Ibid.)

The United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) Executive Director Thoraya Ahmed Obaid says, it, "helps ensure that every pregnancy is wanted, every birth is safe, every young person is free of HIV and AIDS and every girl and woman is treated with dignity and respect." (State of the World Population 2009 http://www.unfpa.org/swp/2009/en/pdf/EN_SOWP09.pdf, p. vii)

These kind of goals sound very good as well as the assertions by the UNFPA of women's reproductive rights, and health care rights. However, the UNFPA claims that China is reforming its coercive 1 child policy while even the BBC reports that China is expanding the range of its control to forcibly abort babies whose fathers are Taiwanese citizens. (Op. cit. PRI)

Furthermore the UNFPA assumptions of man made global warming are all built on the patently false fraud science that manipulated data to make the claim.

The patent lie is stated succinctly, "because the greenhouse gases that are naturally in the atmosphere have been augmented by **those resulting from human activity**, the equilibrium that keeps the earth at a relatively constant temperature has been disrupted. **Since the Industrial Revolution, intense burning of wood, charcoal, coal, oil, and gas has resulted in increased concentrations of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere.** Rice-growing, livestock-raising, and burning organic wastes have more than doubled methane concentrations. The use of artificial fertilizers, made possible by techniques developed in the early 20th century, has released large amounts of another greenhouse gas, nitrous oxide, into air and water. **And since the 1920s, industry has used a number of man-made carbon compounds for refrigeration and fire suppression.** Some of these compounds have been found to be very powerful greenhouse gases. Future climate change will depend largely on how fast greenhouse gases accumulate in the atmosphere." (Ibid. p. 2)

As would be expected the UNFPA promotes the IPCC as a respected when we now know they have purposefully manipulated data to maintain the climate Change Regime lie of global warming, as will be documented later in this work. The 2009 World Population Report states:

"The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change has supported the scientific conclusion that **human-caused increases in concentrations of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere are very likely the cause of most of the temperature increases** the world has experienced since the middle of the 20th century. The Panel consists of more than 2,000 scientists and other experts from around the world and is sponsored by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and the World Meteorological Organization." (Ibid. p.5)

The UNFPA blames the current world population growth as the cause of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, it is a stretch, we know, to make the connection but they do. They state, for instance, "**Greenhouse gases would not be accumulating so hazardously had the number of earth's inhabitants not increased so rapidly, but remained at 300 million people**, the world population of 1,000 years ago, compared with 6.8 billion today..." **The connection between population growth and the accumulation of greenhouse gases has barely featured in the scientific and diplomatic discussions so far. One reason for this is that population growth and what, if anything, should be done about it, have long been difficult, controversial and divisive topics.**" (Ibid.)

The UNFPA touts the party line that industrialized, modern nations are responsible for most of the Green house gases in the atmosphere. They report, **"The dominant responsibility for the current build-up of greenhouse gases lies with developed countries** whose population growth and fertility rates, **while fairly high in earlier centuries, have now mostly subsided** to the point where family sizes of two or fewer children are the norm." **"Emissions from some large developing countries are now growing rapidly as a result of their carbon-intensive industrialization** and changing patterns of consumption, as well as their current demographic growth." (Ibid.)

Is it not interesting that **China, India, and Russia are considered "developing nations"** in spite of the fact that China and Russia sit on the UN Security Council and have the same Veto Power as the US?

The UNFPA declares, **"The vast majority of the world's population growth today occurs in developing countries,** whose contribution to global greenhouse-gas emissions is historically far less than those of the developed countries. (Ibid.)

It may come as a surprise to many that the Girl Scouts of America is involved with the UNFPA and the International Planned Parenthood Federation. In "Family Planning: meeting challenges, Promoting Choices" published by the International Planned Parenthood Federation it states, *"This project began in 1990 with funding provided by the **United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA)**... It is co-ordinated by the **International Planned Parenthood Federation (IPPF)** in collaboration with the **World Health Organization (WHO)**, the **League of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies**, the **World Assembly of Youth**, the **World Association of Girl Guides and Girl Scouts (WAGGGS)**, the **World Organization of the Scout Movement (WOSM)** and the **World Young Women's Christian Association (YWCA)**.* (www.honestgirlscouts.com/images/93pp-wagggs-familyplan.gif)

With our knowledge of the worldview, religious influence, and these other aims and goals expressed by influential people and groups we may now look at the historical background of the Climate Change Regime.

Historical background of the Climate Change Regime

The pre Climate Change Regime UNFCCC environmental movement not only had strong influence from the foundational books and groups with their plans but from also international meetings. In 1972, the [United Nations Conference on the Human Environment](#) was held in [Stockholm](#), and for the **first time united the representatives of multiple governments in discussion relating to the state of the global environment.** This conference led directly to the creation of government environmental agencies and the [UN Environment Program](#).

This author believes that **many people in the U.S. were extremely naïve about scientific assertions based on limited data** and the fact that some **scientists would operate** by an agenda **rather than real science.** One writer says, " a small group of environmentally oriented Western scientists—including Bert Bolin of Sweden, later the chair of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)—worked to promote the climate change issue on the international agenda" was a factor that acted as a direct catalyst for governmental action." "These scientists", such as NASA's James Hansen in his testimony before congress in 1987 and 1988, "acted as **"knowledge brokers"** and

entrepreneurs, helping to translate and publicize the emerging scientific knowledge about the greenhouse effect through workshops and conferences, articles in nonspecialist journals such as *Scientific American*, and personal contacts with policy makers." (Daniel Bodansky, *The History of the Global Climate Change Regime*". (graduateinstitute.ch webdav/site/iheid/shared/iheid/800/luterbacher/luterbacher%20chapter%202%20102.pdf))

Therefore, in response to some real needs for environmental reform legislators also **went too far by adopting new legislation without setting reasonable limits**. The United States adopted "new legislation such as the [Clean Water Act](#), passed in 1972, the [Clean Air Act](#), the [Endangered Species Act](#), and the [National Environmental Policy Act](#)-the foundations for current U.S. environmental standards." (Op. cite. Wiki environmental)

The Clean Air Act

The Clean Air Act of 1963 Act established a basic research program, which was expanded in 1967. There were **major amendments to the law, requiring regulatory controls for air pollution, that were enacted in 1970, 1977 and 1990**.

According to wikipedia, "The 1970 amendments greatly expanded the federal mandate by requiring comprehensive federal and state regulations for both stationary (industrial) pollution sources and [mobile sources](#). **Federal [enforcement](#) authority was also significantly expanded**.

The 1990 amendments added provisions for addressing [acid rain](#), [ozone depletion](#) and toxic air pollution, **established a national permits program for stationary sources, and increased enforcement authority**. The amendments also established new auto gasoline reformulation requirements, set [Reid Vapor Pressure](#) (RVP) standards to [control evaporative emissions](#) from gasoline, and mandated that the new gasoline formulations be sold from May to September in many states.

The Clean Air Act is significant in that it was the first major [environmental law](#) in the United States to include a provision for [citizen suits](#)." (en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Clean_Air_Act_(United_States))

We will see later in this work how the EPA has expanded their rulings and regulations under this act with its 2005 revisions to meet the Climate Change Regime UNFCCC regulatory requirements.

The Endangered Species Act

The Endangered Species Act adopted in "1973 (ESA; [7 U.S.C. § 136](#), [16 U.S.C. § 1531](#) et seq.) is one of the dozens of [United States environmental laws](#) passed in the 1970s. **Signed into law by President [Richard Nixon](#) on December 28, 1973**, it was designed to protect critically [imperiled species](#) from [extinction](#) as a "consequence of economic growth and development untempered by adequate concern and [conservation](#)." (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Endangered_Species_Act)

Let us further review the **Endangered Species Act of 1973**, with its revisions, for a moment. The basic premise of the ESA is built on the **"finding that (1) various**

species of fish, wildlife, and plants in the United States have been rendered extinct as a consequence of economic growth and development untempered by adequate concern and conservation". (<http://epw.senate.gov/esa73.pdf>, January 24, 2002)

The ES Act itself does **not delineate any specific examples** of the "fish, wildlife or plants" that have been rendered extinct specifically "as a consequence of economic growth and development."

A further part of the finding is that, "(2) **other species of fish, wildlife, and plants have been so depleted in numbers that they are in danger of or threatened with extinction**; (3) **these species of fish, wildlife, and plants are of esthetic, ecological, educational, historical, recreational, and scientific value to the Nation and its people**;" (Ibid)

The primary "purposes" of this Act are **to provide a means whereby the ecosystems upon which endangered species and threatened species depend may be conserved, to provide a program for the conservation of such endangered species and threatened species, and to take such steps as may be appropriate to achieve the purposes of the treaties and conventions set forth in subsection (a) of this section.**" (Ibid.)

The act defines the term "endangered species" as "any species which is **in danger of extinction throughout all or a significant portion of its range** other than a species of the Class Insecta determined by the Secretary to constitute a pest whose protection under the provisions of this Act would present an overwhelming and overriding risk to man." (Ibid.)

Let the author state here that **it is the right of any nation to have such laws as the ESA.**

Let the author also state for the record that **he likes the idea of conservation of species and certain protections.** For instance, the author would like to see a live Brontosaurus or Tyrannosaurus Rex but he would not want to get too close. He would like to see various kinds of Pterodactyls, or even a more recent DoDo bird.

The author is quite aware of the fact, that a massive extinction of species took place way before any human was the cause! Say with the author, **fossils of all kinds of insects, animals, fauna, and flora prove that massive extinctions on this planet took place long before human could have possibly been the cause.**

Ask yourself this question, did the extinction of all dinosaurs destroy the earth or even inhibit it. No!

Is it possible that drastic climate change caused the extinction of dinosaurs?

Yes, but **mankind did not cause it**, and we aren't causing it today!

Did the extinction of the DoDo bird or the Prairie Chicken cause and irreversible disruption of the entire environment? No!

If a salamander or bug was actually the very last of its kind and died out, would the environment be forever out of whack? Absolutely not!

If millions of dinosaurs and all their species died out, and the earth was not forever imbalanced, then it would be the same in today's world, as history has proven to us.

That species die out is a **part of the natural history of this planet that has had nothing to do with mankind.** Although this author has actually seen human foot

prints in dinosaur strata, one print being inside a dinosaur print in Glenn Rose, Texas, so called scientist insist man and dinosaurs did not coexist.

It is important to see how the ESA began to influence this country from its very beginning. In an article titled "Endangered Species Act Lessons Over 30 Years, and the Legacy of the Snail Darter, a Small Fish in a Pork Barrel" Zygmunt J.B. Plater wrote the following statement about the ESA "Like the NEPA, the **ESA was drafted in generalized policy terms, reflecting politicians' opportunistic reaction to the public's strong feelings of the moment, and, like NEPA's litigable enforcement provisions, the ESA's teeth similarly lay hidden within its prose, unrecognized by the majority; of legislators.** Very unlike NEPA, however, the prohibitions within the ESA's section 7 and section 9 **turned out to be substantive, not circumventable by paperwork and procedure.**" (Zygmunt J.B. Plater, Endangered Species Act Lessons over 30 Years ..., Digital Commons, Boston College Law School Faculty Papers. Paper 172, 2004, lawdigitalcommons.bc.edu/islfp/172, p. 290)

Platter continues to tell us that although the ESA was similar to the CAA and the CWA in that on its face it, "**purported to be merely an amendment** of a prior-existing federal law but **was dramatically more potent than its ineffectual statutory predecessors, creating an innovative and enforceable federal regime** operating on a plane above traditional state administration." (*Ibid.*)

The first example of how the ESA could interfere with the best interest of the **people concerns a two and a half inch long perch called the Snail Darter.** Supposedly, "the last place left on earth in which significant numbers of the species lived was", or could live, "was within in the last flowing 33 miles of the Little Tennessee River." (*Ibid.*)

The Little Tennessee River Valley Authority proposed a public works built reservoir which purported to provide to project benefits. First, it would increase the region's net recreational benefits by \$1.4 million a year. Second, was that 20% more of the project benefits would be generated by land sales and development. (*Ibid.*)

Farmers and others gained a stay of construction under the NEPA which the TVA was able to get around after a sixteen month delay.

However, **after getting the snail darter listed on the official endangered species list a law suite was filed** on the basis of the ESA known as Hiram Hill et al. v Tennessee Valley Authority ([437 U.S. 153](#) (1978)), when the Tellico Dam was 95% complete. The case went through the courts all the way to finally being upheld by "the Supreme Court that affirmed that TVA had to obey the law."

In it's 6-3 vote **the Supreme Court cited the explicit wording of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) ensuring [habitat](#) for [listed species](#) is not disrupted.** The Court stated "it is clear that the TVA's proposed operation of the dam will have precisely the opposite effect, **namely the eradication of an endangered species.**" In the [ensuing controversy](#), the [Endangered Species Committee](#) (also known as the "God Squad") was convened to issue a waiver for ESA protection of the snail darter. In a unanimous decision, the Committee refused an exemption of the Tellico Dam project. Charles Schulze, the chairman of the [President's Council of Economic Advisers](#), later cited economic assessments that despite the Tellico Dam being 95% complete, "if one takes just the cost of finishing it against the benefits and does it properly, it doesn't pay,

which says something about the original design." (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tellico_Dam)

The **Tellico Dam project was finally exempted from the Endangered Species Act as an amendment to an Appropriations Bill** while portions of the snail darter population were located to other streams. (*Ibid.*)

Evidently the Snail Darter was not "**eradicated**" as the Supreme Court ruled on and survived just fine since there has been no word on its final demise.

It is amazing that that a fish which was so important it would stop a dam is not worthy of published attention when it can no longer be used to stop a construction project.

How crazy can river and dam situations get? Consider the **Klamath River lunacy**. Many environmentalists and the U.S. Department of Interior with other agencies, have been pushing for restoration of the Klamath River in Oregon which includes the removal of four hydro electricity producing dams. Government agencies and environmentalists hope that the removal of the dams will result in salmon swimming upstream. The Klamath Restoration Agreement website states, "Dam removal will essentially **re-open over 600 miles of historic river and stream habitat for salmon, steelhead, and other fish species.**" (Reba Rast, "Maybe it's time we dam up government interference in the economy", netrightdaily.com, 5/2/2012)

What happens to the habitat of the fish that have been living in the lakes?

Who is going to replace the electricity that these four hydro electric dams produced, and will it cost more to do it?

Was their any kind of tourism associated with the lakes like boating? What happens to the jobs lost because of the loss of tourism? What about property values and homes that have been built around the lakes?

Who gets the land that is uncovered by the lake removal?

Let us now consider a second ESA fiasco. In 1986 a group of "environmentalists petitioned the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to list the northern spotted owl as an "endangered species" which would bar the timber industry from harvesting trees in the 1, 678,031 acre Willamette National Forest.

Finally, in June of 1990 after four years of litigation and negotiation the northern spotted owl was declared a "threatened species". The agreement reached would require timber companies to leave at least 40% of the old-growth forests untouched within a 1.3 mile radius of any spotted owl nest or activity site. This requirement is strongly opposed by the timber companies involved since it devastates much of the logging industry in Oregon and Washington and the loss of thousands of jobs. (Claire Andre and Manuel Velasquez, *Ethics and the Spotted Owl Controversy*, www.scu.edu/ethics/publications/iie/v4n1)

While Environmentalists admit that the listing of the northern spotted owl as threatened will cost jobs they just say that the jobs would have been lost anyway since all the trees would be cut down in 30 years at the current rate of harvesting. (*Ibid.*)

"Since April 1994, the forest is governed by the [Northwest Forest Plan](#), which restrict, but does not eliminate, logging in potential spotted owl habitat." (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Willamette_National_Forest)

Oh by the way, would it be of any importance at all to know that the northern spotted owl, "primarily inhabits **old growth** forests in the **northern part of its range**

(Canada to southern Oregon) and landscapes with a mix of old and younger forest types in the southern part of its range (Klamath region and California) . The species' range is the Pacific coast from **extreme southern British Columbia** to Marin County in northern California." (<http://www.avianweb.com/northernspottedowls.html>)

Of course it never occurs to the environmentalists that new trees sprout where old trees have been cut down and repopulate the forest (guess what pine cones are). It probably never occurs to environmentalists that birds do not ask a tree how old it is before they build their nest. Actually, reforestation does occur to environmentalist (the Climate Change Regime) and it is covered by REDD+ guidelines.

Let us now **consider the ESA case of Stephens' kangaroo rat**. The k-rat received national attention back in October of 1993 because of a fire in Riverside County, California. The ESA had listed the k-rat as endangered setting aside **12,000 acres** for its preserve **1,100 of which are on the former March Air Force Base in Riverside**. ("March Stephens Kangaroo Rat Preserve", http://www.cnlm.org/cms/images/stories/cnlm_docs/brochures/march_skr_brochure_final.pdf)

Fire victims as well as fire officials in the county had knew that fire breaks were needed between houses and the totally raw thousands of acres set aside for the k-rat. While **California state law requires that all flammable vegetation be removed** within a minimum of **30 feet** around structures by clearing ground to the bare mineralized soil, a **Riverside ordinance required clearing up to 100 feet**. Furthermore, the Riverside County Fire Department, which operated under a contract with the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, notified property owners of their responsibility to abate flammable vegetation as directed. (Ike C. Sugg, "Rats, Lies, and The GAO", August 1994, <http://cei.org/pdf/4361.pdf>)

In a contradictory move **the ESA's enforcement arm** the Fish and Wildlife Service (FSW), sent out a warning in March of 1989 that recommended mowing in **morning hours around houses instead of disk plowing the soil to destroy flammable vegetation**, "so as to avoid the destruction of the endangered species or its habitat". (Ibid.)

When the county informed the FSW of the increased fire hazards related to mowing rather than disking, they **were warned that the County would be held responsible for any k-rates were "taken"**. They also advised that the k-rat could be found anywhere in its historic range of over **500,000 acres** and they should not rely on maps which indicated the k-rat did not live in the housing areas. **They further warned that "disking within the historic range and in potential habitat of this species puts the County and land owner at risk of violating Section 9 of the (ESA)..."** which states that **"civil and criminal penalties can be levied against responsible parties."** (Ibid.)

The unfortunate **outcome of this lunacy is that on October 26, 1993 around 11:30 PM, high winds blew a power-line in Riverside County, California down starting a fire**. The aftermath was **25,000 acres burned destroying 29 homes out of 300 in its path**. **19 of those homes destroyed were in habitat designated "preserve study areas" for the Stephens' Kangaroo rat.**(Ibid.)

One man, **Michael Row**, saw the fire moving over a neighbors nearby hilltop, cut through a fence, **jumped on his tractor and disked a firebreak to protect his property**. **He saved his home**. While the GAO, speaking for the FSW, pretended that it

was a wind shift that saved his home, Mr. Row declared, the wind was blowing right at me" (Ibid.)

This k-rat scenario caused Richard **Wilson, Director of the California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, at the time, to say, "There's and inherent conflict between preserving wildlife habitat and fire safety"** in California. (Ibid.)

More than 1,000 species are now on the endangered species list and thousands can, and will be added to take more property for Mother Earth and The Climate Change Regime.

How crazy can the ESA restrictions be? 800,000 acres across 33 counties were designate endangered species habitat by the ESA through the FSW to protect the golden cheeked warbler. Guess what, **"Although the Golden-cheeked Warbler nests in Texas and winters in Mexico and northern Central America, wayward individuals have turned up in Florida, the Virgin Islands, and off the coast of California."**

http://www.allaboutbirds.org/guide/Golden-cheeked_Warbler/lifehistory)

Can the ESA get crazier? Consider the case of 77 year old Grace Heck. Grace was prohibited from building a home on land she bought in New Jersey for her retirement because the **FSW ruled that there was a federally protected plant species "within five miles of the proposed project site."** (James Bovard, "Endangered Property Rights", *Freedom Daily*, June 1998)

Can ESA enforcement actions get crazier? Consider John Shuler a Montana rancher who was fined \$4,000.00 by the Interior Department for shooting a grizzly bear. The problem with the fine is that grizzly bears had been slaughtering Mr. Shuler's sheep for months. One night when Schuler heard a commotion he went outside to see three grizzlies attacking his sheep and another one heading toward him, apparently in attack mode. Schuler shot the bear that was proceeding to attack him then ducked back inside the house. The Interior Department sued Schuler and with one of the agency's judges hearing the case, he, of course, lost. (Ibid.)

In May of **1997 the FSW announced that it would have 18 million acres of "private land" locked up under its plans restricting owners use.** This is in addition the **more that 10 million acres of private and public lands that have already come under the federal ESA governance.** (Ibid.)

Would any one guess that, **"The Federal Government owns nearly 650 million acres of land," which is about "30 percent of the land area of the United States."** (<http://nationalatlas.gov/printable/fedlands.html>)

It has been reported that a former Interior Department economist named Richard Stroup said, "The Fish and Wildlife Service faces no budget constraint on the number of acres it can control in the name of endangered species, so it always wants more." (Op. cite. Bovard)

At the **root of the ESA is the question of earth's rights.** Earlier in this study we discussed the **world view that drives the Climate Change Regime** which includes the rights not only of Mother Earth but also everything, insect, animal, grass, tree, bird etc. al which is considered an integral part of her. As one writer observes, "Preservationists also defend their case on the basis of animal rights. **Every living creature, they argue, has a right to life.**" (Op. cit., Claire Andre)

Does any one recognize that phrase the "right to life". Surely every one in the USA recognizes the statement from our own Declaration of Independence " We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness."(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/United_States_Declaration_of_Independence)

The ESA however **does not just include animals**. It includes anything that the FWS and Department of Interior declare "endangered" which we have seen can include plants or any living thing. Senator Richard Shelby of Alabama once protested: **"Scientific deception on the part of the FWS has gone on long enough. Under current law, the FWS is the investigator, author, decision maker, enforcer and appeals court for all endangered species.** That is very similar to hiring one person to serve your country as the police officer, prosecutor, defense lawyer, judge, jury and appeals court." (Op. cite. Bovard)

Brian Sussman recently released a book he entitled *Eco-Tyranny: How the Left's Green Agenda will Dismantle America* in which he exposes Barack Obama's plan to seize more land from the American people. (Kevin DeAnna, "Obama's Secret Plan to Seize Americans' Land", wn.com/2012/04/obamas-secret-... 4/20/2012)

In a "Fox and Friends" interview with Steve Doocy, Sussman said he had gained access to confidential memos, from inside the administration, revealing Obama's plan to take **over hundreds of thousands of acres more of private land** to keep it from being developed. (Ibid.)

"This plan must be stopped because it's antithetical to what America is all about. It's not about federal government owning land, it's about we the people owning land and allowing us to do whatever we would like to do with that land, especially when it comes to natural resources," Sussman said. He commented later, "This is not about environment- this is about control." (Ibid.)

Under the auspices of protecting endangered species private property is being taken in the USA and managed in such a way that it meets the Climate Change Regime UNFCCC under its heading of "biodiversity."

The Millennium Development Goals Report 2010 states on that although some success in biodiversity has been achieved, "the loss of biodiversity continues - unrelenting. **Nearly 17, 000 species of plants and animals are known to be threatened with extinction.** Based on current trends, the loss of species will continue throughout this century, with **increasing risk of dramatic shifts in ecosystems and erosion of benefits** for society. Despite increased investment in conservation planning and action, the **major drivers of biodiversity loss - including high rates of consumption**, habitat loss, invasive species, pollution and climate change - are not yet being sufficiently addressed." (UN MDG Report 2010 p. 55)

Now that we have looked very briefly **at the ESA and its relationship to the Climate Change Regime** let us once again make a clarification.

Many people are advocates for a clean healthy environment. In fact the **Judeo-Christian worldview that has been accused of causing all the environmental problems has historically advocated being what we, as Christians, call "good stewards" of the earth.**

Bernard Ramm writes, "The theological, the ethical, and the practical are so conjoined in the Bible with statements about Nature or creation that it is impossible to separate them, and to impugn one is to impugn the other." (Ramm, *Op. cite.* p. 26)

Environmentalists who have bought into the Climate Change Regime agenda advocate the "sustainable" management of resources and stewardship of the environment through changes in public policy and individual behavior. **In its recognition of humanity as a participant in (not enemy of) ecosystems, the movement is centered on ecology, health, and human rights.**" (Op. cite. wiki environmental)

It must be said that **The Judeo Christian worldview and teachings never made mankind and enemy of the world.** Mankind does not have to literally be part of earth to respect and preserve it. The Bible's original admonition, from God to man, gives mankind the authority to "subdue" the earth and have "dominion" over animal kind but not destroy it flagrantly. The command to subdue the earth simply means preparing it in such a way as to get the maximum benefit from it. Uncleared and unprepared soil can not produce quality or quantity grains, fruit or vegetables. Clearing doesn't have to always mean trees it can mean anything other than the crop which you wish to produce. **Greater productivity means less land is required to provide for more people, which is good land management and real sustainable development.**

At this point we have looked at a number of different influences leading up to the 1992 Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro and the adoption of the UNFCCC Climate Change Regime treaty in 1992. We have discussed influential people and their writings on environmental issues. We have discussed three organizations two of which have discussed plans for implementing an environmental regime. In the process of discussing these people and groups, their world views, and religious biases we have uncovered their stated and published agenda that promotes a one world government that they call by various names such as Climate Change Regime, Sustainable Development, Environmental movement, New World Order, New Age etc. al. This plan or combination thereof, began to be openly implemented by The Clean Air Act, and Endangered species Act initially, although other environmental actions were undoubtedly also contributing factors.

Beginning of the UNFCCC Climate Change Regime

The U. S. A. has actively been involved with environmental issues for many years. It is, therefore, not surprising that the US would be involved in the **United Nations Framework of Climate Change Convention** (UNFCCC). It must be understood, however, that the UNFCCC is different than the previous Montreal Protocol environmental treaty and its amendments.

Daniel Bodansky wrote a chapter titled "The History of the **Global Climate Change Regime**" in which he lays out the historical foundational meetings leading up to the 1992 adoption of the UNFCCC. He says that the Climate Change Regime arose during the late 1980's and 1990's because of concern over the discovery of the stratospheric "ozone hole" coupled with the Bruntland Commission Report "Our Common Future". (Op. Cit. Bodansky)

Bodansky points out that **climate change did not arise as a "political issue" until the 1990's.** Earlier efforts like the 1979 First World Climate Conference failed to

attract the kind of world wide attention desired. Even when a global "workshop" in Villach, Austria in 1985 was attended by U. S. government representatives, they had no specific instructions. He points out **that by the late 1980's Congress was giving much more serious attention to the subject of "global warming" and had begun to hold frequent hearings on the subject.** He points out that a great deal more attention began to be given to climate change as the, "UN General assembly; and international meetings such as the 1988 Toronto Conference, the 1989 Hague and Noordwijk Conferences, and the 1990 Second World Climate Conference were attracting numerous ministers and even some heads of government." (*Ibid.*)

Bodansky presents **some landmark decisions** made in the "prenegotiation phase of the climate change issue as:

The 1988 General Assembly resolution on climate change, characterizing the climate as the **"common concern of mankind"**

The 1989 Hague Summit, attended by seventeen heads of state, which called for the **development of a "new institutional authority"** to preserve the earth's atmosphere and combat global warming

The 1989 Noordwijk ministerial meeting, the **first high-level intergovernmental meeting focusing specifically on the climate change issue**

The May 1990 Bergen Ministerial Conference on Sustainable Development, held in preparation for UNCED

The November 1990 Second World Climate Conference (SWCC) (Jaeger and Ferguson 1991) (*Ibid.*)

There were different approaches to dealing with the **supposed global warming issue** revealed early on. European nations, including Canada, Austria and New Zealand assumed global warming was all true and therefore required an immediate and timed and target set response. The U.S. however **took a more cautious approach and emphasized the need for further scientific research** as well as national rather than international strategies and programs. (*Ibid.*)

"The 1987 Montreal Protocol to the Vienna Convention on the Protection of the Ozone Layer (1985) was a pivotal agreement in the history of global environmental negotiations. **The Montreal Protocol** and its "adjustment amendments" up to (6/29/1990) **addressed the challenge of a deteriorating stratospheric ozone layer** that threatened to expose life on earth to greatly increased and damaging levels of ultraviolet radiation" (Richard J. Smith, *"The Road to Climate Change Agreement Runs Through Montreal"*, *Policy Brief*; August 2010 #PB10-21) Following the adoption of the Montreal Protocol, **review and revise meetings were held that broadened the initial focus on chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) to other supposed ozone depleting substances and set earlier dates for phasing them out.**

A Montreal Protocol Fund was also established to assist developing countries with meeting their obligations under the protocol. (*Ibid.*)

Anyone can look at the list of substances listed in the Montreal Protocol and following amendments to see the list of substances that raised concern about man's part in the problem of ozone depletion and global warming. According to reports, "commitments under the protocol for ending the production of CFCs and other ozone

depleting chemicals have been met or exceeded, and some rebuilding of the stratospheric ozone layer has been detected." (*Ibid.*)

As of Montreal Protocol's last amendment, 6/21/1991, **a list of products containing "controlled substances" includes "automobile and truck air conditioning units, domestic and commercial refrigeration and air conditioning/heat pump equipment, refrigerators freezers, dehumidifiers, water coolers Ice machines, aerosol products portable fire extinguishers, insulation boards, panels and pipe covers, and pre-polymers"** (Annex D: A List of products containing controlled substances specified in Annex A)

Skeptics Observation

More consideration must given to possible natural causes for ozone depletion such as active volcanoes Mt St. Helens 1980 and Mt Pinatubo 1991 because their volcanic ash circled the entire world! No one pointed out **how absurd it was to attribute a hole in the ozone layer over the South Pole, the least populated place on the planet, to manmade causes.**

First scientist to raise concern

Who is the first, and most influential, scientist to "discover" that CFC's were "destroying the Earth's protective ozone layer?"

The answer to that question is Sir James Lovelock. Dr Lovelock has degrees in medicine, chemistry and physics. He also worked for NASA during the 1960's. Interestingly, Dr Lovelock suddenly discovered that "CFC's" were "destroying the earth protective ozone layer" in the late 1970s. "He led a campaign which resulted in an international ban on these chemicals." He was also "one of the first and most vocal proponents of the Global Warming theory." ("Gaia: The Religion of Mother Earth"; Global Watch Weekly, www.globalreport2010.com 2/3/2012)

Religious prejudice

What is more amazing is that Dr Lovelock has written three books about **Gaia**. In His book **Gaia: 'A New Look at Life on Earth**, he states that, "all of the life forms on this planet are part of Gaia - part of one spirit goddess that sustains life on earth." (1972) While Lovelock believes that humans have awakened Gaia and become her global brain, **he also believes that humans are abusing the planet** and jeopardizing the whole organism. In his latest book, **The Revenge of Gaia: Earth's Climate Crisis & the Fate of Humanity**, Dr Lovelock makes claims that not only is Gaia fully awakened but she is angry. "Just as the human body uses a fever to fight off an infection, **Gaia is raising Her temperature to expel a harmful parasite- humans.** Unless humans renounce their destructive ways and rejoin the diverse community of living beings in Gaia's loving embrace then Gaia will be forced to act in order to secure Her supreme reign."" (*Ibid.*)

One writer has observed, "**Even more astonishing is the fact that modern man has revived a belief in Gaia (Mother Earth) as a living organism of which we are all a part.** This pagan superstition, promoted by Vice President Al Gore, is at the heart of much of today's ecological movement." (Dave Hunt, *Occult Invasion*, Harvest House, 1998, p.113)

Only naïve, ignorant, and simplistic people do not recognize that religion, politics and agendas influence even scientists interpretations of or twisting of data. Anyone who can write that humans are “parasites” is too biased to make any scientifically objective decision.

The United Nations Framework on Climate Change Convention (UNFCCC)

In 1988 the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) was created by the United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP) and the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) to assess the scientific knowledge on global warming. **From the first major report of the IPCC there was the assumption that global warming was a scientific fact and that it was man made (anthropogenic).** It was also generally assumed that "scientists" would honestly and accurately report on scientifically verifiable real observations and data.

The IPCC 1990 report, the publication of Our Common Future (Brundtland report 1987), and the work of the World Commission on Environment and Development led to a **heightened concern over supposed "man made climate changes"** and its possible **devastating irreversible effects.** The **unscientifically verifiable assumptions** behind these reports led to the 1992 Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. The Rio Earth Summit produced the **United Nations Framework of Climate Change Convention, the UN Commission of Sustainable Development, the Earth Council, the Rio Declaration, and Agenda 21.**

The UNFCCC (or FCCC) is **an international environmental treaty** produced at the United Nations Conference on Environmental Development (UNCED), informally known as the **Earth Summit**, held in Rio de Janeiro from June 3 to 14, 1992. (www.climateleaders.org/climate-change-resources/india-at-cop)

The **objective** of the treaty is stated as "to achieve, in accordance with the relevant provisions of the Convention, **stabilization of greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that would prevent dangerous anthropogenic interference with the climate system.** Such a level should be achieved within a time-frame sufficient to allow ecosystems to adapt naturally to climate change, to ensure that food production is not threatened and to enable economic development to proceed in a **sustainable manner.**" (Full Text of the Convention **Article 2, Objective**, <http://unfccc.int/essential>)

One important provision of the UNFCCC is that **the responsibility of Parties was divided between developed (Annex I) and undeveloped (Annex II) countries.** The parties were to act to protect the climate system on the basis of equality but with "common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities." "The principle of '**common but differentiated responsibility**' includes two fundamental elements. The first is the common responsibility of Parties to protect the environment, or parts of it, at the national, regional and global levels. The second is the need to take into account the different circumstances, particularly each Party's contribution to the problem and its ability to prevent, reduce and control the threat." (Climate Leaders)

The Commitments of the Parties to the treaty are covered in article 4 which reads as follows.

1. All Parties, taking into account their common but differentiated responsibilities and their specific national and regional development priorities, objectives and circumstances, shall:

(a) Develop, periodically update, publish and make available to the Conference of the Parties, in accordance with Article 12, **national inventories of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of all greenhouse gases not controlled by the Montreal Protocol, using comparable methodologies to be agreed upon by the Conference of the Parties;**

(b) Formulate, implement, publish and regularly update national and, where appropriate, regional programmes containing measures to mitigate climate change by addressing anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of all greenhouse gases not controlled by the Montreal Protocol, and measures to facilitate adequate adaptation to climate change;

(c) Promote and cooperate in the development, application and diffusion, including transfer, of technologies, practices and processes that control, reduce or prevent anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases not controlled by the Montreal Protocol in all relevant sectors, including the energy, transport, industry, agriculture, forestry and waste management sectors;

(d) Promote sustainable management, and promote and cooperate in the conservation and enhancement, as appropriate, of sinks and reservoirs of all greenhouse gases not controlled by the Montreal Protocol, including biomass, forests and oceans as well as other terrestrial, coastal and marine ecosystems;

(e) Cooperate in preparing for adaptation to the impacts of climate change; develop and elaborate appropriate and integrated plans for coastal zone management, water resources and agriculture, and for the protection and rehabilitation of areas, particularly in Africa, affected by drought and desertification, as well as floods;

(f) Take climate change considerations into account, to the extent feasible, in their relevant social, economic and environmental policies and actions, and employ appropriate methods, for example impact assessments, formulated and determined nationally, with a view to minimizing adverse effects on the economy, on public health and on the quality of the environment, of projects or measures undertaken by them to mitigate or adapt to climate change;

(g) Promote and cooperate in scientific, technological, technical, socio-economic and other research, systematic observation and development of data archives related to the climate system and intended to further the understanding and to reduce or eliminate the remaining uncertainties regarding the causes, effects, magnitude and timing of climate change and the economic and social consequences of various response strategies;

(h) Promote and cooperate in the full, open and prompt exchange of relevant scientific, technological, technical, socio-economic and legal information related to the climate system and climate change, and to the economic and social consequences of various response strategies;

(i) Promote and cooperate in education, training and public awareness related to climate change and encourage the widest participation in this process, including that of non-governmental organizations; and

(j) Communicate to the Conference of the Parties information related to

implementation, in accordance with Article 12.

2. The developed country Parties and other Parties included in Annex I commit themselves specifically as provided for in the following:

- (a) **Each of these Parties shall adopt national policies and take corresponding measures on the mitigation of climate change, by limiting its anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases and protecting and enhancing its greenhouse gas sinks and reservoirs.** These policies and measures will demonstrate that developed countries are taking the lead **in modifying longer-term trends in anthropogenic emissions consistent with the objective of the Convention**, recognizing that the return by the end of the present decade to earlier levels of anthropogenic emissions of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases not controlled by the Montreal Protocol would contribute to such modification, and taking into account the differences in these Parties' starting points and approaches, economic structures and resource bases, the need to maintain strong and sustainable economic growth, available technologies and other individual circumstances, as well as the need for equitable and appropriate contributions by each of these Parties to the global effort regarding that objective. These Parties may implement such policies and measures jointly with other Parties and may assist other Parties in contributing to the achievement of the objective of the Convention and, in particular, that of this subparagraph;
- (b) **In order to promote progress to this end, each of these Parties shall communicate**, within six months of the entry into force of the Convention for it and periodically thereafter, and in accordance with Article 12, **detailed information on its policies and measures** referred to in subparagraph (a) above, as well as on its resulting projected anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases not controlled by the Montreal Protocol for the period referred to in subparagraph (a), **with the aim of returning individually or jointly to their 1990 levels these anthropogenic emissions of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases** not controlled by the Montreal Protocol. This **information will be reviewed by the Conference** of the Parties, at its first session and periodically thereafter, in accordance with Article 7;
- (c) **Calculations of emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases** for the purposes of subparagraph (b) above should take into account the best available scientific knowledge, including of the effective capacity of sinks and the respective contributions of such gases to climate change. The Conference of the Parties shall consider and agree on methodologies for these calculations at its first session and review them regularly thereafter;
- (d) **The Conference of the Parties shall**, at its first session, review the adequacy of subparagraphs (a) and (b) above. Such review shall be carried out in the light of the best available scientific information and assessment on climate change and its impacts, as well as relevant technical, social and economic information. Based on this review, the Conference of the Parties shall take appropriate action, which may include the adoption of amendments to the commitments in subparagraphs (a) and (b) above. The Conference of the Parties, at its first session, shall also take **decisions regarding criteria for joint implementation** as indicated in subparagraph (a) above. A second review of subparagraphs (a) and (b) shall take place not later than 31 December 1998, and thereafter at regular intervals determined by the Conference of the Parties, **until the objective of the Convention is met**;

(e) **Each of these Parties shall:**

(i) **coordinate** as appropriate with other such Parties, relevant economic and **administrative instruments developed to achieve the objective of the Convention;** and

(ii) **identify** and periodically review its own policies and practices which encourage activities that lead to greater levels of anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases not controlled by the Montreal Protocol than would otherwise occur;

(f) **The Conference of the Parties shall review**, not later than 31 December 1998, available information with a view to taking decisions regarding such amendments to the lists in Annexes I and II as may be appropriate, with the approval of the Party concerned;

(g) Any Party not included in Annex I may, in its instrument of ratification, acceptance, approval or accession, or at any time thereafter, notify the Depositary that it intends to be bound by subparagraphs (a) and (b) above. The Depositary shall inform the other signatories and Parties of any such notification.

3. The developed country Parties and other developed Parties included in Annex II shall provide new and additional financial resources to meet the agreed full costs incurred by developing country Parties in complying with their obligations under Article 12, paragraph 1. They shall also provide such financial resources, including for the transfer of technology, needed by the developing country Parties to meet the agreed full incremental costs of implementing measures that are covered by paragraph 1 of this Article and that are agreed between a developing country Party and the international entity or entities referred to in Article 11, in accordance with that Article. The implementation of these commitments shall take into account the need for adequacy and predictability in the flow of funds and the importance of appropriate burden sharing among the developed country Parties.

4. The developed country Parties and other developed Parties included in Annex II shall also assist the developing country Parties that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change in meeting costs of adaptation to those adverse effects.

5. The developed country Parties and other developed Parties included in Annex II shall take all practicable steps to promote, facilitate and finance, as appropriate, the transfer of, or access to, environmentally sound technologies and know-how to other Parties, particularly developing country Parties, to enable them to implement the provisions of the Convention. In this process, the developed country Parties shall support the development and enhancement of endogenous capacities and technologies of developing country Parties. Other Parties and organizations in a position to do so may also assist in facilitating the transfer of such technologies.

6. In the implementation of their commitments under paragraph 2 above, a certain degree of flexibility shall be allowed by the Conference of the Parties to the Parties included in Annex I undergoing the process of transition to a market economy, in order to enhance the ability of these Parties to address climate change, including with regard to the historical level of anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases not controlled by the Montreal Protocol chosen as a reference.

7. The extent to which developing country Parties will effectively implement their commitments under the Convention will depend on the effective implementation by developed country Parties of their commitments under the Convention related to

financial resources and transfer of technology and will take fully into account that economic and social development and poverty eradication are the first and overriding priorities of the developing country Parties.

8. In the implementation of the commitments in this Article, the Parties shall give full consideration to what actions are necessary under the Convention, **including actions related to funding, insurance and the transfer of technology, to meet the specific needs and concerns of developing country Parties arising from the adverse effects of climate change and/or the impact of the implementation of response measures**, especially on:

- (a) Small island countries;
- (b) Countries with low-lying coastal areas;
- (c) Countries with arid and semi-arid areas, forested areas and areas liable to forest
- (d) Countries with areas prone to natural disasters;
- (e) Countries with areas liable to drought and desertification;
- (f) Countries with areas of high urban atmospheric pollution;
- (g) Countries with areas with fragile ecosystems, including mountainous ecosystems;
- (h) Countries whose economies are highly dependent on income generated from the production, processing and export, and/or on consumption of fossil fuels and associated energy-intensive products; and
- (i) Landlocked and transit countries.

Further, the **Conference of the Parties may take actions**, as appropriate, with respect to this paragraph.

9. The Parties shall take full account of the specific needs and special situations of the least developed countries in their actions with regard to funding and transfer of technology.

10. The Parties shall, in accordance with Article 10, take into consideration in the **implementation of the commitments of the Convention** the situation of Parties, particularly developing country Parties, with economies that are vulnerable to the adverse effects of the implementation of measures to respond to climate change. This applies **notably to Parties with economies that are highly dependent on income generated from the production, processing and export, and/or consumption of fossil fuels and associated energy-intensive products and/or the use of fossil fuels for which such Parties have serious difficulties in switching to alternatives.** (*Ibid.*)

The Convention was, and is, governed by the "Conference of the Parties (COP), as the supreme body of this Convention" (*Ibid.* **Article 7 Conference of the Parties**)

The Conference of the Parties (COP) established a **permanent Secretariat** to gather information, compile and transmit reports, ensure necessary coordination with other relevant international bodies, enter into administrative and contractual arrangements as may be required for the effective discharge of its functions, and "to perform the other secretariat functions specified in the Convention and in any of its protocols and such other functions as may be determined by the" COP. (*Ibid.* Article 8 Secretariat)

The UNFCCC also established a **"Subsidiary Body For Implementation"**, a **"Financial Mechanism"**, who has the "Right To Vote", the "Secretary-General of the United Nations", "the Depository of the Convention and of protocols adopted." (*Ibid.* Articles 8,10,11,18,19)

Countries that have signed up to the UNFCCC are called Parties to the Convention and have the right to vote at annual meetings that are held in various different countries.

Under this system **the polluter** (USA primarily and other developed countries) **pays principal. What this really means is that whoever the international community blames for the pollution pays for damages done to the natural environment.** What this has meant to the USA is that it has paid almost 22% of the "Programme Budget for the biennium 2008-2009" of the UNFCCC on the basis of the United Nations scale of assessment for 2008. (FCCC/CP/2007/6/Add.1 p. 56)

Upon reading these commitments carefully and considering their implications a question comes to mind.

Why would any intelligent person, who loves this country, sign us up to commitments that would obviously cost at least hundreds of billions of dollars, cripple our economy, subvert our sovereignty and limit our capabilities to recover?

President Signs UNFCCC Treaty

USA President **George Herbert Walker Bush signed the UNFCCC treaty October 13, 1992 after it was ratified by the US Senate October 7, 1992.**(Bush Signs United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change 1992 colli239.fts.edu msu.edu/1992/10/13/bush-signs-1992)

Former US President George H. W. Bush is on record as advocating a **New World Order controlled by the United Nations.** With regard to the Persian Gulf Crisis President Bush stated "Out of these troubled times, our fifth objective -- **a new world order** -- can emerge: a new era -- freer from the threat of terror, stronger in the pursuit of justice, and more secure in the quest for peace. An era in which the nations of the world, East and West, North and South, can prosper and live in harmony. A hundred generations have searched for this elusive path to peace, while a thousand wars raged across the span of human endeavor. Today that new world is struggling to be born, a world quite different from the one we've known; a world where the rule of law supplants the rule of the jungle; a world in which nations recognize the shared responsibility for freedom and justice; a world where the strong respect the rights of the weak." (Address to a Joint Session of Congress and the Nation, September 11, 1990)

Is it only a coincidence that on September 11, 2001 commercial airplanes were hijacked and flown into the World Trade Towers to strike at the financial heart of the USA?

In his January, 1991 State of the Union Address, President Bush said that what was at stake in the War was more than one small country, "it is a big idea-- **a new world order**, where **diverse nations are drawn together in common cause to achieve the universal aspirations of mankind:** peace and security, freedom, and the rule of law." In his **March 6, 1991** victory speech President G. H. Bush acknowledged the Desert Storm victory over Saddam Hussein as one "for the United Nations" coalition. He says, "Our common coalition must now work in a common purpose: to forge a new future that

should never again be held hostage to the darker side of human nature." After committing, America to "work tirelessly as a catalyst for positive change," he sees a "**new world coming into view**. A world in which there is the very real prospect of a new world order." "**A world where the United Nations, freed from cold war stalemate, is poised to fulfill the historic vision of its founders; a world in which freedom and respect for human rights find home among all nations.**" (Address before a Joint Session of Congress on the end of the Gulf War March 6, 1991)

President H. W. Bush said that "By meeting" "four challenges we can **build a framework for peace and progress.**" "**First**, we must work together to create shared security arrangements in the region. "**Second**, we must act to control the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and the missiles used to deliver them." "**Third**, we must work to create new opportunities ..." "**Fourth**, we must foster economic development..." (Ibid.)

It is the opinion of this writer that the, "Framework on Climate Change Convention" is, and was from its inception, a plan to establish a New World Order of global governance through a global "Climate Change Regime". The pretense of a "scientifically verified" ongoing **threat of irreversible Climate Change and imminent disaster** is the mechanism of choice that is supposed to be drawing mankind together in frenzied capitulation for survival.

The UNFCCC Convention took effect in 1994.

The **treaty's goal** in stabilizing greenhouse gas was to **stop the increase in global temperature**, believed to be about 2C above pre-industrial global average temperatures, and **return it to pre-industrial averages.**

One of the first achievements of the UNFCCC was "to establish a national greenhouse gas inventory, as a count of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and removals. **Accounts must be regularly submitted by the Parties to the UNFCCC secretariat.**" (op. cite. *Climate-Leaders*)

There are currently 196 nations that have signed and ratified the UNFCCC.

Sustainable Development: Agenda 21

Some one once said "the Devil is in the details" and that is true of the implementation of the UNFCCC. Through out the UNFCCC treaty when the term "**sustainable**", for example "**sustainable manner**" (Article 2) "**sustainable economic growth**" (Article 3), is used it **must be interpreted in reference to Agenda 21.**

The details for implementing the "sustainables" in the UNFCCC are spelled out in **The Rio Declaration on Environment and Development: Agenda 21.** This 40 Chapter 2,000 plus page document covers virtually every aspect of life on this planet. If that was not enough, the "Preamble," heading 6, states, "**It could evolve over time in the light of changing needs and circumstances.** This process marks the **beginning of a new global partnership for sustainable development.**"(<http://habitat.igc.org/agenda21/a21-01.htm>)

Stephanie Meakin, of the Science and Technology Division, wrote "**An Overview of Agenda 21**," for the Canadian Government in which she gives the following introductory statement.

"Agenda 21 is **an environmental action plan for the next century**. It is not legally binding but forms the basis for a new international partnership for sustainable development and environmental protection worldwide. Agenda 21 was the major overall document coming out of Rio and was devised to deal with some of the fundamental problems of resource degradation and aid to the developing world. It addresses many issues with respect to global sustainability and includes **core chapters related to financing**, the implementation of technology transfer and institutional follow-up to UNCED. The primary goal of Agenda 21 is to ensure that development proceeds in a sustainable manner: **"the system of incentives and penalties which motivate economic behaviour must be reoriented** to become a strong force for sustainability." **Another goal is ultimately to eliminate poverty throughout the world through better management of energy and natural resources** and improvement of the quality of life by ensuring access to shelter and clean water, sewage and solid waste treatment. **Agenda 21 also attempts to achieve the sustainable use of global and regional resources such as atmosphere, oceans, seas and freshwater, and marine organisms.** The **final goal is for improved management of chemicals and wastes.** It is estimated that one third of the deaths in the third world are caused by food and water contaminated with human or industrial waste.

Agenda 21 addresses all those groups and professions involved in the achievement of its goals. This **will lead to an increase in the transfer of environmental technologies and highlights the need for financing from the industrialized world to the developing world.** (Stephanie Meakin, The Rio Earth Summit: Summary of the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development, BP-317E, November, 1992, <http://publications.gc.ca/collections/Collection-R/LoPBdP/BP/bp317-e.htm#1>. An Overview of Agenda 21.txt)

Supposedly the partnership was to be voluntary not compulsory but that concept did not last very long, which we will be illustrating later.

A look at the chapters in Agenda 21 is revealing:

Chapter 1 Preamble

States that humanity is at a "defining moment in history" and "No nation can achieve this on its own" what needs to be done, "but **together we can** - in a **global partnership for sustainable development.**" (<http://habitat.igc.org/agenda21/index.htm>)

Section I. Social and Economic Dimensions

Chapter 2 International Cooperation for Sustainable Development

- [Chapter 3](#) Combating Poverty
- [Chapter 4](#) Changing Consumption Patterns
- [Chapter 5](#) Demographic Dynamics & Sustainability
- [Chapter 6](#) Human Health
- [Chapter 7](#) Human Settlements
- [Chapter 8](#) Decision Making

Section II. Conservation and Management of Resources for Development

- [Chapter 9](#) Protection of the Atmosphere
- [Chapter 10](#) Land Resources
- [Chapter 11](#) Deforestation
- [Chapter 12](#) Desertification & Drought
- [Chapter 13](#) Sustainable Mountain Development
- [Chapter 14](#) Sustainable Agriculture & Rural Development
- [Chapter 15](#) Conservation of Biodiversity
- [Chapter 16](#) Biotechnology
- [Chapter 17](#) Protection of the Oceans
- [Chapter 18](#) Freshwater Resources
- [Chapter 19](#) Toxic Chemicals - Management
- [Chapter 20](#) Hazardous Wastes - Management
- [Chapter 21](#) Solid Wastes - Management
- [Chapter 22](#) Radioactive Wastes - Management

Section III. Strengthening The Role Of Major Groups

- [Chapter 23](#) Preamble Major Groups
- [Chapter 24](#) Women
- [Chapter 25](#) Children & Youth
- [Chapter 26](#) Indigenous People
- [Chapter 27](#) Non-Governmental Organizations
- [Chapter 28](#) Local Authorities
- [Chapter 29](#) Trade Unions
- [Chapter 30](#) Business & Industry
- [Chapter 31](#) Scientific & Technological Community
- [Chapter 32](#) Role of Farmers

Section IV. Means of Implementation

- [Chapter 33](#) Financial Resources
- [Chapter 34](#) Technology Transfer
- [Chapter 35](#) Science for Sustainable Development
- [Chapter 36](#) Education, Public Awareness & Training
- [Chapter 37](#) Capacity Building in Developing Countries
- [Chapter 38](#) International Institutions
- [Chapter 39](#) International Legal Instruments
- [Chapter 40](#) Information for Decision-making

Chapter 37 of Agenda 21 recommends that each country complete as soon as possible perform "review of capacity" for devising a national sustainable development strategies, including generating and **implementing its own Agenda 21 action programme.**" (Ibid. ch 37.4.a)

"On June 29, 1993, President **Bill Clinton complied with this recommendation by appointing Vice President Al Gore to conduct a National Performance Review, and by issuing Executive Order Number 12852, which created the President's Council on Sustainable Development.** Its 25 members included most Cabinet Secretaries, representatives from The Nature Conservancy, the Sierra Club and other non-government organizations, and a few representatives from industry.

"The function of the President's Council on Sustainable Development was to find ways to implement the recommendations of Agenda 21 administratively. Al Gore's National Performance Review resulted in overhauling the Departments of Interior and Agriculture to implement what he called the "Ecosystem Management Policy." This policy embraced many of the recommendations found in Chapters 10 through 18 of Agenda 21, all of which deal with management of land and resources." (Henry Lamb, Freedom Advocates, Agenda 21 - What is it? How did it get Here, 7/26/2006, p. 3)

One example of how this **Agenda** can affect our children comes from chapter 36 "**Education, Public Awareness & Training**" where one of the "programme areas described in the present chapter" is "reorienting education towards sustainable development." According to this directive, "**Education is critical for promoting sustainable development** and improving the capacity of the people to address **environment** and development issues." Sustainable development education, "**needs to be incorporated as an essential part of learning.**"

The implementation of **Agenda 21** in our country has literally affected the curriculum of our public school educational systems now for 20 years. The necessity of the **indoctrination of our children toward an environmental world view** surely is the primary reason for so much objection to a voucher system that would enable more parents to send their children to private schools, most of which do not promote the environmental agenda.

Michael Sanera, head of the Center for Environmental Education Research at the Claremont Institute says, With few exceptions, textbook treatment of environmental issues is influenced by an ideological view that presents human beings as evil and blames the United States in particular and Western industrial societies in general for every environmental ill." Along with co-author Jane Shaw, Sanera wrote "**Facts, Not Fear: A Parent's Guide to Teaching Children about the Environment.**" This publication reviewed more than 130 texts and 170 environmental books for students in K-12. While identifying numerous texts promoting the green Climate Change Regime agenda the following books were particularly filled with propaganda. **Access to Health** (Prentice Hall), **Earth Science: the Challenge of Discovery** (D.C. Heath), **World Geography Today** (Holt), **Biology, an Everyday Experience** (Glencoe), **Concepts and Challenges in Earth Sciences** (Globe), **Biosphere 2000: Protecting Our Global Environment** (Harper Collins). ("Radical Environmentalism in the Classroom", discoverthenetworks.org)

"Critics note that the foregoing texts, in addition to scaring children with apocalyptic views, place little emphasis on principles of basic economics- prices,

scarcity, supply and demand --- and how these factors affect the use of resources and the environment." (Ibid.) For instance, if gasoline prices go up people drive less and use substitute transportation. Less driving means less exhaust emissions which, in large cities, means less smog.

David L. Goetsch gives a good example of **environmental indoctrination in Maryland**. The Maryland State Board of Education implemented an "environmental literacy" graduation requirement. Goetsch says, "**Maryland educators adopted the environmental literacy requirement- which would more accurately be called the environmental propaganda requirement**". (David L. Goetsch, Environmental Indoctrination in Public Schools, June 11, 2012, patriotupdate.com/articles/environmental-indoctrination)

The curriculum Maryland adopted requires students to "explain how human impacts threaten current global stability and, if not addressed, will irreversibly affect earth's systems." (Ibid.)

The centerpiece of the Maryland curriculum is inappropriately called "environmental Justice". Students are supposed to scour their neighborhood and identify local issues or offenders, such as factories, that pose potential health hazards to the public.

An example of how "environmental justice" works is what happened in Convent, La. where a plastics factory was going to build a new plant which would give 2,000 jobs to those desiring work in a low income area. The NAACP and the people welcomed the factory. The Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality countered and debunked false fears of dioxin poisoning raised by the Sierra Club and environmentalists. Despite **peoples needs and the LA Environmental Quality assurances the EPA denied the company the necessary permit for constructing the facility**. (Ibid.)

The UN has been desperate so desperate to propagandize the world's children that it declared 2005-2014 the Decade of **Education for Sustainable Development** (ESD).

On November 10-12, 2008 a UNESCO workshop was held entitled "Visions and Preparations for a Common Blueprint on Education for Sustainable Development" at the University of Gothenburg The Gothenburg, Sweden. After some fifty delegates from 15 countries finished their deliberations they adopted a statement entitled **The Gothenburg Recommendations on Education for Sustainable Development**, which was approved November 12, 2008. There are 8 recommendations the primary parts of which are:

- 1. Access for all to a process of lifelong learning: Early childhood is a natural starting point for education for sustainable development** in order to promote educational access for all people within a process of life long learning. Education has the enormous challenge of **reorienting curricula and learning processes towards sustainability and ensuring professional development of educators** to take up these new challenges. Access for all to education is a necessary, but insufficient condition for ESD. **ESD needs to transcend understandings of access for all, and be of a quality and form that helps society to reorient and transform towards sustainability.**
- 2. Gender: Education for Sustainable Development** should actively promote critical engagement with norms that define gendered ways of being, doing and living together, and should particularly value the role and contribution of women in **bringing about social change and ensuring human well-being.**
- 3. Learning for change: Learning for change is based on relating multiple**

perspectives to each other at all times. It should include empowerment for acting for social change, examining identities, perspectives and power relations, and should include critical media literacy and action competence. Working with multiple perspectives will require acknowledgement of, and respect for, contested views and interests, and recognition that these are a valuable source for intercultural dialogue, learning and reflexivity.

4. Networks, arenas and partnerships: ESD should promote relationships between different educational levels, sites and perspectives, and recognize that **they are inter-dependent in the wider context of social reorientation towards sustainability....** At a community level new arenas for dialogue and interchange should also be **oriented towards the local/global interface, and social change.** Further, **emphasizing relationships and interdependencies** in ESD involves integrating research and practice.

5. Professional development to strengthen ESD across all sectors: In order to strengthen ESD, professional development must include teacher education, professional education for educational leaders, and community educators. Education of extension officers, business trainers, journalists and others involved in education in its widest sense are equally important....

6. ESD in curriculum: ESD should be embedded in curricula, steering documents, and learning materials. This includes curriculum review and development of new curricula. **Reorientation of education requires that multi-, inter- and transdisciplinary curriculum approaches be developed to extend beyond current disciplinary approaches to working with knowledge.** This should involve bringing in other forms of knowledge that exist into formal curriculum.

7. Sustainable development in practice: Educational settings should practice values and principles of sustainable development to provide learners to participate in and model solutions to sustainable development issues. ... (Think about our previous example of environmental justice here)

8. Research: There is a need to **promote research, evaluation and practitioner enquiry in order to strengthen and extend education for sustainable development.** Research must embrace the multiple sites and foci of ESD, include **community participatory research, and mobilize indigenous and local knowledge.** Further, it is necessary to support transdisciplinary research and **engage civil society in creating solutions to sustainability problems and social change.** (<http://www.desd.org/Gothenburg1%20Recommendations.pdf>)

These recommendations may not take a lot of space to write in this edited form but they give the reader some insight into the fact that **all of this education for sustainable development is about changing our society to a Climate Change Regime acceptable model.** When one reads over many of the many documents dedicated to ESD there is an obvious agenda that coincides with the Earth Charter Preamble statement, "We must join together to bring forth a sustainable global society founded on respect for nature, universal human rights, economic justice, and a culture of peace." (The Earth Charter, www.earthcharterinaction.org)

One investigator says, "I have spent most of the past 12 years studying every facet of this **new political agenda which is fast becoming a revolution** --touching every

aspect of our business, our public education system, our private property, our families and our individual lives." (Tom Deweese, *Sustainable Development, Special Report*, American Policy Center)

Mr. Deweese observes that Agenda 21 is being implemented on a bipartisan basis and, **"is now the official policy of the United States, put in force by literally every department of the government."**

Sustainable Development: Agenda 21's objective "is to integrate **economic, social, and environmental** policies **in order to achieve reduced consumptions**, social equity, and the preservation and restoration of biodiversity." (Ibid.)

While there are three the original pillars for sustainable development, according to a 2009 UN press release a **"fourth pillar"** of sustainable development was recommended. "Delegates called for recognizing the **primacy of international law** and putting it at the forefront of State concerns. **They called for law to be established as the 'fourth pillar' of development, beside the environmental, social and economic pillars.**" (GA/10911 December 28, 2009, www.un.org)

We will discuss the fourth Agenda 21 pillar of International law in more detail latter.

UNFCCC Volunteer Cooperation

One of the good things about the original UNFCCC treaty is that cooperation was voluntary. Another good thing about the original UNFCCC treaty is that it maintained the sovereignty of the individual parties. While the original UNFCCC treaty was fairly comprehensive, meeting the goals set and commitments made was still voluntary because there was no enforcement mechanism.

Economic Impact Approach

To understand how comprehensively the UNFCCC impacted the US, look at the **Energy Policy Act of 1992**. While the entire 1992 Act has applicability to the original UNFCCC agreement **"Title XVI -Global Climate Change"** is directly related to it. The good news is that the US government took a **"least cost" approach to implementing the UNFCCC goals.**

"Sec.1602. Least-Cost Energy Strategy

(6) PRIORITIES- The least-cost energy strategy shall identify Federal priorities, including policies that--

- (1) implement standards for **more efficient use of fossil fuels**;
- (2) increase the energy efficiency of existing technologies;
- (3) encourage technologies, including **clean coal technologies**, that **generate lower levels of greenhouse gases**;
- (4) **promote the use of renewable energy resources, including solar, geothermal, sustainable biomass, hydropower, and wind power**;
- (5) affect the development and consumption of energy and energy efficiency resources and electricity through tax policy;
- (6) encourage investment **in energy efficient equipment and technologies**; and
- (7) encourage the development of energy technologies, such as advanced nuclear fission and nuclear fusion, that produce energy **without**

greenhouse gases as a byproduct, and encourage the deployment of nuclear electric generating capacity."

Move Toward A Legally Binding Agreement

There were those who wanted more than voluntary compliance to the UNFCCC agreements. Making **goals mandatory on greenhouse-gas emissions for the world's leading economies** (Annex I countries in the Kyoto Protocol) became the next focal point on the **climate control regime's agenda**. Therefore, by 1995 negotiations had started on a protocol to be known as the Kyoto Protocol. (a protocol is an international agreement linked to the existing treaty, but standing on its own).

In order to have any real control over the countries and states of the world, there must be a "legally binding" agreement. Once a legally binding agreement has been established, there must be a way to enforce the "legally binding" agreements and "force" the parties to meet those agreements. The first attempt at this was the Kyoto protocol.

The Kyoto Protocol

The **Kyoto Protocol** was adopted in 1997 with USA participation, having been signed by both President Bill Clinton, and Vice President Al Gore. Actually, the Clinton Administration had helped to write the Kyoto Protocol and had set a "cap" for US emissions. (Mongobay.com. 4/6/2010)

Clinton and Gore endorsed this agreement in spite of the Byrd-Hagel Resolution, passed by a 95-0 vote in the Senate **six months before the meeting** "expressing the sense of the Senate regarding the conditions for the United States becoming a signatory to any international agreement on greenhouse gas emissions under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.;"

The Kyoto Protocol provides **mandatory targets on greenhouse- gas emissions** specifically "**not controlled by the Montreal Protocol**," (Kyoto Protocol Article 2 (ii), (vii)) **for the Annex I countries** (developed countries USA, Europe, etc.) These "greenhouse" gases included carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), Nitrous oxide (N₂O), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), perfluorocarbons (PFCs), sulphur hexafluoride (SF₆). (Kyoto Art. 2 (viii); Annex A.) Article 3, 1 states: "the Parties included in Annex I **shall, individually or jointly, ensure** that their aggregate **anthropogenic carbon dioxide equivalent emissions of greenhouse gases listed in Annex A do not exceed their assigned amounts**, calculated pursuant to their **quantified emission limitation and reduction commitments inscribed in Annex B** and in accordance with the provisions of this Article, with a view to reducing their overall emissions of such gases by at least 5 percent below 1990 levels in the commitment period 2008 to 2012." (emphasis added by author) (Anthropogenic means caused by man.)

o fully understand the extent of the "**mandatory**" reductions one need only to read "**Annex A Sectors/source categories**" of this Protocol. The sectors and sources to be controlled include "Energy, Fuel combustion, energy industries, manufacturing industries and construction, transport, **other sectors**, fugitive emissions from fuels, solid fuels, oil and natural gas, industrial processes, mineral products, chemical industry, metal production, production and consumption of halocarbons and sulphur hexafluoride, solvent and other product use, agriculture, enteric fermentation, manure management,

rice cultivation, agricultural soils, prescribed burning of savannas, field burning of agricultural residues, waste, solid waste disposal on land, wastewater handling, waste incineration, **and other**." The USA had a "Party Quantified emission limitation or reduction commitment" in Annex B of "93". (page 20 of printed Protocol)

It does not take a genius to see that the commitments under this protocol affect every person in our country from the grocery store to the gas pump etc. al.. Furthermore, "Each Party included in Annex I **shall, by 2005, have made demonstrable progress in** achieving its commitments under this Protocol" (Article 3, 2.) Furthermore, any time a legal document states that it can control "**other**", "**and other sectors**" there is no limit to what is controlled!

Not Bound by The Kyoto Protocol

We could go on quoting and discussing this Protocol but, supposedly, it isn't necessary because the **Kyoto Protocol was never ratified by the US Congress**. Moreover, **President George Walker Bush (2001-2009) unsigned the USA to the Kyoto Protocol in 2001**.

One would generally assume that since the US Congress never ratified the Kyoto Protocol, and since it was unsigned by President George W. Bush, nothing from the protocol would be implemented. That assumption would be wrong. In fact, "the [Obama Administration](#), various state, local, and regional governments have attempted some Kyoto Protocol goals on a local basis." (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Greenhouse_gas_emissions_by_the_United_States) Furthermore, "the White House announced on 2009-11-25 that President Obama is offering a U.S. target for reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in the range of 17% below 2005 levels by 2020." (*Ibid.*)

Implementation of the Kyoto Protocol emphasized

USA delegation to the UNFCCC Conference of the Parties **seventh session**, held in Marrakesh from October 29 to November 10, 2001, attended but did not participate in the decisions of the meeting. However, decisions were made relating to the implementation of the Kyoto protocol that most certainly affect this country.

Decision 15. 1 "Decides that the use of the mechanisms shall be supplemental to domestic action and **that domestic action shall thus constitute a significant element of the effort made by each Party included in Annex I to meet its quantified emission limitation and reduction commitments** under Article 3, paragraph 1"

2. Asks for "relevant information ... in accordance with Article 7 of the Kyoto Protocol, for review..."

3. "**Decides** that the provision of such information shall take into account **reporting on demonstrable progress** as contained in decision -/CMP.1 (Article 7)"

The entire COP 7 meeting focused on implementation of the Kyoto Protocol Articles 6, 12, 17. (FCCC/CP/2001/13/Add.2, 21 January 2002)

Ultimately the Kyoto Protocol went into full effect in 2005. Starting in 2005 every UNFCCC meeting of the Conference of the Parties was also a Meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol

We have documented that the **Energy Policy Act of 1992** was adopted which voluntarily met many of the requirements of the Kyoto Protocol however that act was revised in 2005

Summary of the Energy Policy Act S 42 USC §13201 et seq. (2005)

The Energy Policy Act (EPA) addresses energy production in the United States, including: (1) energy efficiency; (2) renewable energy; (3) oil and gas; (4) coal; (5) Tribal energy; (6) nuclear matters and security; (7) vehicles and motor fuels, including ethanol; (8) hydrogen; (9) electricity; (10) energy tax incentives; (11) hydropower and geothermal energy; and (12) climate change technology. www.epa.gov/lawsregs/laws/epa.htm

For example, the Act provides loan guarantees for entities that develop or use innovative technologies that avoid the by-production of greenhouse gases.

(www.epa.gov/lawsregs/laws/epa.htm)

Some general provisions of the law are: the Act increases the amount of [biofuel](#) (usually [ethanol](#)) that must be mixed with gasoline sold in the United States to 4 billion US gallons (15,000,000 m³) by 2006, 6.1 billion US gallons (23,000,000 m³) by 2009 and 7.5 billion US gallons (28,000,000 m³) by 2012. Two years later, the [Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007](#) extended the target to 36 billion US gallons (140,000,000 m³) by 2022.

It seeks to increase [coal](#) as an energy source while also reducing air pollution, through authorizing \$200 million annually for clean coal initiatives, repealing the current 160-acre (0.65 km²) cap on coal leases, allowing the advanced payment of royalties from coal mines and requiring an assessment of coal resources on federal lands that are not national parks; (Ibid.)

The EPA S42 2005 provides for:

Authorizing subsidies for [wind](#) and other [alternative energy](#) producers;

Adding ocean energy sources, including [wave](#) and [tidal power](#) for the first time as separately identified, renewable technologies;

Authorizing \$50 million annually over the life of the law for biomass grants;

Provisions aimed at making [geothermal energy](#) more competitive with fossil fuels in generating electricity;

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Energy_Policy_Act_of_2005)

Voluntary Cooperation Not enough

No matter how much the USA has complied voluntarily with the **environmental regime** they have not been satisfied.

The ongoing plan to implement and establish a **legally binding "regime"** began to gain momentum in 2007. A UN press release from the UNFCCC "Secretariat **for all Parties to the UNFCCC** " was titled "**Bonn UN meeting moves world closer to comprehensive negotiations on post-2012 climate change regime**" The UNFCCC Executive Secretary Yvo de Boer was quoted as saying "This meeting has served to **resolve a number of issues** ahead of the **Bali** conference. We have come closer to broadening negotiations on a **post-2012 regime** by resolving some of the outstanding issues and **clarifying which building blocks of a future agreement** need to be put in place." (Bonn, 18 May 2007) Boer is further quoted "**The fact that European,**

American and Australian business groups here in Bonn have been calling on governments to adopt long-term, legally binding emission reduction targets is a strong signal that they feel the **carbon market will be an important part of any 2012 agreement.**" (*Ibid.*)

Big Companies Global impact

Perhaps it is only coincidental that the world stock market began to decline in mid 2007. Perhaps it is also coincidental that the world stock market plunged downward in the final quarter of 2007 and the Great Recession was well under way, however, research suggests otherwise.

On the other hand, maybe it was planned.

Consider this article from *The Huffington Post Canada* by Daniel Tencer entitled "'Super-Entity' of 147 Companies At Center of World's Economy, Study Claims." "A [Swiss study](#) appears to have uncovered what anti-capitalist activists have been claiming for years -- that the global economy is controlled by a small group of deeply interconnected entities... According to the study, which will be published shortly in the scientific journal *PLoS One*, [there is a core group of 1,318 multinational companies that sit at the centre of global commerce](#). They own a majority of shares in 60 per cent of the world's large businesses and manufacturers. Within that group, the researchers identified [a "super-entity" of 147 companies that control 40 per cent of the wealth within the multinational commerce network](#). According to the researchers, each of the 147 companies is owned by other companies within the "super-entity," essentially creating a self-contained network of wealth." (10/24/11 http://www.huffingtonpost.ca/2011/10/24/super-entity-147-global-economy-swiss-researchers_n_10286)

It is important to remember that many of the multinational corporations are managers of wealth not the one who owns it. (*Ibid.*)

Evidence of Financial Terrorism

Knowing that **there are major corporations that can influence the entire world economy** and that there were major "European, American and **Australian business groups here in Bonn have been calling on governments to adopt long-term, legally binding emission reduction targets in 2007,**" is there any evidence of financial tampering?

"Evidence outlined in a Pentagon contractor report suggests that financial subversion carried out by unknown parties, such as terrorists or hostile nations, contributed to the 2008 economic crash by covertly using vulnerabilities in the U. S. financial system." (Financial Terrorism- The Unseen Threat, <http://www.Washingtontimes.com>, reprinted Prophecy News Watch prophecynewswatch.com /2011/March 4/0411.html)

The unclassified 2009 report "Economic Warfare: Risks and Responses" by financial analyst Kevin D. Freeman states "a three-phased attack was planned and is in the process against the United States Economy." (*Ibid.*)

“Regardless of the reports findings, U. S. officials and **outside analysts said the Pentagon, the Treasury Department and the U. S, Intelligence agencies are not aggressively studying the threats to the United States posed by economic warfare and financial terrorism.**”

Why would the Obama administration not actively pursue any possibility of any kind of an attack on the U. S. A.?

Is this administration complicitous?

“Further Pentagon Low Intensity Conflict office research into possible economic warfare or financial terrorism being behind the economic collapse by the Pentagon’s Special Operations **was blocked**, Mr. Freeman said.” (*Ibid.*)

The report lays out the attack in three phases.

The first phase of the economic attack was the escalation of oil prices by speculator from mid 2007 to mid 2008 which coincided with the housing crisis, according to the report. (*Ibid.*)

The second phase was the “stock market collapsed by what the report called a “bear raid” from unidentified sources on Bear Stearns, Lehman Brothers and other wall Street firms.” (*Ibid.*)

The third phase is still going and according to Mr. Freeman is the main source of the economic system’s vulnerability. The third phase is, “We have taken on massive public debt as the government was the only party who could access capital markets in late 2008 and early 2009.” This has placed the U.S. dollar’s global reserve currency status at grave risk (*Ibid.*) Among the schemes used in the economic warfare are credit default swaps, unregulated and untraceable contracts by which a buyer pays the seller a fee and in exchange is paid off in a bond or a loan. “The report said credit default swaps are “ideal bear-raid tools” and “have the power to determine the financial viability of companies.”(*Ibid.*)

The outcome of the world wide economic financial collapse **was the establishment of global governance through the G20 and the Financial Stability Board on April 2, 2009**, more on that later.

Bali Action Plan

Following the Bonn, 18 May 2007 meeting, the annual meeting of the UNFCCC convened in Bali, Indonesia from December 3-15, 2007 which produced a number of decisions the most important of which is the "Bali Action Plan". This plan resolved to **"urgently enhance implementation of the Convention in order to achieve its ultimate objective in full accordance with its principles and commitments,"** (FCCC/CP/2007/6/Add.1 p.3)

The Plan decided "to launch **a comprehensive process** to enable the **full, effective and sustained implementation of the Convention through long-term cooperative action**, now and up to 2012 ..."etc (resolve). It was decided "that the process shall be conducted under **a subsidiary body under the Convention**, here by established and known as the **Ad Hoc Working Group on Long-Term Cooperative Action under the Convention**, that shall complete its work in 2009 and **present the outcome** of its work to the Conference of the Parties **for adoption at its fifteenth**

session" (*Ibid.* p.5) (The Fifteenth session of the UNFCCC was held in Copenhagen in December 2009)

Maybe it is only coincidence that December 7 was included in the date of this conference.

Does any red blooded veteran not know what happened on December 7, 1941 at Pearl Harbor?

All of the UN planning, operating, and convening takes money of course.

The Bali "Indicative scale of contributions from Parties to the Convention for the biennium 2008-2009" places the **USA "assessment" as 21.46%** 2008 and 2009. (Decision 13/CP.13 "Programme budget for the biennium 2008-2009" p. 56)

Environmental Protection agency Involvement

The current statement on the (EPA's) Environmental Protection Agency's web site concerning "**International Cooperation**" states "**As a Party to the UNFCCC, the United States shares with other countries its ultimate objective: stabilization of greenhouse gas concentrations in the atmosphere at a level that prevents dangerous human-induced interference with the climate system.** The US is committed to engaging vigorously with the international community to find solutions and help lead the world toward **a new era of global cooperation** on climate change. The United States is engaged in the international efforts on climate change." (epa.gov)

The EPA's statement doesn't affirm the Kyoto Protocol but the EPA released a statement entitled "**Endangerment and Cause or Contribute Findings for Greenhouse Gases** under Section 202(a) of the **Clean Air Act**" on **December 7, 2009** that certainly emphasizes the same greenhouse gases.

The Act states: "**Endangerment Finding:** The Administrator finds that the current and projected concentrations of the six key well-mixed greenhouse gases **carbon dioxide**, methane, nitrous oxide, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and sulfur hexafluoride - in the atmosphere **threaten the public health and welfare of current and future generations.**"

The "Cause or Contribute Finding" says the cause is specifically "new motor vehicles and new motor vehicle engines." The ruling goes on to say, "The **findings do not themselves impose any requirements on industry or other entities. However, this action is prerequisite to finalizing the EPA's proposed greenhouse gas emission standards for light-duty vehicles....**"

Old Car Incentives

One should remember that the **government has previously complained about older cars and even had a "cash for clunkers" stimulus program to boost new vehicle sales.**

The [House](#) approved the creation of a cash for clunkers program with the passage of the CARS Act ("Consumer Assistance to Recycle and Save Act", H.R. 2751) the [Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2009](#) was signed into law with the Consumer Assistance to Recycle and Save Program (C.A.R.S.) as Title XIII.

The program received an initial allocation of \$1 billion (out of the \$4 billion estimated cost) (Wikipedia, Car Allowance Rebate System)

What the EPA Carbon Dioxide finding does not say

Let me interject here for a moment and observe that it is amazing what the EPA does not say. For instance, the EPA doesn't say that **all humans**, and animals that process oxygen like us, **breathe in our earth's air and breathe out carbon dioxide CO₂**.

They do not say what the earth's air atmosphere is made of.

"The **atmosphere of Earth** is a layer of **gases** surrounding the planet **Earth** that is retained by Earth's **gravity**. The **atmosphere** protects **life on Earth** by absorbing **ultraviolet solar radiation**, warming the surface through heat retention (**greenhouse effect**), and reducing **temperature** extremes between **day** and **night** (the **diurnal temperature variation**)." " **Air** is the name given to **atmosphere** used in **breathing** and **photosynthesis**. Dry air contains roughly (by volume) 78.09% **nitrogen**, 20.95% **oxygen**, 0.93% **argon**, **0.039% carbon dioxide**, and small amounts of other gases. Air also contains a variable amount of **water vapor**, on average around 1%. " (Wikipedia Atmosphere of The Earth") The EPA doesn't say that we "air breathers" assimilate oxygen and exhale carbon dioxide, in particular, We also expel methane by various means quite often.

The **EPA can rule CO₂ as greenhouse gas and say that it endangers our atmosphere but grass, trees and almost every green plant calls it essential to life**. That's right, "photosynthesis uses carbon dioxide and **water**, releasing **oxygen** as a waste product.

Photosynthesis is vital for all aerobic **life on Earth**. In addition to maintaining normal levels of oxygen in the **atmosphere**, photosynthesis is the source of energy for nearly all life on earth, either directly, through **primary production**, or indirectly, as the ultimate source of the energy in their food. (*Ibid.*)

They **do not emphasize any good effects of more carbon dioxide** in the atmosphere. Nobel Prize winning physicist Ivar Giaever says, "**The fact is that CO₂ is not a pollutant**. Plants do so much better with more CO₂ that **greenhouse operators often increase the CO₂ concentrations by factors of three or four to get better growth**." ("No Need to Panic About Global Warming", *The Wall Street Journal*; January 27, 2012)

Shame on humans for producing carbon dioxide. Why if we increased carbon dioxide enough we might produce a tropical paradise where plants grew so fast all famines would be over. The Polar ice caps might melt enough that the increasing size and scopes of deserts would actually shrink because of the rain falling from the moisture in the atmosphere. OK back to the world of reality.

Demonstrable Progress in curbing Greenhouse Emissions

Remember the Kyoto Protocol wanted **to legally require all Parties to report** what they were going to do about CO₂ equivalent emissions of greenhouse gases as well as require them to implement a plan. Once the plan was implemented they required

progress reports. "Each Party included in Annex I **shall, by 2005, have made demonstrable progress in achieving its commitment under this Protocol.**"

EPA vehicle emission requirements have met the Kyoto requirements and are continuing to do so. It is a given fact that, "Every passenger car and light-duty truck (SUV or pickup) sold in the United States must comply with emissions regulations set forth by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). This regulatory entity, established in 1970, implements federal laws intended to protect the environment." (Jason Kavanag, "Untangling U.S. Vehicle Emissions Regulations", edmunds.com 5/12/2009)

The USA implemented stricter emissions controls on cars before 2005. More advanced computers began to be used in cars by 1996 and following. "In 1990, the Clean Air Act was amended to **define federal emissions standards** that took full effect **in model-year 1996**. These were known as Tier 1 standards." (*Ibid.*)

"Today, Tier 2 defines the current set of federal emissions regulations. Tier 2 slashes allowable emissions to much lower levels than Tier 1, but more significantly it requires that vans, pickups and large SUVs be subject to the same emissions regulations as passenger cars.

Tier 2's phase-in period was from model years 2004-'07 for cars and trucks. Every successive model year within this period required that an additional 25 percent of an automaker's fleet be Tier 2 compliant. This phase-in period gave automakers some breathing room in meeting the regulations." (*Ibid.*)

"Today, the emissions standards in the U.S. are more stringent than anywhere else in the world, and will remain so for the foreseeable future."(*Ibid.*)

This statement was made before the EPA's most recent Endangerment ruling on New Car CO2 emissions!

In Certain areas of Texas with the highest densities of population, Houston, Austin, DFW area, and El Paso, an exhaust test known as the ASM Emissions test is performed. "This test uses a **dynamometer, which measures emissions under simulated driving conditions**. In a sense, it's like a treadmill stress test for your vehicle. This tailpipe test is a cost-effective way to get very accurate, realistic results. **A vehicle will fail the test if there is an excessive amount of Hydrocarbon, Carbon Monoxide or Oxides of Nitrogen.**" (www.txdps.st.tx.us/vi/Misc.faq/faq_asm.html) ASM tests are required on motor vehicles with computer control systems. Environmental restrictions in many cities and states across the nation, require that vehicle inspections analyze exhaust emissions to ensure that byproducts are below required standards for that vehicle. **If the standards are not met the car is officially illegal to drive or sell and hence becomes junk yard parts** and scrap metal. California, and other states who have adopted their standards, have emission restrictions higher than the EPA. Guess why California went broke.

It would take several books to review all of the EPA "rulings" and "restrictions", and the economic impact they have had on the U. S. economy. **Without a doubt, the environmental rulings and restrictions enacted by various U. S. agencies have been a**

reflection of the mandates of the UNFCCC, whether the U. S. is legally bound or not.

Fast Track Compliance with the UNFCCC Climate Change Regime

The U.S. EPA and other government agencies have certainly put compliance with the UNFCCC on a fast track under the Obama administration. There are a sizable number of Czars whose job descriptions deal with various aspects of the environment and compliance to the UNFCCC.

United Nations and Climate Change related Czars

AIDS Czar: Jeffrey Crowley primarily responsible for coordinating the continuing domestic efforts to reduce the number of new infections in the U. S. but duties also include the international efforts to combat HIV/AIDS. (UN WHO and UNFCCC concern) (*Ibid.*)

Special Envoy for Climate Change (Czar): Todd Stern Stern is a veteran of the Clinton administration. He was also the U. S. negotiator at the conference in Kyoto, Japan that generated the Kyoto Protocol calling for a "stabilizing" of greenhouse gas emissions. (Adam Brickley, "Global Ecological Board of Directors' Envisioned by State Department's Climate Czar"; 9/08/2009, www.cnsnews.com) This article informs us that Stern wrote a letter to the 44th president of the U. S., (sent to all the candidates before the 2008 election) advocating "an ecological board of directors able to operate outside the bureaucracy and politics of large UN conventions" who would manage all major environmental decisions. (*Ibid.*) He is a member of the Council on Foreign Relations. Urges the U.S. government to impose on industry a reduction in the emission of greenhouse gases.

Supports a national cap-and-trade system that would limit carbon emissions.

Considers deforestation one of the world's top environmental concerns.

Stern serves as the principal advisor on international climate policy and strategy.

He leads efforts with the UN negotiations and processes involving a smaller set of countries and bilateral sessions. ("Obama's Czars", noisyroom.net)

Copyright Czar (Intellectual Property Enforcement Coordinator): Primary function to provide guidance to other federal departments and agencies in their efforts to combat IP (intellectual Property) infringement. Part of the UNFCCC plan is the "development and transfer of technology" to undeveloped countries which would involve waiving copyright (intellectual property rights). (*Ibid.*)

Energy Czar (Assistant White House Office of Energy and Climate Change): **Carol M. Browner.** Duties include oversight, promoting smooth cooperation among the different energy and climate entities. (*Ibid.*) **Former Legislative Director for Senator Al Gore. Former head the Environmental Protection Agency under President Bill Clinton** and in 1995 she used her position at the EPA to lobby more than 100 grassroots environmental groups to oppose the Republican-led Congress, faxing out documents condemning the GOP's regulatory initiatives. She said that global warming is "the greatest challenge ever faced" by the human race.

She is currently a Board member of the Alliance for Climate Protection (an organization founded by Al Gore in 2006)

She is currently a Board member of the George Soros-funded Center for American Progress, which has close ties to President Obama.

She is currently a Board member of the League of Conservation Voters.

Following the BP tragedy, the White House commissioned the Secretary of the Interior to provide a safety report on offshore drilling. Secretary Salazar pulled in a panel of seven outside advisors to assist in his analysis of the safety of offshore drilling, and provide recommendations for going forward. A final draft of this report was sent to White House Climate Czar Carol Browner's office before being forwarded to the president. It was reported that Browner's staff edited the document to imply that the outside advisors recommended a drilling moratorium, when in fact this was not true. (Mike Bauer, "Obama's Czars and their right wing affiliations", *Front Page Magazine*, May 16, 2011)

Carter, Ashton (Weapons Czar) Has collaborated with John Podesta, the former Clinton White House chief of staff who now runs the George Soros-funded Center for American Progress, which has close ties to President Obama.

Wants all private weapons in US destroyed. Supports UN ban on firearms ownership in America.

Co-Director, with former Secretary of Defense William J. Perry, of the Preventive Defense Project.

Former member of ultra liberal **Council on Foreign Relations**, which blames American organizations for regional wars.

Crowley, Jeffrey (AIDS Czar) Homosexual gay rights activist. Believes in gay marriage and special status for gays, including free health care

Davis, Cameron (Great Lakes Czar) Chicago radical anti business environmentalist.

Blamed George Bush for "Poisoning the water that minorities have to drink."

No experience or training in water management.

Worked for the United Nations Environment Programme in Nairobi, Kenya, on the Montreal Protocol project designed to protect the Earth's ozone layer.

Blogged for the pro-Obama group Organizing For America during the 2008 presidential campaign.

Currently the President and CEO of the Alliance for the Great Lakes.

Devaney, Earl (Stimulus Accountability Czar) Director of criminal enforcement at the Environmental Protection Agency (1991 to 1999).

Spent career trying to take guns away from American citizens.

Believes in Open Borders to Mexico.

Author of statement blaming US gun stores for drug war in Mexico.

Hayes, David (California Water Czar) Became a partner in 1990 at the DC law firm Latham & Watkins, where he chaired the environmental division that was created by

Robert M. Sussman (whom President Obama would appoint as deputy secretary of the Environmental Protection Agency in 2009).

Served on the EPA Transition Team for President-elect Bill Clinton in 1992.

1993-94: Served as a special emissary for Vice President Al Gore to advise Bolivia's new President on sustainable development issues.

Was board chairman of the Environmental Law Institute, a non-profit research center, from 1993-1995.

Was named acting Deputy Interior Secretary in 2001.

Became a senior fellow at the Progressive Policy Institute, a think tank affiliated with the Democratic Leadership Council.

Became a senior fellow at the World Wildlife Fund.

Served as Board Chairman of the Environmental Law Institute.

Holbrooke, Richard (Afghanistan Czar) Although his primary responsibilities are related to the ongoing Afgan -Pakistan situation, he is also working on AIDS and its influence on destabilizing governments and economies (a UN World Health Organization concern). He is also working on UN reform to bolster its peace-keeping abilities and increase the number of staff dedicated to that effort. "He is a strong proponent of using multilateral military force to stem violence throughout the world." ("Obama's Czars" noisyroom.net)

Served as Board of Directors member of American International Group (AIG) from February 2001 to July 2008. During this period, AIG engaged in wildly speculative credit-default insurance schemes that threatened to topple the entire American financial system.

On February 24, 2007, Holbrooke called for "a new strategy in Iraq", involving "a careful, phased redeployment of U.S. troops" and a "new diplomatic offensive in the Gulf region to help stabilize Iraq."

Sits on the board of directors of the Council on Foreign Relations.

Holbrooke believes the War on Terror "can only metaphorically be a war, like other 'wars' against poverty, drugs or crime." He believes "the war against terror must be primarily a matter of law enforcement, conducted the same way we attempt, half-heartedly, to stamp out the international drug trade."

Anti-gun, pro-abortion and pro legal drug use.

Holdren, John (Science Czar) Has repeatedly warned that some form of ecocatastrophe is likely to occur. Views capitalism as an economic system that is inherently harmful to the natural environment.

Longtime anti-nuclear activist.

Directs the Woods Hole Research Center, whose mission is to "understand the causes and **consequences of environmental change as a basis for policy solutions for a better world.**"

In 1971 Holdren and Paul Ehrlich warned that "some form of ecocatastrophe, if not thermonuclear war, seems almost certain to overtake us before the end of the century." Holdren and Ehrlich (in their 1973 book *Human Ecology: Problems and Solutions*) called for "**a massive campaign ... to de-develop the United States**" and other Western nations in order to conserve energy and facilitate growth in underdeveloped countries.

Holdren and Erlich and Erlich's wife Ann (in their 1977 book *Ecoscience: Population, Resources, Environment*) **argued involuntary birth-control measures, including forced sterilization, may be necessary and morally acceptable under extreme conditions, such as widespread famine brought about by "climate change."**

Stated, "I think ultimately that the rate of growth of material consumption is going to have to come down, and there's going to have to be a degree of redistribution of how much we consume, in terms of energy and material resources, in order to leave room for people who are poor to become more prosperous."

In 1984, Holdren served on the editorial board of the Bulletin of Atomic Scientists, a publication whose personnel were accused of providing vital nuclear information that helped the Soviet Union develop its first atomic bomb.

Has held important posts in the Federation of American Scientists, which had strong communist ties, and is still listed as a member of the F.A.S. Sponsor's Board.

In 1986 Holdren predicted that "carbon dioxide-induced famines could kill as many as a billion people before the year 2020."

In 2006 Holdren suggested that as a result of global warming, sea levels worldwide could rise by 13 feet by the end of the 21st century.

In the October 2008 issue of *Scientific American*, Holdren wrote: **"The ongoing disruption of the Earth's climate by man-made greenhouse gases is already well beyond dangerous and is careening toward completely unmanageable."**

Today Holdren characterizes researchers who doubt whether human activity is responsible for global warming, or that global warming even poses a serious threat, as people who "infest" the public discourse with "dangerous" ideas that pose "a menace" to humanity.

From 1993-2004 he chaired the Committee on International Security and Arms Control of the U.S. National Academy of Sciences.

In 2010 he stated, "The U.S. can't expect to be number one in science and technology forever."

Jones, Van was (Green Jobs Czar). He has been removed and is organizing Occupy movements. Became a Communist in the aftermath of the 1992 "Rodney King riots" in Los Angeles.

Founded the Ella Baker Center for Human Rights in 1996, which received more than \$1 million from George Soros's Open Society Institute.

Was active in the anti-Iraq War demonstrations organized by International ANSWER, which was a front group for the Marxist-Leninist Workers World Party.

Served as a board member of the Rainforest Action Network and Free Press.

During his years at Yale, Jones served as an intern with the San Francisco-based Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights (LCCR), which views the U.S. as an irredeemably racist nation and "champions the legal rights of people of color, poor people, immigrants and refugees, with a special commitment to African-Americans."

Jones and the Ella Baker Center produced **the "Social Equity Track"** for the [United Nations'](#) World Environment Day celebration, a project that eventually would evolve into the Baker Center's Green-Collar Jobs Campaign.

He has served as a board member of numerous environmental and nonprofit organizations, including the Rainforest Action Network; Free Press; the Apollo Alliance;

Bioneers (which accepts the United Nations Millennium Ecosystem Report's warning that "Human activity is putting such strain on the natural functions of Earth that the ability of the planet's ecosystems to sustain future generations can no longer be taken for granted"); the Social Venture Network (which aims "to build a just economy and sustainable planet"); and Julia Butterfly Hill's "Circle of Life" environmental foundation.

He also co-founded Color of Change (COC), an organization that views the United States as a profoundly racist country.

Has given myriad speeches with communist or socialist viewpoints.

When Barack Obama appointed him 'Green Jobs Czar' in March 2009, he was a senior fellow with the George Soros-funded, Washington, DC-based Center for American Progress, run by John Podesta, the former Clinton White House chief of staff, and which has close ties to President Obama.

He serves as one of 20 advisers to the Presidential Climate Action Project (based at the University of Colorado), **which makes climate-policy recommendations for the Obama White House.**

Samore, Gary (WMD Policy Czar) Joined the Clinton administration's National Security Council in 1995 as an adviser on nonproliferation; coordinated U.S. policy on nuclear, chemical and biological weapons.

Joined the International Institute of Strategic Studies in London in 2001.

Was a researcher at the International Institute of Strategic Studies from 2001 to 2005.

Was **Vice President for Global Security and Sustainability** at the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation in 2005.

Was director of the Council on Foreign Relations from 2006 to 2009.

Is working to destroy all the United States weapons of mass destruction unilaterally as a show of good faith.

Alleged former U.S. Communist party member.

Sunstein, Cass (Regulatory Czar) Karl N. Llewellyn Distinguished Service Professor, Law School and Department of Political Science, University of Chicago. © 2008,

Contributing editor to *The New Republic* and *The American Prospect*.

Married to Samantha Powers, who has a long anti-Israel record and was appointed as Director for Multilateral Affairs in the National Security Council by Barack Obama.

Liberal activist **judge believes free speech needs to be limited for the "common good"**.

Played an active role in opposing the impeachment of President Bill Clinton in 1998.

Served as an advisor for Barack Obama's presidential campaign in 2008.

Believes the Constitution should be viewed as a "living," evolving document.

Believes government should fund abortion.

Believes in the "Fairness Doctrine."

Believes government should force broadcasters to air 'diversity' ads over the airwaves.

Believes in a progressive consumption tax.

Believes Americans are too racist for socialism.

Believes cloning should pose no moral dilemma because embryos are "just a handful of cells."

Advocated removing people's organs without their specific consent. **He said, "the state owns the rights to body parts of people who are dead or in certain hopeless conditions, and it can remove their organs without asking anyone's permission."**

Advocates that the government should abolish its sanctioning of marriage.

Has a long record as a radical animal 'rights' advocate and wants to ban hunting.

Said that livestock and wild animals should have legal 'rights' and be permitted to file lawsuit. Also said that current uses of animals are akin to 'human slavery' and that meat eating should be eliminated.

He has called for government-imposed diversity on websites promoting a particular political perspective.

Argued that citizens' rights exist only to the extent that they are granted by the government.

The judiciary he contemplates would have Democratic and Republican caucuses, because he believes the law is not an apolitical discipline where practitioners put aside their political beliefs.

He has argued in favor of expanding welfare benefits and redistributing wealth in the United States, but contends that the country's "white majority" opposes such a development because of deep-seated racism.

He depicts socialist nations as being more committed than their capitalist counterparts to the welfare of their own citizens.

In a paper entitled, "Climate Change Justice," he held that it was "desirable" for America to pay "justice" to poorer nations by entering into a compensation agreement that would result in a financial loss for the United States, which he labeled as "distributive justice."

Believes "The nanny state ... in a way is underrated, so long as there aren't mandates" and that the populace is easily manipulated.

Wants to ban conspiracy theories, impose a tax on those who advance them and "...the government should engage in cognitive infiltration of the groups that produce conspiracy theories."

Volcker, Paul (Economic Czar)

Served as president of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York from 1975 to 1979 under Jimmy Carter.

In April 2004, he was assigned by the United Nations to research possible corruption in the Iraqi Oil for Food program. In his final report, he criticized Kojo Annan (son of UN Secretary-General Kofi Annan), but did not implicate Kofi Annan in any way. The Heritage Foundation charged that Volcker was not impartial in his research, and called for his resignation.

With the help of Obama's Czars that represent all phases of the Climate Change Regime's Sustainable Development: Agenda 21, it is not surprising that the EPA has dramatically stepped up its efforts.

Mandatory Reporting Required by EPA

The EPA also introduced another omnibus ruling called "**Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gases; Final Rule**" Friday October 30, 2009 (This 261 page document

is to be found at www.epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/ghgrulemaking.html and was last updated January 13, 2012.)

The "**Summary**" of the bill reveals its **scope** as: "a **regulation to require reporting of greenhouse gas emissions from all sectors of the economy**.....above certain threshold levels." (p. 56260) The ruling was, "In response to the FY2008 Consolidated Appropriations Act (H.R. 2764; Public Law 110-161)" The stated purpose of the rule is "to collect accurate and timely GHG **data to inform future policy decisions.**" The initial ruling was aimed at "suppliers of certain products that **would result in GHG emissions if released**, combusted or oxidized; direct emitting source categories; facilities that inject CO₂ under ground for geologic sequestration or any purpose other than geologic sequestration, are covered in part 98 Facilities that emit 25,000 metric tons or more per year of GHG's are required to submit annual reports to EPA." The **scope** of this reporting really does apply to all areas of the economy as Tables 1 and 2 reveal. For instance in "**Table 1 Examples of Affected Entities by Category**" the "**Manure Management**" heading includes "Beef cattle feedlots, Dairy cattle and milk production facilities, Turkey production, Broilers and Other Meat type Chicken Production." **While the current regulations only apply to major producers, the next step will be to continue to enforce the same requirements in downward incremental steps to meet increasingly more stringent GHG standards.** Now you know why the price on every meat that you buy has sky rocketed. Regulation costs are passed on to the consumer! My modest purchasing of chicken, beef, and turkey over the past three years has seen a 90 -100% increase in price.

How do we know that the EPA's Mandatory Reporting of greenhouse gases relates directly to the UNFCCC one might ask. The answer to that comes from the document itself under heading "**I. Background:**

This GHG reporting program supplements and complements, rather than duplicates, existing U. S. government programs (e.g. climate policy and research programs). For example, **EPA Anticipates that facility level GHG emissions data will lead to Improvements in the quality of the *Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks* (Inventory), which EPA prepares Annually, with input from several other agencies, and submits to The Secretariat of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCC).**

This same heading emphasizes over and over that the **required data reported** will be **used to develop, "actions that facilities could in the future or already take to reduce emissions."** "The mandatory GHG reporting program will provide EPA, other government agencies, **and outside stakeholders** with economy-wide data on **facility-level (and in some cases corporate-level) GHG emissions**, which should assist in future policy development." (*Ibid.*)

The EPA is in full compliance with the Kyoto Protocol Article 7.1. "each Party included in annex I shall incorporate in its **annual inventory** of anthropogenic emission by sources and removals by **sinks of greenhouse gases** not controlled by the Montreal Protocol...." 3. "Each Party included in Annex I **shall submit the information required under paragraph 1 above annually... after this Protocol has entered into force for that Party**".

Who has access to this data?

Any one in the whole world with internet access. Any one with internet access can type www.epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/ghgrulemaking.html and you will be greeted with the "**Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program**" and the "new fact" that "2010 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Data from Large Facilities Now Available".

EPA rulings, regulations, and actions that are directly related to the UNFCCC **decisions have impacted and are currently affecting every phase of our lives in the U.S.A.**

Mark Levin, in his book *Ameritopia*, illustrates what he calls the "**federal regulatory regime**". He says, "when constructing a home, federal rules set standards for insulation, gypsum board, treated lumber, windows, pipes, ventilation ducts, flooring, paint, etc. Homebuilders must comply with the Clean Air Act, the Clean Water Act, the Endangered Species Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, the Toxic Substances Control Act, and the National Historic Preservation Act." (Mark Levin, *Ameritopia*, Threshold Editions, Simon and Schuster 1230 Ave. of Americas, NY, NY, 2012 p.217)

Levine continues, "Inside the home", federal regulations include, "washing machines, dryers, dishwashers, dishwasher detergents, microwave ovens, toilets, showerheads, heating and cooling systems, refrigerators, freezers, furnace fans and boilers, ceiling fans, dehumidifiers, light bulbs, certain renovations, fitness equipment, clothing, baby cribs, pacifiers, rattles and toys, marbles, latex balloons, matchbooks, bunk beds, mattresses, mattress pads, televisions, radios, cell phones, iPods and other digital media devices, computer components, video recording devices, speakers, batteries, battery chargers, power supplies, stereo equipment, garage door openers, lawn movers, lawn darts, pool slides... toothpaste, deodorant, dentures, and most things around the medicine cabinet." (Ibid.)

There is a great deal more that could be said about the EPA so let us move on.

EPA Regulations on Coal burning Power Plants

One should remember the uproar that recent EPA rulings have caused in coal burning electric power plants in particular.

The EPA News Release from Headquarters says, "The U. S. Environmental Protection agency (EPA) has issued the Mercury and Air Toxics Standards, the first national standards to protect American families from power plant emissions ..." (epa.gov, new release, dated 12/21/2011)

The *Wheeling News Register* says, "A few weeks ago it was **revealed at least 32 coal-fired power plants in 12 states, including West Virginia and Ohio, would be closed so utility companies could comply with the Obama administration's air pollution regulations.**" ("Obama Winning His War on Coal", *The Intelligencer/Wheeling News-Register*, 2/12/2012) The article says the plant closings list includes "the Kammer Plant near Moundsville." Furthermore, the article states, "First Energy announced it would close three West Virginia power plants later this year, along with six in Ohio, Pennsylvania and Maryland, for the same reason." (Ibid.) "American Electric Power President and Chief Executive Officer Nick Akins said **complying with EPA mandates will drive power costs up by at least 10-25 percent.**" (Ibid.)

This regulation will impact about 42% of all current coal burning power plants so watch your electricity bills sky rocket.

NAERC (North American Electric Reliability Corp) reported that **the EPA regulations "are shown to be the number one risk to the reliability over the next one to five years" of the nation's power grid. (Ibid.)**

The EPA released a "**finalized rule**" on July 6, 2011 called the "**Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR)**" "This rule ...requires states to significantly improve air quality by reducing power plant emissions that contribute to ozone and /or fine particle pollution in other states." "CSAPR requires a total of 28 states to reduce annual SO₂ emissions (73%) , annual NO_x emissions (54%) and/or ozone season NO_x emissions to assist in attaining the 1997 ozone and fine particle and 2006 fine particle National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS). On October 6, 2011, EPA proposed technical revisions to CSAPR and is working to finalize the adjustments as soon as possible." (www.epa.gov/crossstaterule/)

This rule was to go into effect January 1, 2012 for SO₂ and May 1, 2012 for NO_x. (Ibid.)

This EPA ruling is in full compliance with the **2002 Johannesburg Plan of Implementation (JPOI), 39**, which says Party states **are to, "Enhance cooperation at the international, regional and national levels to reduce air pollution, including transboundary air pollution, acid deposition and ozone depletion,..."** (http://www.un.org/esa/sustdev/documents/WSSD_POI_PD/English/POIChapter4.htm 2002 paragraph 39.)

The CSAPR rule **could potentially shut down all older coal burning power plants because retrofitting is not cost effective.** Even some of the newer retrofitted coal fired power plants can not meet the latest standards and most certainly could not have met them by January 1, 2012. These EPA standards were bad enough but there is more!

The EPA released a **new ruling on CO₂ emissions that would effectively prohibit all new coal burning power plants from being constructed.** "The rulemaking, issued March 27, requires **carbon dioxide emissions from new fossil-fuel plants** to be roughly equivalent to the emissions from a new combined-cycle natural gas plant. That's half the emissions from a new coal plant, and English said the technology to accomplish that reduction does not currently exist." (Steven Johnson ECT staff, *Electric Co-Op Today*, "[EPA Regs Threaten New Coal Plants](#)" 4/2/2012)

This article quotes NRECA CEO Glenn English as saying, "Because commercially viable carbon capture and storage technology is still years away, **the rules issued by EPA have the practical effect of outlawing coal as a fuel source for the next generation of power plants**".

"The **standard marks the first-ever limits on greenhouse gases under the Clean Air Act.** A member resolution adopted by co-ops notes the Clean Air Act was never intended to cover carbon, and calls it the wrong vehicle to do so." (Ibid.)

"**Having been thoroughly rejected by Congress, EPA is now attempting an end-around designed to place an indefinite ban on the construction of conventional coal-fired power plants in America.** Coal is an essential part of a diverse, reliable, and affordable energy mix, supplying nearly 40 percent of our electricity. It remains a cost-

effective and secure source of power in a time of soaring energy prices." (Sean Hackbarth, "EPA Launches New Attack On Coal", Free Enterprise, 2/27/2012)

The Chamber of Commerce [Executive Vice President for Government Affairs Bruce Josten](#) also points out EPA's questionable authority to issue this regulation:

"EPA's proposal is rife with legal and structural deficiencies that could ultimately allow the scope of the rule to expand well beyond the entities EPA seeks to regulate. Even worse, the agency has proposed this dubious new regulation while a legal cloud hangs over the fundamental question of whether it can regulate greenhouse gases at all." (*Ibid.*)

"Today's announcement is another in a long string of actions this administration has taken that weaken our energy security and raise energy prices. **Given recent court decisions finding that EPA overreached—including three in the last week—the** Chamber will be evaluating all of its options to overturn this rule if it is ultimately issued." (*Ibid.*)

Romina Boccia writing for *The Foundry* says, "In combination with other EPA regulations that contribute to the [premature shutdown](#) of existing coal plants, the EPA's actions represent one of the [greatest threats](#) to the electric sector and America's energy supply." (Romina Boccia, "EPA CO2 Regulations Effectively Bans New Coal Facilities" *The Foundry*, 3/27/2012)

States and companies can sue the EPA and potentially win but this and other new rulings new rulings immediate, and far reaching, impact on the economy.

The EPA did make a provision to keep plants from shutting down however, because **they can buy CSAPR allowances!** "CSAPR creates a new emission allowance system of existing Clean Air Act trading programs." (Sterling & Sherman Nov. 2011 *The Cross-State Air Pollution Rule: Remaining Uncertainties*, Client Publication, p. 2.) The SO2 group 1 and NOx annual ozone-season allowances were trading at \$3,250 and \$3,500 per ton respectively. (*Ibid.* p.2)

There have been multi state challenges to CSAPR. Kansas was the first state to challenge CSAPR in federal court on September 19, 2011 with Texas filing a petition for review on September 20, 2011. Nine states have now filed suit. (*Ibid.* p. 4)

Most people do not realize that this recent EPA craziness is coming directly from United Nations Framework for Climate Change Convention (UNFCCC) because it used the U.N.'s Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) flawed global warming predictions. In fact, "legal challenges and splits in the US climate consensus follow **revelations of major flaws in the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) report, which declared that global warming was no longer scientifically contestable.**" Phillip Sherwell, "Barak Obama's climate change policy in crisis", *The Telegraph*, 2/20/2010; *telegraph.co.uk*)

"With billions of dollars at stake, EPA outsourced the scientific basis for its greenhouse gas regulation to a scandal-plagued international organization (the IPCC) that cannot be considered objective or trustworthy," said Greg Abbott, Texas's attorney general. (Ibid.)

A Senate Minority Report was released by the U.S. Senate Committee on Environment and Public Works that is available at www.epw.senate.gov/inhofe. A brief compilation called The "IPCC Gets The Science Wrong" is also available which gives the following synopsis of the level of the problem.

Over the last several weeks, the media has uncovered significant errors and non peer-reviewed material in the IPCC's 2007 Fourth Assessment Report (AR 4). **This undermines the credibility of IPCC science, which EPA relied on to make its "endangerment finding" for greenhouse gases.**

The IPCC mistakenly claimed that global warming would:

Melt Himalayan glaciers by 2035;

Endanger 40 percent of Amazon rainforests;

Melt mountain ice in the Alps, Andes, and Africa;

Deplete water resources for 4.5 billion people by 2085, neglecting to mention that global warming could also increase water resources for as many as 6 billion people;

Slash crop production by 50 percent in North Africa by 2020.

In addition the IPCC:

Incorrectly stated that 55 percent of the Netherlands lies below sea level;

Used data from Chinese weather station measurements that are not only seriously flawed, but can't be located. IPCC scientists suppressed this data for years because of fears that it could cast doubt on a key 1990 study on the effect of cities on warming;

Included a diagram used to demonstrate the potential for generating electricity from wave power that has been found to contain numerous errors;

Used a biased report by the activist group Defenders of Wildlife to state that salmon in US streams have been affected by rising temperatures;

Deliberately ignored a paper written by a scientist that contradicted the panel's claims about the cost of climate-related natural disasters;

Misrepresented the alleged link between climate change and coral reef degradation. The IPCC based this link not on peer-reviewed science but on advocacy articles by the **radical group Greenpeace**;

Downplayed the increase in sea ice in the Antarctic to dramatize the observed decline in sea ice in the Arctic.
 (http://epw.senate.gov/public/index.cfm?FuseAction=Minority.PressReleases&ContentRecord_id...)

The is IPCC fraud science is a serious matter that affects not only the United States but the whole world because of the Climate Change Regime global control agenda.

It must be understood that everything we have discussed to this point **lays a step by step legal basis to implement the environmental "Regime"** that has been in the works from the beginning of the UNFCCC. We have observed that while the U.S.A has not entered into a **"legally binding" climate change treaty** it has been meeting self imposed goals through the **EPA's ability to legally require compliance** to its standards using the authority granted to it under The Clean Air Act of 1990 and 2005.

The **new endangerment findings, mandatory reporting, and on going regulations on green house gases** are working to implement the UNFCCC's **Climate Change Regime efforts to have a legally binding agreement for the whole world.**

If any one doubts EPA rulings are a **direct response to the UNFCCC** and the US commitments to GHG reduction they should read **"Status of Global Mitigation Action: Current Targets and policies in key countries"** found on the climatechange.gov.au website. The report says, "Following the Copenhagen Climate Change Conference at the end of 2009, countries were invited to submit targets or actions for inscription in the appendices of the Copenhagen Accord. All MEF (the US is one) **countries submitted pledges to reduce emissions** or emissions intensity." (<http://www.climatechange.gov.au/government/initiatives/multi-party-committee/~media/public>)

The report documents goes on to **site the 2010 Cancun agreements on green house gas reductions and their "agreements" to set a "global goal to hold the increase in global average temperature to below two degrees Celsius on pre-industrial levels."** After discussing mitigation measures and pledges there is an attachment that summarizes what ever official proposals specific countries have made to meet their target goal proposals. (Ibid.)

The following is the report for the USA.

" Market-based approaches have previously been proposed **by the Obama administration as a means to achieve the provisional US emissions reduction targets of 17 per cent below 2005 levels by 2020** and 83 per cent below 2005 levels by 2050.⁵⁰ These approaches have not passed the US Congress.

In the absence of comprehensive Federal climate legislation, **the United States Environment Protection Agency (US EPA) is taking steps to regulate greenhouse gas emissions under the US Clean Air Act. From January 2011 large stationary sources that are obliged to obtain permits under the Clean Air Act will be required to begin addressing greenhouse gas emissions.**⁵¹

A number of US States (California, Montana, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Arizona and Washington) are partners in the **Western Climate Initiative**, which aims to introduce **emissions trading progressively, starting in 2012**: California and New Mexico have now approved legislation (in late 2010) for commencement of their **emissions trading schemes** on 1 January 2012 and 2013 respectively. The US has a number of other **regional emissions**

trading scheme initiatives, including the **Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI)**, an electricity generation cap and trade scheme which has been in operation since 2009." (Ibid.)

When the US EPA administrator Lisa Jackson declared, "There are no more excuses for delay." "**This administration will not ignore science and the law any longer**" just before she appeared at the Copenhagen climate conference it left people like this author wondering **just what "law" she thought the US has "ignored" under previous administrations.** . ("EPA Declares CO2 a Pollutant", by Nick Mc Master, 12/07/2009, www.newser.com)

Evidently, the "Law" that Jackson was referring to was some international agreement because the US government has never declared that CO2 is a greenhouse gas that must be reduced on an agreed UN scale by at least 80 % by 2050. The 1992 UNFCCC treaty, Agenda 21, Rio Declaration, and our other commitments do not legally bind the US to meet targets that we or they have set.

Remember that the USA was to **voluntarily enforce, on a cost efficient approach**, the implementation of our agreements, **unless Jackson assumes the Kyoto Protocol binds the USA with out congressional approval.**

No one but a complete idiot of the earth's atmosphere would **declare an essential part of the atmosphere (CO2)** to be a greenhouse gas in the first place but that is not the point. **The point is that the UN IPCC has declared CO2 a green house gas and the EPA of the USA has made their final ruling that agrees with the UN IPCC. EPA rulings do not require ratification by the US Senate or any other legislative branch of government and they use their authority to "crucify" any individual, business, industry, or state that has the audacity to oppose them.**

Michelle Malkin wrote in an article "Crucify them: the Obama way," "**This White House treats politically incorrect private industries as public enemies who deserve regulatory death sentences.**" She documents this statement with quotes from the **Environmental Protection Agency administrator Al Armendariz's speech** he made in 2010. Armendariz said, "I was in a meeting once, and gave an analogy to my staff about my philosophy of enforcement, and I think it was probably a little crude and maybe not appropriate for the meeting, but I'll go ahead and tell you what I said." "It was kind of like how the Romans used to conquer little villages in the Mediterranean. They'd go into a little Turkish town somewhere, they'd find the first five guys they saw, and they would crucify them. And then you know that town was really easy to manage for the next few years. ...So that's our general philosophy." "**You hit them as hard as you can, and you make examples out of them, and there is a deterrent effect there.** And companies that are smart see that, they don't want to play the game, and they decide at that point that it's time to clean up." (Malkin, *Human Events*, 4/27/2012,) These comments were in a video obtained and released by Sen. James Inhofe, R-Okla. (Ibid.)

Senator Inhofe has begun an investigation of the **EPA's use of disinformation** and scare tactics to damage American energy industry. "The EPA's recent efforts to

portray hydraulic fracturing as dangerous were the spur for the investigation." For instance, Al Armendariz repeatedly warned that hydraulic fracturing could cause houses to explode. However, Inhofe has cited the Armendariz 2010 video and the **fact that the EPA ordered Texas state officials to stop investigating its claim that hydraulic fracturing had contaminated well water** as other reasons for his investigation. (Godfatherpolitics.com,/4902/ "Climate of Fear: Inhofe Calls out Obama's EPA Over Scare Tactics" 4/26/2012)

Michelle Malkin cites what she classifies as some of Obama's "**tyrannical actions that speak louder than words**" in her "'Crucify Them': The Obama Way article". She mentions Obama's Interior Secretary Ken Salazar who vowed to, "keep his boot on the neck" of BP after the Gulf oil spill in 2010. "It was Obama's EPA that railroaded a senior government research analyst for daring to question the agency's zealous push to impose greenhouse gas rules. **When Alan Carlin asked to distribute an analysis on the health effects of greenhouse gases that didn't fit the eco-bureaucracy's blame-human- activity narrative, he was gagged and reprimanded with: "The time for such discussion of fundamental issues has passed for this round. The administrator and the administration has decided to move forward on endangerment, and your comments do not help the legal or policy case for this decision...."** (Ibid.) Malkin also cites, "Obama's U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, in cahoots with the witch hunters at the Department of Justice, **that raided Gibson Guitar factories** in Memphis and Nashville three years ago over an arcane **endangered species of wood**. The guitar police have yet to bring charges, leaving the company in **costly legal limbo**." (Ibid.)

The EPA has gone even farther with regulations under the Clean Water Act. Take the case of John Rapanos who wanted to build a shopping mall on his land in Michigan.

Mr. Rapanos has purchased land some 20 miles away from the closest waterway designated as "waters of the United States." However, part of his property was low and needed to be filled for construction purposes. (da Tagliare, "EPA Defines Ditch As Navigable Waterway ...", godfatherpolitics.com/5689/epa-defines-ditch-as-navigable-waterway..., 6/16/2012)

After Mr. Rapanos filled the low areas, the EPA informed him that his property was a navigable (float a boat on it) because it was connected to a tributary (ditch) that flowed into the waters of the US. (Ibid.)

Mr. Rapanos filed a law suit against the EPA after the agency fined him millions of dollars in and ordered him to return the land to its original state.

Unfortunately, the first lower court upheld the ruling but reduced his fine to \$5,000 and ordered him to serve 3 years probation. (Ibid.)

The Rapanos case was appealed to the US Supreme Court who overturned the lower court ruling stating (a 4-1-4 vote) that isolated waterways such as that on Rapanos's land are not considered "water of the United States" (Ibid.)

The EPA, evidently on the basis of the split decision, started looking at any waterway that connected in any way to a larger water source to be considered navigable waters and thus become controllable by them under the **Clean Water Act**. By declaring that any tributary, (ditch, drain channel) is a "navigable waterway" the **EPA**, using the **Clean Water Act**, can control land that borders the ditches and gullies. (Ibid.)

The EPA's action on this matter has led Rep John Mica, chairman of the House Transportation and Infrastructure Committee to say, "Never in the history of the CWA has federal regulation defined ditches and other upland features as 'waters of the United States'"

"The Obama administration is doing everything in its power **to increase costs and regulatory burdens for American businesses, farmers and individual property owners.** This federal jurisdiction grab has been opposed by Congress for years, and now the administration and its **agencies are ignoring law and rulemaking procedures in order to tighten their regulatory grip over every water body in the country.**" (*Ibid*)

All of this EPA regulatory over reach related to CWA land control is part of the **Climate Change/Control Regime** and relates to multiple statements on maintaining biodiversity and water system etc al. For specific citations one could look at the fourth edition "Draft International Covenant on Environment and Development Articles 22 **"Water,"** 23 **"Ecosystem Services,"** 24 **"Ecosystem Approach,"** 25 **"Biological Diversity,"** and Article 27 **"Prevention of Harm"**. (Draft International Covenant on Environment and Development Fourth edition: updated Text, www.unsced.org/., Environmental Policy and Law Paper No. 31 Rev. 3 9/22/2010) We will discuss this document more later.

As we have said before, the current Obama administration **is implementing the Climate Change rules based Regime through EPA legally binding rulings and no one is stopping him.**

We now need to move on to discuss the Copenhagen UNFCCC Convention of the Parties.

The Copenhagen UNFCCC COP 15

The Copenhagen agreements are a key step to implementing the **"Climate Change regime"**.

It is very important to take note of the fact that the **G20 nations in their "Global Plan for Recovery and Reform 2 April, 2009"** committed themselves to **"build an inclusive, green, and sustainable recovery."** Furthermore they committed to "reaffirm our commitment to address the threat of irreversible climate change, **And reach agreement at the UN Climate Change conference in Copenhagen in December 2009.**" (Global Plan ...paragraph 4, 28 from UN website) The **"agreement" that the G20 nations and the Financial Stability Board reached is known as the "Copenhagen Accord"**.

The **Copenhagen Accord** is a good example of how our country's leadership, (in this case the Obama administration), can make commitments without regard for the people toward the **implementation of a climate control regime.**

Point 4 of the **Accord** commits, **"Delivery of reductions and financing by developed countries will be measured, reported and verified in accordance with existing and any further guidelines adopted by the COP,** and will ensure that accounting of such targets and finance is rigorous, robust and transparent."

Now, **remember that the EPA has started Mandatory reporting** from all major greenhouse contributors in the USA after Obama made this commitment.

Remember that these reports are available for the world to see on the EPA website thus meeting the "transparency" part of the agreement.

Remember all that has been documented on EPA CO2 rulings.

Realize that the new EPA rulings are to meet the USA's commitment to implement and establish the UNFCCC Climate Change Regime.

The United states announced a target to reduce GHG emissions in the range of 17% below 2005 levels by 2020, 40% below 2005 levels by 2030, and 83 % below 2005 levels by 2050 related to CO2e (carbon dioxide equivalent). These targets are supposedly aligned with energy and climate legislation passed by the House of Representatives.

The Copenhagen (COP 15) meeting **did not establish a binding treaty** however commitments are being kept.

The Copenhagen Accord, although short, is **actually agreed upon, and made in reference to, the Ad Hoc Working Group On Long-Term Cooperative Action Under The Convention.** (FCCC/a WGLCA/2009/INF.2 September 15, 2009)

This report is a 181 page document is a compilation of the ongoing COP/MOP agreements and work **begun with the Bali Action Plan.** (see Bali action Plan above)

While this entire **Ad Hoc Working Group document** is the foundation for the **Copenhagen Accord one section of the document appears to be a key to the agreement.** The text of Option 3. found on pages 18-19 is as follows:

36. **The new agreed post-2012 institutional arrangement and legal framework to be established for the implementation, monitoring, reporting, and verification of the global cooperative action for mitigation, adaptation, technology and financing, should be set under the Convention.** It should include a financial mechanism and a facilitative mechanism drawn up to facilitate the design, adoption and carrying out of public policies, and the prevailing instrument to which the market rules and related dynamics should be subordinate, **in order to assure the full, effective and sustained implementation of the Convention.**

37. **The new institutional arrangement will provide** technical and financial support for developing countries in the following areas: (a) preparation, implementation and follow-up through monitoring, reporting and verification of nationally appropriate mitigation actions (NAMAs) by developing countries. These activities could include options to reduce emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (REDD); (b) preparation, implementation and follow-up of national adaptation programmes of action (NAPAs) or national communications in developing countries; (c) technology needs assessments (TNAs) for adaptation and mitigation under the NAMAS and the NAPAs or national communications of developing countries; (d) capacity-building and enabling environments for adaptation and mitigation in developing countries; (e) education, awareness raising and public participation, focused on youth, women and indigenous peoples; (f) design and implementation of adaptation programmes and projects; (g) support for all technological cycle phases: research and development (R&D), diffusion and transfer, including acquisition of technologies for adaptation and mitigation, including the purchase or flexibility of patents

38. **The scheme for the new institutional arrangement under the Convention** will be based on three basic pillars: **government;** facilitative mechanism; financial mechanism, and the **basic organization of which will include the following:**

- (a.) **The government will be ruled by the COP with the support of a new subsidiary body on adaptation, and of an Executive Board responsible for the management of the new funds and the related facilitative processes and bodies.** The current Convention secretariat will operate as such, as appropriate.
- (b) **The Convention's financial mechanism** will include a **multilateral climate change fund including** five windows: (a) an Adaptation window, (b) a Compensation window, to address loss and damage from climate change impacts, including insurance, rehabilitation and compensatory components, (c) a Technology window; (d) a Mitigation window; and (e) a REDD window, to support a multi-phases process for positive forest incentives relating to REDD actions.
- (c) **The Convention's facilitative mechanism** will include: (a) work programmes for adaptation and mitigation; (b) a long-term REDD process; (c) a short-term technology action plan; (d) an expert group on adaptation established by the subsidiary body on adaptation, and expert groups on mitigation, technologies and on monitoring, reporting and verification; and (e) an international registry for the monitoring, reporting and verification of compliance of emission reduction commitments, and the transfer of technical and **financial resources from developed countries to developing countries.** The secretariat will provide technical and administrative support, including a new centre for information exchange.

The larger body of this document expands on the particulars of the above quotes.

(Ibid.)

The **Copenhagen Accord created four new UN bodies.** These bodies are: the **REDD-plus Mechanism** "reduce emissions from deforestation and forest degradation" (point 6.), "USD 30 billion dollars by 2012" "USD 100 billion dollars by 2020" **Copenhagen Green Climate Fund** as an " **operating entity of the financial mechanism** of the Convention"(point 8, 10), **High Level Panel to find sources of revenue**(point 9), and a **Technology Mechanism** to "accelerate technology development and transfer in support of action on adaptation and mitigation." (point 11).

These new **UN bodies** are found in Option 3 pages 18 -19 of the UN Ad Hoc Committee Draft document just cited. When this "**Option 3**" is read carefully and compared to the **Copenhagen Accord, agreed on by the parties**, a shocking reality is revealed.

First, Option 3. 36 states, "the new agreed post-2012 institutional arrangement and **legal framework to be established for the implementation, monitoring, reporting and verification** of the global cooperative action for mitigation, adaptation, technology and financing, should be **set under the Convention.**"

That agrees with the **Accord's point 4 "Delivery of reductions and financing by developed countries** will be **measured, reported and verified in accordance with existing and any further guidelines adopted by the COP**, and will ensure that accounting of such targets and finance is rigorous, robust and transparent." (Copenhagen Accord 4.)

Copenhagen Green Climate Fund as an " **operating entity of the financial mechanism of the Convention**"(point 8, 10).

Option 3. 38 (b) says "**The Convention's financial mechanism** will include a **multilateral climate change fund including** five windows." (Ad Hoc Doc)

OK then, The **Accord** points 8 and 10 are clearly taken directly from this Option.

The climate change fund is in fact The Copenhagen Green Climate Change Fund

While it was the desire of many, **it would be foolish to think that a government ruled by the COP was finalized in Copenhagen**, obviously such was not the case.

Some, maybe most, would argue that no government entity was even begun.

No legally binding instrument has been filed or ratified for Copenhagen just like no legally binding Kyoto Protocol was ratified by our congress.

The news is that the US congress doesn't have to ratify any thing for a **UN Climate Control Government** to be established.

The **new government has not been announced openly yet because details are being worked** out through the on going work of the Ad Hoc Committee, and others working on adaptation and implementation.

Like any government, the new **Climate Control Regime** has to have its own source of revenue, something like a world wide carbon or GHG emissions tax, or something creative for Ocean use, or maybe aviation.

What did the next COP discuss?

Cancun UNFCCC COP 16

"Discussions the first week of the two-week UNFCCC COP 16 **centered around a global taxing scheme and continuation of greenhouse gas commitments beyond the Kyoto Protocol's expiration in 2012.**" (Cathie Adams, *Freedom Advocates* 7/5/2010

"U.N. Framework Convention on Climate Change Conference of the Parties 16", p. 1)

"The U.N. seized the opportunity in Cancun to call for a global tax." (*Ibid.*)

"The U.N.'s taxing scheme would be unlimited in scope and unlinked from national treasuries. Last month the U.N. Secretary General's High Level Group confirmed taxes on international shipping and aviation could raise at least \$100 billion. **The International Maritime Organization would be the tax assessor-collector charging for emission permits and/or fuel taxes.**" (*Ibid.*)

I thought that only governments had the authority to tax their citizens but one of the decisions adopted in Cancun by the CMP reads "**Methodology for collection of international transaction log fees in the biennium 2012-2013**" (Decision 9/CMP.6, FCCC/KP/CMP/2010/Add.1 p.20)

Who gave the UN ownership of the air and sea?

One of the opening statements to **the Cancun Agreements**, "Seeking to secure progress in a balanced manner", reminds every one that nothing agreed upon there would "prejudge prospects for, or the content of, **a legally binding outcome in the future.**" (*Framework Convention on Climate Change*, FCC/CP/2010/7/Add.1 p. 2)

The actions taken by the COP 16 basically refine and reemphasize the Copenhagen larger document that the Copenhagen Accord is based on.

There was a decision made to **extend the work of The Ad Hoc Working Group** on Long Term cooperative action under the Convention for another year "**to continue its work with a view to carrying out the undertakings contained in this decision...**" (*Ibid.* p. 25)

They were also requested to "continue its work **drawing on the documents under its consideration**" and "continue **discussing legal options** with the aim of **completing an agreed outcome** 1/CP. 13." (*Ibid.*). (This refers to extending the legally binding Kyoto Protocols) or another legally bind Protocol.

Legally binding is the key

Legally binding is the key foundation to the Climate Control Government's ability to enforce global compliance to its taxation schemes.

When Ban Ki-moon, the UN Secretary-General opened the Cancun COP 16 Convention December 7, 2010 one of his statements was, "**We need to fundamentally transform the global economy -- based on low-carbon, clean energy resources.**" (Press release: UNFCCC, Ban Ki-moon in Remarks at Press Briefing in Cancun)

Mmm, where have we heard the phrase "**fundamentally transform**" before?

One writer and Global Warming skeptic, Lord Christopher Monkton, has this to say: "**In all but name, the UN Convention's Secretariat will become a world government directly controlling hundreds of global, supranational, regional, national and sub-national bureaucracies.** It will receive the vast sum of taxpayers' money ostensibly paid by the West to the Third World for adaptation to the supposed adverse consequences of imagined (and imaginary) "global warming"." (Lord Christopher Monkton, "Abdication of the West at COP16 Cancun, Mexico," CFACT/ CC By 3.0 12/09/2010) (emphasis mine)

Record Setting Contributions to the United Nations and UNFCCC

Any time funding is discussed **it is appropriate to ask how much the United States has contributed to UN and the UNFCCC, and thus, the plan to implement a Climate Change Regime.**

The U. S. has been the largest financial supporter of the UN since the organization's founding in 1945. As we have already observed in this document the US is currently assessed 22 percent of the UN regular budget. The US also pays more that 27 percent of the UN peace keeping budget (Brett Schaefer, U.S. Funding of the United Nations Reaches All-Time High, Heritage Foundation No. 2981, 8-13-2010) "However, the U. S. **also provides assessed financial contributions** to other U. N. organizations and voluntary contributions to many more U. N. organizations." (*Ibid*) According to the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB), **total U. S. contributions to the U.N. system for 2009 were more than \$6.347 billion in the Fiscal Year 2009. (Ibid.)** "[This report](#) listed total U.S. contributions to the U.N., dispensed not only via the State Department, but via 18 other U.S. departments and agencies, ranging from the Department of Agriculture, to NASA, to the Peace Corps, Postal Service and Treasury. The grand total came to a hefty \$6.3 billion. Or, to be more precise, \$6,347,415,000." (Claudia Rosett, "Magic With U.S. Money for the United Nations", *Forbes* 4/08/2011)

The 2009 figure is compared to Democrat controlled Congress 2008 contributions of \$6.09 billion in FY 2008 and set an **"all time high" record for annual contributions to support the Regime. (Schaeffer All -time High.)**

The **United States was, and still is, in the most serious “Recession” since the “Great Depression”** and the Obama administration saw fit to **set new records for contributions to the UN and the UNFCCC Climate Change Regime**. However, they were just getting started.

“According to OMB, total U. S. contributions to the U.N. system reached record levels for the third year in a row in FY 2010. U.S. contributions to the U.N. exceeded \$7.691 billion in 2010. (Brett Schaefer, "Congress Should Renew the Report Requirement on U.S. Contributions to the U.N. and Reverse Record Setting Contributions to the U.N."; Heritage Foundation, No. 3324, 7-22-2011)

"The Congressional Research Service estimates that since 2008 the federal government has spent nearly \$70 billion on "climate Change activities." ("Federal Government spent nearly \$70 billion on climate change Activities since 2008" *The Daily Caller*, 5/17/2012)

Oklahoma Senator James Inhofe, who is a known Climate change critic, presented the new CRS report on the Senate floor to make the point that the Obama administration has been focused on "green defense projects to the detriment of the military" and our national defense. (Ibid.)

Inhofe pointed to the **drastic cuts in personnel**, brigade combat teams, tactical fighters, and airlift aircraft that the DOD has experienced in the last four years, combined with the cancellation or postponement of specialized ship and aircraft construction **because of funding losses**. (Ibid.)

Inhoffe asked some very pertinent questions.

"Which would you rather have? Would you rather spend \$4billion on Air Force Base Solar panels, or would you rather have 28 new F-22s or 30 F-25s or modernized C-130s?" Would you rather have **\$64.8 billion** spent on pointless global warming efforts or would you rather have more funds put towards modernizing our fleet of ships, aircraft and ground vehicles to improve the safety of our troops and help defend our nation against the legitimate threats we face?" (Ibid.)

Inhofe concluded, "President Obama can write press releases for his lackeys but Secretary Panetta has an important job to do and doesn't have time to be pandering to President Obama's **global warming fantasies or his ongoing war won affordable energy**. He has a real war to win." (Ibid.)

The increase in US **giving will certainly help the UN Climate Change Regime** implement all of their additional new bureaucracies .

New Bodies, Institutions, and Committees established by UNFCCC COP 16

In addition to multiple new bureaucracies established in every one of the 193 states parties to the Convention, at the 2009 COP 16 meeting in Cancun, Mexico, many other committees and bodies were established.

Climate change expert Lord Christopher Monkton says, "there will be an **Adaptation Framework Body**, a **Least Developed Countries' Adaptation Planning Body**, an **Adaptation Committee**, Regional Network Centers, an **International Center to Enhance Adaptation Research**, National Adaptation Institutions, a **Body to Clarify Assumptions and Conditions in National Greenhouse-Gas Emission Reductions**

Pledges, a Negotiating Body for an Overall Level of Ambition for Aggregate Emission Reductions and Individual Targets, an Office to Revise Guidelines for National Communications, a Multilateral Communications Process Office, a Body for the Process to Develop Modalities and Guidelines for the Compliance Process, a Registry of Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions by Developed Countries, a Body to Supervise the Process for Understanding Diversity of Mitigation Actions Submitted and Support Needed, a Body to Develop Modalities for the Registry of Nationally Appropriate Mitigation Actions, an Office of International Consultation and Analysis; an Office to Conduct a Work Program for Development of Various Modalities and Guidelines; a network of Developing Countries' National Forest Strategy Action Plan Offices; a network of National Forest Reference Emission Level And/Or Forest Reference Level Bodies; a network of National Forest Monitoring Systems; an Office of the Work Program on Agriculture to Enhance the Implementation of Article 4, Paragraph 1(c) of the Convention Taking Into Account Paragraph 31; one or more Mechanisms to Establish a Market-Based Approach to Enhance the Cost-Effectiveness Of And To Promote Mitigation Actions; a Forum on the Impact of the Implementation of Response Measures; a Work Program Office to Address the Impact of the Implementation of Response Measures; a Body to Review the Needs of Developing Countries for Financial Resources to Address Climate Change and Identify Options for Mobilization of Those Resources; a **Fund in Addition to the Copenhagen Green Fund**; an Interim Secretariat for the Design Phase of the New Fund; a New Body to Assist the Conference of the Parties in Exercising its Functions with respect to the Financial Mechanism; a Body to Launch a Process to Further Define the Roles and Functions of the New Body to Assist the Conference of the Parties in Exercising its Functions with respect to the Financial Mechanism; a Technology Executive Committee; a **Climate Technology Center and Network**; a Network of National, Regional, Sectoral and International Technology Centers, Networks, Organization and Initiatives; Twinning Centers for Promotion of North-South, South-South and Triangular Partnerships with a View to Encouraging Co-operative Research and Development; an Expert Workshop on the Operational Modalities of the Technology Mechanism; an **International Insurance Facility**; a Work Program Body for Policy Approaches and Positive Incentives on Issues Relating to Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation in Developing Countries; a Body to Implement a Work Program on the Impact of the Implementation of Response Measures; and a Body to Develop Modalities for the Operationalization of the Work Program on the Impact of the Implementation of Response Measures. (Monkton, Abdication)

A great deal more could be said that needs to be said about the decisions made in Cancun at the UNFCCC COP 16 but for now consider this summation. "**The bottom line is that the UNFCCC COP 16 has nothing to do with the environment, but everything to do with global taxation.** U.N. created Marxist class warfare has succeeded in pitting rich nations against poor nations, now it must convince them both that a global tax is the only solution. (Adams, Op. cite. P.2)

With a global tax system, a multitude of new bureaucratic bodies, and new emission and financial commitments made in Cancun the stage was set for the next COP in Durban, South Africa.

Prior to the COP 17 in Durban the incoming president of the UNFCCC, Nkoana-Mashabane made the following statements about what she expected or wanted to take place in Durban.

First, she points out, "Leadership in action is manifested when leaders are willing to reach beyond national interests in finding a global solution for the common good of all." (www.cop17-cmp7durban.com/en/news-centre/speeches/mef-12-meeting-ministers-intervention.html)

She repeats the common lie that they are there "to deal with one of the **most pressing global problems** of our time that **threatens the very survival** of those who have placed us in positions of leadership and who trust and depend on us to help them."

Then she makes a statement that is echoed word for word later by Jacob Zuma. "By now all of us **understand that Durban is a decisive moment for the future of the multilateral rules-based regime which has evolved** over many years under the Convention and its Kyoto Protocol." (ibid.)

She emphasizes the necessity being "ready to commit **to a legally binding regime in the near future.**" (Ibid.)

She declares, "that the multilateral rules-based system must prevail for the world to effectively address the global problem of climate change and reassurances that our response to climate change cannot depend on the domestic measures alone, as there will then be no assurances that all Parties will do what needs to be done. Reassurance are required that all Parties will work in a manner that will not jeopardize the gains made over the past decades; **that adequate and sustainable long term funding will be delivered, that implementation of all agreements will continue** without an implementation gap occurring and finally the reassurance that there is a shared vision that all Parties need to do more and do so urgently." (Ibid.)

She further emphasizes, " **Such multilateral rules will be a continuation of efforts to strengthen and enhance the Convention to ensure its full, effective and sustained implementation in a comprehensive manner that addresses the climate change imperatives in the long term.** The level of ambition should correspond to what science demands." (Ibid.)

Mashabane's formula for **achieving** the UNFCCC Climate Change Regime goals is coming to an agreement "that reflect the **objectives, process and timeframes** for this task at hand that will provide **the necessary for re-assurances and full participation of all Parties**" (Ibid.) "If this agreement can be solidified, it would be possible for **Durban to create a platform from where the multilateral climate change regime can grow and be strengthened in order for it to make a real impact where it is needed most, namely at the very basic existence of our most vulnerable communities.**" (Ibid.)

With this kind of commitment from the presiding president of the UNFCCC it is not surprising that the outcome was compatible with expectations.

Durban UNFCCC COP 17 Implementing the Climate Change Regime

To Open the Durban UNFCCC out going President Jacob Zuma gave an opening speech in which he stated that all parties, "are agreed on the facts and impacts of climate change" and "that this global challenge requires a global solution." He then went on to state, "We need to **show the world that Parties** are ready to address the problems in a practical manner, and that they are willing to forgo the national interest at times, for the interest of humanity, no matter how difficult this may be.

As we begin the high level segment, we need to rebuild trust and to reassure one another of honest intent and **commitment to find solutions for the problems caused by climate change.**

"By now all of us understand that Durban is a decisive moment for the future of the multilateral rules - based regime, which has evolved over many years under the Convention and its Kyoto Protocol." ("Address by President Jacob Zuma at the Official Opening of United Nations Climate Change Conference COP17/CMP7 High-Level Segment" Durban 12/6/2011, http://unfccc.int/files/meetings/durban_nov_2011/statements/application/pdf/111206_cop17_hls_jacob_zuma.pdf)

The headlines of news agencies all over the world declared in multiple ways that **a climate deal had been made in Durban, South Africa December 11, 2011.** One source says, **"A new global climate deal has been struck** after being brought back from the brink of disaster by three powerful women politicians in a 20- minute "huddle to save the planet." (John Vidal and Fiona Harvey, "Durban climate deal struck after tense all-night session", *The Guardian*, 12/11/2011)

Another reporter writes, **"Talks on a new legal deal covering all countries will begin next ear and end by 2015** coming into effect by 2020." (Richard Black, "Climate talks end with late deal", *BBC News*, 12/11/2011) "There was applause in the main conference hall when Sough Africa's International Relations Minister, Maite Nkoana-Mashabane, brought down the long-awaited final gavel. **"We came here with plan A, and we have concluded this meeting with plan A to save one planet** for the future of our children and our children to come, ' She said. We have made history.'" (*Ibid.*)

Michael Jacobs, a visiting professor at the Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and Environment, said, **"By forcing countries for the first time to admit that their current policies are inadequate and must be strengthened by 2015, it has snatched 2C from the jaws of impossibility."** He also said, "At the same time it has re-established the principle that climate change should be tackled through international law, not national, voluntarism."

Greenpeace International director Kumi Naidoo said: " The chance of averting a catastrophic climate change is slipping through our hands with every passing year that nations fail to agree on a rescue plan for the planet."(*Guardian*)

Michael Jacobs , of the Grantham Climate Research Institute, said, **"This will force governments to admit their current pledges to cut emissions are not enough** to achieve 2C rise and will have to be strengthened." (*Guardian*)

One writer sums things up this way by with, "The 194-party conference agreed to start negotiations on a **new accord that would put all countries under the same legal**

regime enforcing commitments to control greenhouse gases. "It would (be agreed upon by 2015) and take effect by 2020 at the latest." (Arthur Max, Canada Business, 12/11/2011) He writes, "The deal also **set up the bodies that will collect, govern and distribute tens of billions of dollars a year for poor countries.** Other documents in the package lay out **rules for monitoring and verifying emissions reductions,** protecting forests, transferring clean technologies to developing countries and scores of technical issues." (*Ibid.*)

Take careful note of this quote. "A new accord that would put all countries under the same legal regime." (*Ibid.*) We will discuss this in more detail later.

While the U. S. was supposedly a reluctant supporter, our climate envoy Todd Stern said, "This is a very significant package. None of us likes everything in it. ...But the package captured important advances that would be undone if it is rejected." (*Ibid.*)

Todd Stern, now the State Department's **special envoy for climate change**" is also Obama's Climate Change Czar. (Adam Brickley, CNSNews.com "Global 'Ecological Board of Directors' Envisioned by State Department's Climate Czar", 9/7/2009)

Everyone agrees that the **biggest obstacle in the COP17 decisions focused on the nature of the agreement that will "govern carbon emissions by the next decade."** (op. cit. Canada Business.)

The UNFCCC COP adopted Agenda item 15 in the November 28 to December 9 2011 meeting in Durban South Africa for the, "**Establishment of an Ad Hoc Working Group on the Durban Platform for Enhanced Action**".

The Draft decision/CP.17 starts by "**Recognizing that climate change represents an urgent and potentially irreversible threat to human societies and the planet and thus requires to be urgently addressed by all Parties...**" (FCCC/CP/2011/L. 10, 10 December 2011)

We know that climate change does not represent an urgent and potentially irreversible threat and is a lie, on the basis of real science, but they continue to tell the big lie, that it is true, in order to implement the Climate Change Regime.

They continue, "Recognizing that fulfilling the ultimate objective of the Convention will require strengthening the multilateral, rules-based regime under the Convention." (*Ibid.*) (emphasis mine)

The Durban Platform for Enhanced Action: The Durban Deal

The Durban deal reads as follows.

1. Decides to extend the Ad Hoc Working Group on Long -Term Cooperative Action under the Convention for one year.
2. Also decides to **launch a process to develop a protocol, another legal instrument** or an agreed outcome **with legal force under the Convention applicable to all Parties, through a subsidiary body** under the Convention hereby established and to

be known as **the Ad Hoc Working Group on the Durban Platform for Enhanced Action**;

3. Further decides that the Ad Hoc Working Group on the Durban Platform for Enhanced Action; shall **start its work as a matter of urgency in the first half of 2012** and shall report to future sessions of the Conference of the Parties on the progress of its work;
4. Decides that the Ad Hoc Working Group on the Durban Platform for Enhanced Action; **shall complete its work as early as possible but no later than 2015** in order to **adopt this protocol, legal instrument or agreed outcome with legal force** at the twenty-first session of the Conference of the Parties and for it to **come into effect and be implemented from 2020**.
5. Also decides that the Ad Hoc Working Group on the Durban Platform for Enhanced Action **shall plan its work in the first half of 2012**, including, inter alia, on mitigation, adaptation, finance, technology development and transfer, transparency of action, and support and capacity-building, drawing upon submissions from Parties and relevant technical, social and economic information and expertise;
6. Further decides that **the process shall raise the level of ambition and shall be informed, inter alia (among other things), by the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, the outcomes of the 2012-2015 review and work of the subsidiary bodies**;
7. Decides to launch a work plan on **enhancing mitigation ambition** to identify and to **explore options for a range of actions that can close the ambition gap** with a view **to ensuring the highest possible mitigation efforts by all Parties**;
8. Requests Parties and observer organizations to submit by 28 February 2012 their views **on options and ways for further increasing the level of ambition** and decides to hold an in-session workshop at the first negotiating session in 2012 to consider options **and ways for increasing ambition and possible further actions**. (Draft decision - /CP.17 "Proposal by the President")

Some observations from the adopted "Proposal by the President" in Durban. From the news releases this was the last decision adopted because of the question about a **legally binding agreement**. Notice that **what is considered essential to fulfill the "Ultimate Objective of the Convention" is a "rules-based regime under the Convention."** This is the **"government"** controlled by the COP that was one of the options proposed in the AWG-LCA working document at Durban.

One must understand that **"rules-based"** will be enforced **as the "rule of law" by an International court that considers it a criminal act to have unpermitted greenhouse emissions**.

There is already an (ICC) International Criminal Court. **The current ICC is not dealing with GHG emission violations as criminal acts because it is not yet**

international law. There is currently a new treaty dealing with the International Criminal Court.

Why is there a new treaty on what already exists?

An International Climate Court of Justice

"**An International Climate Court of Justice**" was proposed by the Ad Hoc Working Group on Long-Term Cooperative Action under the Convention in document FCCC/AWGLCA/2011/CRP.38. p. 16. It was supposed to have "**Rules** that draw on experience with existing relevant bodies..." (as cited)

The request, no doubt still in the works, is that the COP by its 18 session "develop" an "**International Climate Control Court of Justice in order to guarantee the compliance of Annex I Parties with all provisions of this decision, which are essential in obtaining of the global goal.**" (Ibid.)

The International Climate Control Court would also be able to "ensure respect for the intrinsic laws of nature" (Ibid. p.15) The ICCC could also defend "**the rights of Mother earth to ensure harmony between humanity and nature**, and that their will be no comodification of the functions of nature, therefore no carbon market will be developed with that purpose." (Ibid.)

Consider the adopted phrase "**regime under the Convention.**" I have seen the UNFCCC referred to as the **Climate Control Regime** in various documents. Think about what a **regime is**. When we talk about the **regime** of various leaders, whether in Libya, Syria, Iran, aren't we talking about their government? Go to the UN website and look at it's organizations, then consider the legal ramifications if they can start prosecuting nations and big corporations for failure to meet GHG goals.

When reading through the UNFCCC speeches and resolutions we take note that we hear over and over a recurring theme of putting "**global interest and solutions to man made climate above national interests,**" which would undermine the sovereignty of the nation.

We hear that the solution must be a "rules based regime" that is enforced or implemented "fully effectively and sustainably" in other words a government. Say Climate Change Regime

We read that developed nations must foot the bill for undeveloped nations by numerous levees, assessments, and taxes, which is redistribution of wealth.

We read that developed nations must be responsible for technology transfer and implementation in undeveloped nations, which is redistribution of technology and funding it.

We read of the necessity of a Universal Health plan that includes birth control, family planning, reproductive rights, prenatal care, and on going medical services.

We read of the demands for a low carbon, low or no fossil fuel, green global economy. This includes reductions of CO2 and GHG gas out put by 80% compared to 1990 levels by 2020. The goal is to reach 100% GHG out put by 2050.

We read of plans to "**increase ambition**" of countries who, like the USA, are not supposedly paying their full fair share and meeting their GHG reduction goals.

We read discussions of various **new ways to "increase ambition"**, in other words, "force compliance" on those not meeting the UNFCCC, fraud IPCC goals.

What if this entire agenda for "saving mother earth" or "saving humanity" from cataclysmic "tipping point" global warming is based on Fraud?

What if IPCC scientists, and I use that term loosely, had manipulated their readings and the models that predicted cataclysmic global warming and someone found out about it?

False Presupposition

The entire premise of the UNFCCC is built on the presupposition that **"climate change represents an urgent and potentially irreversible threat to human societies and the planet and thus requires to be urgently addressed by all Parties"** (Draft Decision/ CP17)

It is assumed, and continually proclaimed that **the global warming**, that requires such urgent attention, **is all man caused (anthropogenic)**.

It has been **widely assumed, preached and taught that there is irrefutable scientific proof that global warming is not only taking place but that, the industrialized nations are the primary cause of it.**

These very actions incited Brian Sussman to write *Climategate* and *Eco-Tyranny: How the Left's Green Agenda will Dismantle America*. In *Eco-Tyranny* he writes, "Environmental Activists are dogmatic, ideological radicals hell-bent on transforming society into a colossal, highly regulated, redistributive commune void of inalienable rights. **Their lack of integrity enables them to look you straight in the eye and lie about the facts, while they spin out tailor-made, cherry-picked research supposedly proving their many fictitious claims regarding the state of the global ecosystem.** The primary goal of their green agenda is not a pristine environment -**it's about gaining absolute control over your life.**" (Brian Sussman, *Eco-Tyranny*, WND Books, distrib. by Midpoint Trade Books NY, NY ., 2012, p. 17)

After reviewing the above book this author heartily recommends it to any one wishing to gain more understanding of the issue at hand.

Lies and Deception

What difference would it make if the, so called scientists of the UNFCCC, the IPCC, were actually lying to the world?

Would any one care if the members of the IPCC **not only knew that the real scientific data revealed the earth is actually in a cooling cycle, but they even emailed each other about how to cover it up?**

The news is, **"Another new release of incriminating e-mail exchanges between leading climate scientists that is now being termed "Climategate II" actually represents but another episode in a continuing scandal that has been taking place for decades. This fraud of massive scope and consequence has served as the basis for arguably the greatest regulatory overreach of all time.**" (Larry Bell, *Climategate II: "More smoking Guns From the Global Warming Establishment"*, Forbes Right Now, 11/29/2011) As if the first round of e-mails purloined from the U.K.'s East Anglia

University Climate Research Unit (CRU) network weren't damning enough, the new batch of about 5,000 more obtained through an anonymous source identified as "FOIA" are truly stunning. **Many clearly confirm that top IPCC scientists consciously misrepresented and actively withheld important information... then attempted to prevent discovery.** Included are the CRU's Director of Research Phil Jones, the U. S. National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) climate's analysis section head, Kevin Trenberth, and beleaguered Penn State University "hockey stick" originator, Michael Mann. **"If there were any doubts remaining after reading the first Climate Gate e-mails, the new batch ...make it clear that the UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change is an organized conspiracy dedicated to tricking the world into believing that the global warming is a crisis that requires a drastic response,"** said Myron Ebell, Director of the Competitive Enterprise Institute's center on Energy and Environment. **"Several of the new e-mails show that the scientists involved in doctoring the IPCC reports are very aware that the energy-rationing policies that their junk science is meant to support would cost trillions of dollars."** (Ibid)

So the IPCC scientists purposefully distorted the truth and even made an effort to cover it up. Has there been a scientist who was part of the IPCC that came out against their fraud science?

The answer to that question is yes.

Real Scientists

Award winning Japanese scientist, specializing in environmental physical chemistry, PnD Kiminori Itoh said, **"Warming fears are the "worst scientific scandal in history... When the people come to know what the truth is, they will feel deceived by science and scientists."** Dr. Itoh was a member of the -UN IPCC Japanese Scientists ("UN Blowback: More Than 650 International Scientists Dissent Over Man-Made global Warming Claims" posted by Marc Morano EPW.Senate.GOV)

Meteorologist Hajo Smit of Holland, who **reversed his belief in man-made warming to become a skeptic**, said, **"Gore prompted me to start delving into the science again and I quickly found myself solidly in the skeptic camp ...Climate models can at best be useful for explaining climate changes after the fact."** Smit is also a **former member of the Dutch UN IPCC committee.-** (Ibid.)

Environmental Scientist Professor, Delgado Domingos of Portugal declared **"Creating an ideology pegged to carbon dioxide is a dangerous nonsense... The Present alarm on climate change is an instrument of social control, a pretext for major businesses and political battle. It became an ideology, which is concerning."** , Dr. Domingos is the founder of the Numerical Weather Forecast group. (Ibid.)

Observers can see that the earth is warming from an ice age when glaciers covered most of North America. It is scientifically verifiable that, "The **last glacial period** was the most recent [glacial period](#) within the [current ice age](#) occurring during the last years of the [Pleistocene](#), from approximately 110,000 to 10,000 years ago. (wikipedia.org/wiki/last_glacial_period)

It is virtually undebatable that, "The glaciations that occurred during this glacial period covered many areas, mainly on the [Northern Hemisphere](#) and to a lesser extent on the [Southern Hemisphere](#)."(Ibid.)

The most recent current readings however, have indicated a cooling cycle leading Geologist, **Dr David Gee**, chairman of the science committee of the 2008 International Geological Congress to say, "**For how many years must the planet cool before we begin to understand that the planet is not warming?** For how many years must cooling go on? ". (Ibid.)

Why would more than one or a few scientists say emphatically that the earth is not warming?

ERBE Satellite Data

Scientists have been receiving data from the ERBE satellite now for over 20 years revealing just the opposite readings as the IPCC models propose.

Climatologist Ld. Christopher Monkton produced a graph from this data and presented it on the Fox News Glenn Beck show with graphed projections from the IPCC. According to the actual graphed readings of the ERBE satellite which Monkton presented, "**the direction of the graph in the real world is completely different" directly contradicting the IPCC official position that the earth is warming.** "So what we've got is a measured result that shows that all the guesses, all the group think, all the consensus, so called, which you can see in those models, because they all have a consensus among themselves, **but that consensus is now proven by direct and meticulous measurements to be wrong.** And why is this particular paper this particular slide so important? What is it showing is that that outgoing radiation is not being trapped down here, as Al Gore and the others say it is. It is getting out to space very much as it always did. And therefore, instead of getting 7 (degree) F of warming this century with a doubling of CO2 just 1 (degree) F small, harmless, and generally beneficial and that paper history will relate is the end of the scare.: (Lord Monkton, Fox News Glenn Beck Show "Dire Warning About Proposed International Agreement on Climate Change")

CERN Experiment

There has been an **experiment conducted by CERN-** the European Organization For Nuclear Research, in a stainless steel chamber **that precisely re-creates the Earth's atmosphere.** The land mark **CLOUD experiment as it is called has demonstrated that cosmic rays promote the formation of molecules that in the Earth's atmosphere can grow and seed clouds.** Lawrence Solomon explains:

"The science is now all-but-settled on global warming, convincing new evidence demonstrates, but Al Gore, the IPCC and other global warming doomsayers won't be celebrating. The new findings point to cosmic rays and the sun — not human activities — as the dominant controller of climate on Earth." (James Delingpole, "Sun Causes Climate Change Shock" jamesdelingpole.com. 8/27/2011)

In this chamber, 63 CERN scientists from 17 European and American institutes **have done what global warming doomsayers said could never be done — demonstrate that cosmic rays promote the formation of molecules that in Earth's atmosphere can grow and seed clouds, the cloudier and thus cooler it will be.**

Because the sun's magnetic field controls how many cosmic rays reach Earth's atmosphere (the stronger the sun's magnetic field, the more it shields Earth from incoming cosmic rays from space), the sun determines the temperature on Earth. Most people haven't heard the results of this experiment because the Director General of CERN Rolf-Dieter Heuer has suppress, at worst, or watered down at best, the results.

Nigel Calder who has followed the CLOUD experiment for some time says, "CERN has joined a long line of lesser institutions obliged to remain politically correct about the man-made global warming hypothesis. It's OK to enter "the highly political arena of the climate change debate" provided your results endorse man-made warming, but not if they support Svensmark's heresy that **the Sun alters the climate by influencing the cosmic ray influx and cloud formation.**"

Growing Scientific opposition to cataclysmic global warming and climate change

While school children are taught that manmade global warming is a fact, thousands of scientists have been signing their opposition to it. Bob Unruh of World Net Daily reported that **31,000 U.S. scientists - 9,000 with doctorate degrees in atmospheric science, climatology, Earth science, environment and other specialties - have signed a petition rejecting global warming.**

The list of scientists includes 9,021 Ph.D.s, 6,961 at the master's level, 2,240 medical doctors and 12,850 carrying a bachelor of science or equivalent academic degree.

According to the petition, **"There is no convincing scientific evidence that human release of carbon dioxide, methane, or other greenhouse gases is causing, or will in the foreseeable future, cause catastrophic heating of the Earth's atmosphere and disruption of the Earth's climate."**

"Moreover, there is substantial scientific evidence that increases in atmospheric carbon dioxide produce many beneficial effects upon the natural plant and animal environments of the Earth."

The Petition Project www.petitionproject.org has been underway for 10 years. It's a gradual movement but it was spurred on by the release of Gore's "documentary" *An Inconvenient Truth*.

Gore's movie claims there is a "consensus" and "settled science" about human-caused global warming. **It was particularly unsettling because teachers all across the country showed the movie to students to indoctrinate them in global warming.** (Charles Biggs, "Thousands of scientists sign petition against global warming" *Tulsa Beacon*.3/3/2012)

Unfortunately, **Mr. Gore's movie contains many very serious incorrect claims which no informed, honest scientist could endorse,**" said project spokesman and

founder Art Robinson. Robinson said Gore's folly has gone so far that it is damaging people's lives. (*Ibid.*)

"The campaign to severely ration hydrocarbon energy technology has now been markedly expanded," he said. **"In the course of this campaign, many scientifically invalid claims about impending climate emergencies are being made.** Simultaneously, proposed political actions to severely reduce hydrocarbon use now threaten the prosperity of Americans and the very existence of hundreds of millions of people in poorer countries," Robinson said. (*Ibid.*)

The Wall Street Journal released an article on January 27, 2012 entitled "No Need to Panic About Global Warming" that was very informative. The article's first and last paragraphs are to candidates running for public office concerning, "what, if anything, to do about 'global warming'." Sixteen scientists, who signed the statement agreed, "Candidates should understand that the **oft-repeated claim that nearly all scientists demand that something dramatic be done to stop global warming is not true.** In fact, a large and growing number of distinguished scientists and engineers do not agree that drastic actions on global warming are needed." (*The Wall Street Journal*, Opinion January, 2012, No Need To Panic)

The Wall Street article cites the September resignation from the American Physical Society by Nobel Prize-winning physicist Ivar Giaever. **Giaever, in, his resignation letter to the APC** cited their policy statement as the reason for his resignation. He wrote, **"I did not renew [my membership] because I cannot live with the [APS Policy] statement: 'The evidence is incontrovertible: Global warming is occurring.** If no mitigating actions are taken, significant disruptions in the Earth's physical and ecological systems, social systems, security and human health are likely to occur. We must reduce emissions of greenhouse gases now.' In the APS it is OK to discuss whether the mass of the proton changes over time and how a multi-universe behaves, but the evidence of global warming is incontrovertible"?(*Ibid.*)

Giaever supported President Obama in the last election so his reasons for objecting to the APS policy statement are not political.

When the Science Is not in your favor lie

In Hitler's *Mein Kampf*, chapter 10 he says, " All this was inspired by the principle--which is quite true within itself--that in the big lie there is always a certain force of credibility; because the broad masses of a nation are always more easily corrupted in the deeper strata of their emotional nature than consciously or voluntarily; and thus in the primitive simplicity of their minds they more readily fall victims to the big lie than the small lie, since they themselves often tell small lies in little matters but would be ashamed to resort to large-scale falsehoods. It would never come into their heads to fabricate colossal untruths, and they would not believe that others could have the impudence to distort the truth so infamously." (James Murphy's translation http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Big_Lie)

The **Climate Change Regime** has learned Hitler's lesson well and uses it effectively.

"The UN IPCC chairman Dr Rajendra Pachauri and former Prime Minister (of Australia) Kevin Rudd repeatedly stated that 4,000 scientists **claim global warming was caused by human production of carbon dioxide, CO₂. Yet IPCC figures themselves reveal only five (5) UN IPCC reviewers endorsed the claim** - and there's doubt they were even scientists. That's a blatant falsity from the top of the UN's climate body spread by the very top of the Australian government." (www.galileomovement.com.au/scientific_untruths.php#A)

Undeniable Truth

"5,587 references not peer-reviewed. The UN IPCC chairman Dr Rajendra Pachauri repeatedly publicly claims that UN IPCC reports rely on 100% peer-reviewed science yet the 2007 report cited and relied on **5,587 references not peer-reviewed, including hikers' anecdotes, newspaper stories and political activists' campaign material. That's another blatant falsity from the top of the UN's climate body."** (*Ibid.*)

"All three (3) temperature databases used by the UN IPCC are ground-based and proven to be corrupted, misleading and inaccurate. Despite their core forecast of higher atmospheric temperatures, prominent UN IPCC officials **refuse to use reliable atmospheric data measurements that show no net warming and no ongoing warming.**

The UN's climate body deliberately omitted 90,000 reliable measurements of atmospheric CO₂ levels taken in the last 180 years. These show recent past atmospheric CO₂ levels up to 40% above current levels." (*Ibid.*)

As far back as 2009, professor Richard Lindzen of MIT's peer reviewed work stated "we now know that the effect of CO₂ on temperature is small, we know why it is small, and we know that it is having very little effect on the climate."

The global surface temperature record, which we update and publish every month, has shown no statistically-significant "global warming" for almost 15 years. Statistically-significant global cooling has now persisted for very nearly eight years. Even a strong el Nino – expected in the coming months – will be unlikely to reverse the cooling trend.

More significantly, the ARGO bathythermographs deployed throughout the world's oceans since 2003 show that the top 400 fathoms of the oceans, where it is agreed between all parties that at least 80% of all heat caused by manmade "global warming" must accumulate, have been cooling over the past six years. That now prolonged ocean cooling is fatal to the "official" theory that "global

warming” will happen on anything other than a minute scale. (*Dianna Cotter* "Carbon Dioxide irrelevant in climate debate says MIT Scientist", Examiner.com August 8, 2009)

Lie harder and ridicule honest real scientists

If you plan to **whip the people of the world up into a hysteria and you have been lying just lie harder** and ridicule honest real scientists. Everyone must understand this is about an agenda to implement a world wide "**global Climate Change Regime**" as early as possible but no later than 2015.

In an article entitled, "**Scientists Report Earth Reaching 'Tipping Point' with 'Severe Impacts' on Quality of Life**" by Liz Klimas it says, "a new report by 22 international scientists published in Thursday's edition of the *Journal Nature* is stating **we are nearing an age where we will have reached the 'tipping point' of Earth**, that once passed, will have 'destructive consequences.' Currently to support a population of 7 billion people, about 43 percent of the Earth's land surface has been converted to agriculture or urban use, with roads cutting through much of the remainder. The population is expected to rise to 9 billion by 2045; ...

Another part of the report, referring to the world population increase, says "**Fossil fuels are being burned at a rapidly increasing rate, increasing concentrations of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere by 35 percent since the industrial revolution began.**" " (Liz Klimas, theblaze.com/stories /scientists..., June 7, 2012)

Think about the absolute absurdity of the following statement, "Can it really happen? **Looking into the past tells us unequivocally that, yes, it can really happen. It has happened. The last time glacial/interglacial transition 11,700 years ago was an example of that,**" he said, noting that animal diversity still has not recovered from extinctions during that time."

All righty then, **how many intelligent people think that using fossil fuels and overpopulation caused the events back 11,700 years ago? Please, just raise your hand so everyone can see what an absolute idiot you are!**

Did the earth reach a tipping point 11,700 years ago that caused massive loss of animal diversity? The answer is yes, and it proves beyond the shadow of a doubt that none of it was man made. Man kinds use of fossil fuels, and their over population of the planet was absolutely negligible unless you think they burned an awful lot of campfires.

Listen to the next absolutely unbelievably idiotic statement made in this report. "At the same time, ocean acidity has risen by 5 percent in the past 20 years." (Ibid.)

Here is a question for every scientist out there, **how acidic do you think ocean salt water is?**

The only real question about the oceans of the world is, **what is the concentration of salt because they cannot be acidic!** Oceans of the world water is scientifically provable by any fifth grader to be "basic" not "acidic". If you do not believe that, go get you a little phenolphthalein, go to any ocean of the world, scoop some water up in a cup and dump your phenol in it. Watch as the water turns a nice redish purple color **proving it is basic**. Bingo! Proof positive that the oceans of the world are not **acidic!**

Here is another hysteria inducing ridiculous statement made in the article, "within the next 60 years, the average global temperature 'will be higher that it has been since the human species evolved." (Ibid)

Any one who really believes that human DNA code "evolved" without what would be divine guidance, compared to our knowledge, does not understand its complexity at all.

The scientific method is generally considered **to begin with "observation"**. When some scientist can **give this writer**, and thinking people of the world, actual temperature **readings, or tangible proof, from before humanity stepped onto the surface of this planet**, we will believe your **absurd assertions**. Humanity was here before the last ice age according to modern archaeology. Has that truth become too inconvenient and politically incorrect to admit?

Any intelligent person can manipulate data and make a model say anything that they want to. Saying something is so, no matter how long, nor how loud, does not make it so, but don't forget Hitler's Big Lie plan for manipulating people.

It's All about the Money

In a recent debate Lord Christopher Monckton, a well known global warming IPCC skeptic, **gave an example of what climate taxes would cost countries that had adopted them**. Monckton, "demonstrated that the cost of acting to prevent global warming is many times greater than the cost of inaction. The example of Australia's carbon dioxide tax showed why this was so. Australia accounts for only 1.2% of global CO2 emissions, and the government's policy was to reduce this percentage by 5% over the ten-year life of the tax. On the generous assumption that the entire reduction would be achieved from year 1 onward, **the fraction of global emissions abated would be just 0.06%**. Because this fraction was so small, the projected CO2 concentration of 412 ppmv that would otherwise obtain in the atmosphere by 2020 would fall to 411.987 ppmv. **Because this reduction in CO2 concentration was so small, the warming abated over the 10-year period of the tax would be just 0.000085 C°**, at a discounted cost of \$130 billion over the ten-year term." (Monckton's Schenectady showdown. Posted on [March](#)

10, 2012 by [Anthony Watts](http://wattsupwiththat.com/2012/03/10/moncktons-schenectady-showdown/), <http://wattsupwiththat.com/2012/03/10/moncktons-schenectady-showdown/>)

When these figures are applied world wide, **"the cost of abating all of the 0.15 C° of warming that the IPCC predicted would occur between 2011 and 2020, by using measures as cost-effective as Australia's carbon dioxide tax, would be \$309 trillion, 57.4% of global GDP to 2020, or \$44,000 per head of the world's population. On this basis, the cost of abating 1 C° of global warming would be \$1.5 quadrillion.** That, said Lord Monckton, is not cheap. In fact, it is 110 times more costly than doing nothing and paying the eventual cost of any damage that might arise from warmer weather this century."(Ibid.)

The **Climate Change Regime is not at all in the least dissuaded** from these kind of projections in fact they want more!

Professor Jim Hansen, **has coauthored a scientific paper with 17 other experts... which calls for an immediate 6% annual cut in CO2 emissions, and substantial growth in global forest cover, to avoid catastrophic climate change by the end of the century.** Hansen, now 70 is regarded as one of the most influential figures in climate science and the creator of one of the first global climate models. His pioneering role in warning about global warming is frequently cited by climate control campaigner, and **Gaia worshipper Al Gore** and has also won him the 1\$million dollar Dan David prize. He **has been arrested more than once for his role in protests against coal energy.** The paper, in the final stages of publication by the US journal "Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences", argues that a **global tax on fossil fuels would be the strongest tool for forcing energy firms and consumers to switch quickly to zero carbon and green energy sources.** (Severin Carrell, Nasa scientist: Climate change is a moral issue on par with slavery", guardian.co.uk, April/6/2012)

Under Hansen's **Carbon tax proposal**, "the carbon levy would increase year on year, with the tax income paid directly back to the public as a dividend, shared equally, rather than put into government coffers. **Because the tax would greatly increase the cost of fossil fuel energy, consumers relying on green or low carbon sources of power** would benefit the most as this dividend would come on top of cheaper fuel bills." (Ibid.)

Hansen and The Climate Change Regime's goal in all this is to increase ambition and force change. According to Hansen and his co-conspirators, "The very rich and most profligate energy users, people with several homes, or private jets and fuel-hungry cars, **would also be forced into dramatically changing their energy use.**" (Ibid.)

The UNFCCC does not need this amount of money to implement its **Climate Change Regime** but this is at least what they would like to have. We know this because, **"Australia's carbon dioxide tax is typical of the climate-mitigation measures now being proposed or implemented."** (Ibid.)

Implementing the Climate Change Regime

It should come as no surprise that **UN officials and others working with the UN have openly discussed the Climate Change Regime**. For instance Christiana Figueres, **Executive Secretary of the UNFCCC** said, I am honored to be in Marrakech- 10 years after the Marrakech Accords were adopted. It is a noteworthy anniversary - an anniversary of the underpinnings **that inaugurated the first phase of the climate regime** and made the carbon markets work for many areas of the world.... (UNFCCC News release, "Statement by Christiana Figueres....", African Carbon Forum Marrakech, 4-6, July 2011)

"Now we stand on the brink of **entering into a second phase of the climate change regime**, one that needs to bring three critical elements: Deeper global emission reductions, Increased support for developing country adaptation and mitigation, and more market activity in Africa. I believe we are on track on each of these potentials." (Ibid.)

Figueres, sites the Cancun Agreements as, "**a critically important step forward in the development of the Climate Regime**. Under the Agreements , all industrialized countries have officialized their emission reduction targets.." These commitments, "provide the **strongest signal countries have ever given** to the private sector that we are indeed moving toward low-carbon economies." (Ibid.)

Figueres continues "**Cancun created important institutions** to support both adaptation and mitigation in developing countries. **The Adaptation Committee** will help foster adaptation strategies and measures. **The Technology Mechanism** will promote the use of cleaner technologies around the world, and **the Green Climate Fund** will help to finance both adaptation and mitigation efforts. **All three nascent institutions are being designed by governments this year, with input from relevant stakeholders.**" (Ibid.)

According to Figueres, "Future markets are linked to the future of the climate regime." She states, "I argue that the markets are reinvigorating themselves because I see **growing interest in emissions trading worldwide: In the US, state and city-level systems are progressing**, most prominently in California, with possible good ripple effects across the country and in Canada." (Ibid.)

The Pillars of Agenda 21

Agenda 21 is built on the three Sustainable Development pillars of 'Social Equity,' 'Environmental Ecological Integrity,' and 'Economic' Prosperity". However we have noted, "In the debate on the United Nations Program of Assistance for strengthening international law, **delegates called for recognizing the primacy of international law and putting it at the forefront of State concerns. They called for law to be the**

established as the "fourth pillar" of development, beside the environmental, social and economic pillars." (GA/10911 p. 12) We will address the fourth pillar later.

Agenda 21: First Pillar Implemented April 2009 FSB established

The first, pillar of the Climate Change Regime's global governance occurred on April 2, 2009 with the agreement of the G20 nations, "The Global Plan for Recovery and Reform". Sustainable development is directly addressed under the heading "Ensuring a fair and sustainable recovery for all". The G20 commit to "not only to restore growth but **to lay the foundation for a fair and sustainable world economy.** We recognise that the current crisis has a disproportionate impact on the vulnerable in the poorest countries and recognise our collective responsibility **to mitigate the social impact** of the crisis to minimise long-lasting damage to global potential." (<http://www.g20.utoronto.ca/2009/2009communique0402.html>) "To this end:" they (1) reaffirm their commitment to meeting "the Millennium Development Goals" and to achieve "their respective ODA goals"; (2) further commit to **provide \$50 billion, "to support social protection** boost trade and **safeguard development** in low income countries, as part of the significant increase in crisis support"; (3) making resources available for **social protection** "through **investing in long term security** and through voluntary bilateral contributions to the World Bank's Vulnerability Framework"; (4) "to provide \$6 billion additional concessional and flexible finance for the poorest countries.."; (5) "to review the flexibility of the **Debt Sustainability Framework** and call on the IMF and World Bank to report to the IMFC and Development Committee at the Annual Meetings"; (6) call on the UN through other global institutions **"to monitor the impact of the crisis** on the poorest and most vulnerable." (Ibid.)

The G20 further committed, **"to support those affected by the crisis by creating employment opportunities and through income support measures.** We will build a fair and family-friendly labour market for both women and men." (Ibid.)

Another commitment fulfilling Agenda 21 was, "to make the best possible use of **investment funded by fiscal stimulus programmes towards the goal of building a resilient, sustainable, and green recovery.** We will **make the transition towards clean, innovative, resource efficient, low carbon technologies and infrastructure.** We encourage the MDBs to contribute fully to the achievement of this objective. We will identify and **work together on further measures to build sustainable economies.**"(Ibid.)

The G20 also committed, **"to address the threat of irreversible climate change, based on the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities,** and to reach agreement at the UN Climate Change conference in Copenhagen in December 2009," which we will address later.

We take note here that these G20 commitments included the three original Agenda 21 pillars of social equity, economic prosperity, and environment

Global governance of Economic Prosperity was one of the goals of Agenda 21 from the beginning but controlling the entire World Economy was their dream come true.

We know that the agreements of the G20 established "Global Governance" because we are informed of this by Herman Van Rompuy, the new permanent EU President, in January, 2010. He says, "We are living through exceptionally difficult times: the financial crisis and its dramatic impact on employment and budget, the climate crisis which threatens our very survival, a period of anxiety, uncertainty and lack of confidence. Yet these problems can be overcome by a joint effort between our countries. 2009 is also the first year of global governance with the establishment of the G20 in the middle of the financial crisis. The climate conference in Copenhagen is another step towards the global management of our planet." (Utube.com, New EU president confirms New World Order desire (19Nov09))

Well known economic advisor and Fox News commentator **Dick Morris** had this to say about the G20 decision, **"on April 2, 2009 the Declaration of Independence signed July 4, 1776 was effectively repealed, at least as far as the American economy is concerned. It's not the British that are going to rule us but it's the British, the French, the Germans, the Italians, and the entire European Union."** (Utube video)
 What Mr. Morris is talking about is the upgrading of the Financial Stability Forum (FSF) during the G20 summit to **the Financial Stability Board (FSB)**.
 It was this G 20 summit that EU President Herman Van Rompuy was referring to in his inaugural address **as the beginning of "global governance"** quoted above.
 Mr. Morris tells us the FSF was, **"basically run by the European central bankers"** and operated in a mostly advisory capacity. The USA has one vote but so do all the others. The April 2, 2009 upgrade of the FSF to **the FSB grants them vast new powers. "They are going to be empowered to set agreed upon high standards, agreed upon within their organization, that cover the regulation of all financial instruments, all companies in the world that are deemed to be systemically important, which means if they fail the whole world goes caput, and also to set executive pay compensation levels, and policies about executive pay for all firms."** (Ibid)

Morris says, "When I read the communiqué from this meeting it was absolutely incredible." (Reading from the document) The FSB is to implement "tough new principles on pay and compensation, **and to support sustainable compensation schemes and the corporate social responsibility of all firms.**"

"That's the word **"all"** nothing delimiting that phrase.

"It's unbelievable!"

"And they're supposed to (reading again) **"extend regulation and oversight to all systemically important financial institutions, instruments, and markets.**"

"Now that is being billed right now as covering "hedge funds," but it really can cover anything."

Morris observes, "So what is happening is that as **Obama is in effect nationalizing these companies, he is internationalizing them and putting them under this board.**" (Ibid.)

Morris sums, "To take this entire rubric of regulation and put it under the European Union, in effect, is outrageous. **It really compromises the fundamental sovereignty of the United States of America.** This is a very dangerous and slippery slope if there ever was one. Its basically the price the United States is paying for

being blamed for triggering the global financial crisis and its easy to see how Obama was a willing accomplice in letting all of this happen" (Ibid.)

Did analyst Dick Morris quote his sources correctly in its context?

Yes.

Although Mr. Morris had the original communiqué, the final draft, "**The Global Plan for Recovery and Reform 2 April 2009**," issued by the "**Leaders of the Group of Twenty**" has the identical wording and a lot more that he did not have time to talk about. Both of Mr. Morris' quotes are found under the heading "**Strengthening financial supervision and regulation**" section 15 on page 4 paragraphs 4 and 5.

The UNFCCC Climate Change Regime Connection

"The Global Plan for Recovery and Reform 2 April 2009", adopted by "the Leaders of the Group of Twenty", unquestionably is part of the Climate change Regime. Section 4. states "We have today therefore **pledged to do whatever is necessary to... build an inclusive green, and sustainable recovery**" (p. 1) Section 28 further affirms and clarifies this point:

"28. We reaffirm our commitment to address the threat of irreversible climate change, based on the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities, and to reach agreement at the UN Climate Change conference in Copenhagen in December 2009." (Op. cite. G20 Global Plan .)

Is there proof that the G20 and the FSB can actually impose their will on sovereign countries?

The answer is a resounding yes.

In the "G20 Analysis entitled "**Cannes 2011: A Summit of Substantial Success**" it states, "The G20 leaders gathering at Cannes, France, on November 3-4, 2011, has proven to be a summit of substantial success. **It contained a financial crisis reaching critical levels in Greece and Italy, riding to the rescue of a European Union that had tried but failed repeatedly to cope on its own. G20 leaders endorsed a recipe for stronger, more sustained and balanced growth by recommitting to medium-term fiscal consolidation.** First, short-term stimulus where possible, and substantially more exchange-rate flexibility, respecting market fundamentals than ever before. The summit moved to augment the resources of the International Monetary Fund (IMF), so it could credibly assist large countries in Europe or elsewhere that were afflicted by short-term market panic but were seriously committed to painfully needed reforms at home. It similarly **strengthened the resources, role and status of the Financial Stability Board (FSB)**, while appointing an impressive new chair in Mark Carney"(G20 Information Centre, provided by the G20 Research Group)

What did the FSB and G20 accomplish in Italy?

"Mario Monti to Replace Italy's Prime Minister Silvio Berlusconi. Berlusconi resigned as Italy's prime minister on Saturday due to investors' lack of

confidence in the Italian economy. Mario Monti, an economist and financial advisor, was nominated by Italy's **president** to replace Berlusconi. Monti is a **former European Union Commissioner**. His job will be to reassure investors that Italy can reduce its debt load and recover economically. Monti addressed the country shortly after his nomination, promising to do his best to improve the future for Italy's **children**. According to Monti, **Italians will have to make sacrifices in order to prevent a eurozone meltdown**. Berlusconi is the second European prime minister to resign this month. "(Tara Benwell for Englishclub.com 15 November 2011)

The New York Times reported "— Italy pulled back from the brink on Thursday, as lawmakers seemed poised to usher out Prime Minister Silvio Berlusconi and **replace his government with a cabinet of technocrats** most likely led by a former European Commissioner, Mario Monti." The Times article explains " the pressure on Italy has become too great to bear, making **Mr. Berlusconi the most powerful European leader essentially ousted by market forces** more than the complex internal logic of Italian politics." (NY Times, "A Shaken Italy Is Poised To Name A New Government", by Rachel Donadio, November 11, 2011)

It has been documented that the G20 claims the responsibility for the Italy transition. While the Times article does not relate the ouster of Berlusconi to the G20 it does say, "That was due largely to the **quiet yet forceful maneuvering of Italy's president**, Giorgio Napolitano, an 86-year-old **former member of the Italian Communist Party**." (Ibid)

Italy is a member of the G20 and Berlusconi was their representative.
(wiki.answers.com/Q/which_countries_are_members_of_the_G20)

A New York Times update on the Italy situation says, "**Mario Monti was chosen to be prime minister of Italy in November 2011, as the pressure of the European debt crisis forced Silvio Berlusconi from office.**"

Mr. Monti was nicknamed "Super Mario" by the Italian press for his aggressive approach as the antitrust commissioner for the European Commission. He is an economist with strong European credentials and longstanding familiarity with Europe's power brokers. **He was also an international adviser to Goldman Sachs, and was a president of the Italian Group of the Trilateral Commission.** (Ibid.)

On Nov. 16, Mario Monti was sworn in as prime minister and finance minister, and revealed his cabinet, which is made up primarily of academics. (Ibid.)

The transition that took place in Italy "**materialized on the same day that Greece named its own new technocratic government under Lucas Papademos, an economist and former head of the Bank of Greece**" (NY Times 11/11/11) He was also a "European Central Bank Vice President". (Helena Smith, *The Guardian*, "Lucas Papademos Sworn in as Greece's Prime Minister", 11/11/2011)

The **irony of the Greece situation is that, democratically elected George Papandreou had been working with the EU leaders at the Euro zone summit and reached an agreement.** After the summit he announced that he would hold a referendum where the new agreement would be put to a popular vote. However, **the**

October 26 agreement and proposed referendum met with increasing opposition from all directions, because, "greater European intervention is seen as an affront to national sovereignty." (NY Times, Shaken Italy 10/10/11),

The Euro zone leaders summoned Papandreou to the ongoing G20 summit in France to express their disapproval. In addition, the Greek finance minister and Papandreou's chief rival within PASOK, Evangelos Venizelos, switched positions and withdrew support for the planned vote."(*Ibid.*)

Without the support to pass the agreement Papandreou "called off the referendum and agreed to immediately begin negotiations to form an all-party 'national-unity' government." (*Ibid.*)

Here is the Irony of ironies. Papademos' government is tasked with implementing even more ruthless anti-worker measures. **At the top of the new government's agenda is approving the Oct. 26 agreement, passing an austerity budget for 2012 and securing the next batch of bailout money** from the troika. (Walter Smolarek, Banker Installed as new Greek Prime Minister as class struggle heats up", www.liberationnews.org, 10/10/2011)

"Papademos has to get a controversial €130bn bailout programme approved by a parliamentary majority of 180 votes in the 300 seat-house; **convince international lenders to release €8bn in long overdue rescue loans; push through a 2012 budget that is tougher than any seen so far; enforce a draconian new tax law and launch an ambitious €50bn privatisation drive that has already whipped up mass popular opposition.**"(*Guardian*, *Ibid.*)

Any time the G20 and the FSB can pressure countries, oust democratically elected leaders, and replace them with their appointees, there is no such thing as national sovereignty.

There is no such thing as national sovereignty any more for indebted countries, whether Greece, Italy, Portugal, Spain, France, or the USA. Like Dick Morris said, **"It really compromises the fundamental sovereignty of the United States of America"** (*Op. cite.*)

While being interviewed on the Glenn Beck Fox News show former US Ambassador to the UN John Bolton said, **"I do not think we should under state the desire of many people, many in the Obama administration, and widely in Europe to move toward global government.** But I don't think we should overstate what the consequences of any one agreement are. In fact it is precisely because the pace of this change is hard to measure that it is difficult to get people excited about it. So by disagreeing about what the potential consequences of this draft on Copenhagen are **I don't mean to ignore the risk to American sovereignty which I think exists and its one reason why I've called President the first Post American President.** Its why he's so popular in Europe." (John Bolton, Fox News Glenn Beck Show "Dire Warning About Proposed International Agreement on Climate Change")

Who are the members of the G20?

"The members of the G20 are the finance ministers and central bank governors of 19 countries: Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, France, Germany, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, Mexico, Russia, Saudi Arabia, South Africa, South Korea, Turkey, the U.K. and the U. S. In addition, the European Union is represented by the rotating council presidency and the European Central Bank." (Wiki.answers)

Who are the members of the Financial Stability Board (FSB)?

"The following bodies are eligible to be a Member. (a), namely ministers of finance, central banks, supervisory and regulatory authorities, (b) International financial institutions; and (c) International standard setting, regulatory, supervisor and central bank bodies." (Financial Stability Board Charter II. Members)

Member countries listed are: Argentina, Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, France, Germany, Hong Kong SAR, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, Korea, Mexico, Netherlands, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, South Africa, Spain, Switzerland, Turkey, United States, European Bank, European Commission;(Ibid. Annex A. A)

B. International Financial Institutions: Bank for International Settlements (BIS), International Monetary Fund (IMF), Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), World Bank (Ibid.)

C. International Standard -Setting, Regulatory, Supervisory and Central Bank Bodies: Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS), Committee on Global Financial Systems (CPSS), Committee on the Global Financial System (CGFS), International Accounting Standards Board (IASB), International association of Insurance Supervisors (IAIS), International Organization of Securities Commissions (IOSCO) (Ibid.)

It does not take a genius to see the duplicity in the names of countries in the G20 and the fact that it is, "ministers of finance, central banks" that make up the primary body of this Financial Stability Board (FSB).

Beyond the shadow of a doubt, the G20 and the new, and continually strengthened FSB, control the economic world. Thus the first pillar of the Climate Change Regime is firmly in control.

Was the establishment of Global Economic Governance Part of a Previously established Sustainable Development Plan?

Yes. In Chapter XI on Institutional Framework for Sustainable Development of the Johannesburg Plan of Implementation, **the importance of good international**

governance, in particular global economic governance and a rules-based multilateral trading system, is recognized.

The G20/FSB can take over the states of the USA just as easily as Italy because Obama signed the US up to the G20 agreements and we are a party to it and the UNFCCC Climate Change Regime.

There are a number of states that are in serious financial trouble. "The states in most dire condition, are, not unexpectedly, the unholy triffecta of California (\$6.9 billion borrowed), Michigan (\$3.9 billion), and New York (\$3.2 billion). With this form of shadow bailout occurring, one can only wonder how many other shadow programs are currently in operation to fund states under the table with federal money." (Tyler Durden, 32 States Now Officially Bankrupt, www.zerohedge.com/article/32states...5/21/2010)

An updated list of states most indebted in the US comes from The Daily Beast. "The Daily Beast first ranked state indebtedness last August, **measuring debt-to-gross domestic product ratio—the higher the ratio, the more likely a state would remain mired in debt.** Now we've updated the data. These rankings reflect 2009 GDP (current dollars) data from the Bureau of Economic Analysis, while the 2009 debt figures are from the U.S. Census.

Meanwhile, **debt is just half the story. The other half of our ranking is split evenly between each state's percent of unfunded pension obligations, and unfunded health care obligations for retirees, based on a study by the Pew Center on the States.**

Future budget shortfall levels are based on an independent analysis from the Center on Budget and Policy Priorities, which uses estimates of next year's baseline budget spending compared to expected revenue." (*US News*, "States Most Likely to go Bankrupt", www.thedailybeast.com; Jan26, 2011)

The top five most **bankrupt states in the USA, given the Daily Beast criteria,** are **Rhode Island, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Illinois, and Hawaii** (Ibid.)

What is far worse than the bankrupt situation of individual states is the USA debt itself. Statistics from the US Debt Clock reveal that our current and growing by the second debt is over 15.58 trillion dollars and our GDP is 15.08 trillion. We noted above that debt is just part of the problem the other part is unfunded pensions (Social Security for the USA) and unfunded health care obligations (Medicare and Medicaid for the USA). The Federal USA government owes over 15.7 trillion dollars to Social Security 20.5 trillion to Prescription drug liability and almost 82 trillion to Medicare liability totaling 118.2 trillion more debt bringing the grand total to 133.78 trillion dollars at this time.

If the economy of the US was not so big that it could not possibly be bailed out, the G20 would step in right now.

If the US currency was not the world currency the G20 would step in.

If we could not continue to print more money the G20 would step in.

If the FED had not loaned 16 trillion to some one between 2007 and 2009 the G20 would have stepped in.

If the USA was not the primary funder of the UNFCCC Climate Change Regime the G20 would have already stepped in.

As soon as a new international standard currency is in place and the US economy destroyed by printing money and deficit spending, the Climate Change regime will instruct the FSB to step in put in place a central banker or whoever their choice may be, (Obama as the strongest supporter of the Regime) and The USA will fall in one day!!!

Whoever controls the money controls the world!!

Meanwhile on the UNFCCC front the G20 and the world is being assured, "The Green Climate Fund," is "the financial management center of the future climate Regime" and need of at least "\$100 billion by 2020." (UN press release, "UN's top climate change official reports advances on key issues at June talks, notes issues needing high-level political guidance" Bonn, 17 June, 2011)

The Second Pillar Implemented establishing the Climate Change Regime is Social Equity

The **Social equity pillar is based on a demand for social justice.** For instance, in the 1992 UNFCCC Article 3. 1. states that "Parties should protect the climate system ..., on **the basis of equity** and in accordance with their **common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities.**" ... " Since the developed Annex I nations have been responsible for global warming, because of the use of fossil fuels, they were committed to "the need for **equitable and appropriate contributions by each of these Parties to the global effort regarding that objective.**" (UNFCCC Article 4.2.a)

What **this means is that the USA has to pay more than any other country** because we supposedly have been the biggest polluter.

We have already documented the USA's "Record setting contributions" to the UNFCCC and related UN organizations for 2008, 2009, 2010 with figures not yet available for 2011. **The fact is that the USA has not only been the biggest supporter of the UNFCCC, but also the UN from its inception.** The USA's redistribution of, at least some of, its wealth has been, and is being done. **While the USA has given more than any other country it has not been enough to satisfy those wanting to redistribute the entire wealth of the country.** For instance, in Climate Change Justice written by **Obama Czar, Cass Sunstein** and Eric A. Posner they say, "Many people believe that the United States is required to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions beyond the point that is justified by its own self-interest, simply because the United States is wealthy, and because the nations most at risk from climate change are poor. This argument from distributive justice is complemented by an argument from corrective justice: **The existing "stock" of greenhouse gas emissions owes a great deal to the past actions of the United States, and many people think that the United States should do a great deal to reduce a problem for which it is disproportionately responsible.**" (Cass and Posner, " Climate Change Justice" georgetownlawjournal.org, p. 1)

Does it come as a surprise to any one that when Obama was a Senator he wrote The Global Poverty Act of 2007?

The stated "Purpose" of this bill S. 2433, **"is to require the President to develop and implement a comprehensive strategy to further the United States foreign policy objective of promoting the reduction of global poverty, the elimination of extreme poverty, and the achievement of the Millennium Development Goal of reducing by one half the proportion of people worldwide, between 1990 and 2015, who live on less than \$1 per day."** (S. 2433 The Global Poverty Act of 2007, www.thomas.gov)

The **"Discussion"** of this bill includes two points of interest. **First**, it assures, **"this bill does not commit the United States to other United Nations policy goals or imply concurrence with any other United Nations Statements."** **Second**, it states, **"This bill requires the Secretary of state to designate a coordinator who will have primary responsibility for drafting the global poverty reduction strategy and assisting in its implementation. The language allows the Secretary discretion to ... create a new position as the Secretary deems appropriate."**(Ibid. p.2)

The Bill ultimately died for lack of a scheduled vote in the Senate but its House Version (H.R. 1302) **"was approved by the U.S. House of Representatives last December with bipartisan support."** Ibid.)

Does it come as a surprise that Obama created the Czar position of "Income Redistribution" by presidential appointment established in 2009. (Op. Cite., Obama's Czars, noisyroom.net p. 16)

The original UNFCCC Charter Article 4 1. (h) says that developed countries must, **"Promote and cooperate in full, open and prompt exchange of relevant scientific, technological, technical, socio-economic and legal information related to the climate system."** This has been an ongoing part of the UNFCCC agenda, from the beginning.

By their work and commitments certain G20 countries were awarded full compliance recognition. "Canada, Mexico, Russia, United Kingdom and United States were each awarded a score of full compliance for their efforts to reach an agreement in Copenhagen that included mitigation, adaptation, technology and finance."(Bracht, G20 p. 4)

The G20 has committed to this transfer and sharing of wealth. The commitment of the G20 in Durban, "included support for the principle of common but differentiated responsibility." **"At the following Pittsburgh Summit in September of 2009 the leaders reiterated their commitment to the UNFCCC process and included a statement that 'an agreement must include mitigation, adaptation, technology, and financing. At the Toronto Summit in June 2010, the leaders again committed to engage in negotiations under the UNFCCC by stating their support to 'ensure a successful outcome through and inclusive process at the Cancun Conferences.'** This commitment was again reiterated at the Seoul Summit in November 2010. **In each communiqué the text of the commitment to the UNFCCC process evolved to include more detail. (Caroline Bracht, G20 Climate Change ... p.2-3)**

"At the Cannes Summit in November 2011 **leaders (of the G20)** stated their commitment to the "Rio+20" conference, saying that **they "are committed to the success of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development in Rio de Janeiro in 2012.** Leaders also restated their commitment to **a successful outcome at the Conference of the Parties (COP) Durban, South Africa, on 28 November -9 December 2011."**(Ibid.)

Third Pillar for Implementing the Climate Change Regime is Environment

The Climate Change Regime is Sustainable Development: Agenda 21. "The Sustainabilists insist that society be transformed into feudal-like governance by making Nature the central organizing principle for our economy and society. As such, every societal decision would first be questioned as to how it might effect the environment." (DeWeese, p.3)

We have noted that Sustainable Development: Agenda 21 was adopted in 1992 at the same Rio De Janeiro Earth Summit when the UNFCCC was adopted. Agenda 21 fills in what is left unsaid when the UNFCCC treaty refers to "sustainable development", "economic development to proceed in a sustainable manner" etc. al. (UNFCCC Article 2, 3)

The authors of Agenda 21 say that the objective of Sustainable Development is to integrate economic, social, and environmental policies in order to achieve reduced consumption, social equity, and the preservation and restoration of biodiversity (De Weese, p.2)

We have already documented that the World economy is under "global governance" of the G20/FSB.

We have also documented that the G20 has pledged to implement fully the Climate Change Regime saying they wanted, "to reach agreement at the UN Climate Change Conference in Copenhagen in December 2009." We have documented that "the agreement", the "Copenhagen Accord" was adopted.

We have acknowledged that, while the Ad Hoc Committee Copenhagen Draft did actually talk about a "Government" controlled by the "Conference of the Parties", the Accord did not use that terminology. However, the Accord did establish the "government" organizations the COP would control and the COP is now controlling them.

Christopher Monckton said before the 2009 Copenhagen UNFCCC, "I think we are heading here for what could be a global government. And this was first presaged 25 years ago by Sir Maurice Strong the UN bureaucrat who set up the intergovernmental, rather than the scientific structure of the UN panel on climate change (UNEP), which all this is about. And he said then that he hoped that it would be transmogrified into what became a world government.

Jack Sherack of France has said the same.

Al Gore talks of global governance all the time."

"This is something which is being menaced every where you look and now they have put the word government in the treaty and they have given this body powers which I have never seen transferred before to any transnational entity by any treaty ever."(Monckton , Fox News)

Financial involvement affects environmental scientific Assessments

When it comes to Climate change, The American Physical Society denies financial involvement influence their decisions, "despite the fact that the **POPA's chair is Bob Socolow** who is the **chair of the Carbon Mitigation Initiative**, and on the **advisory board of the Deutsche Bank**." (Reconsidering the Climate Act, Global Warming: how to approach the science, Richard S. Lindzen, Seminar at the House of Commons Committee rooms Westminster, London; 2/22/2012)

The starting place for **why many are declaring "incontrovertible evidence of global warming" is the money**. One of the reasons that Dr. Ivar Giaver gave for the **American Physical Society's unwillingness to remove the word "incontrovertible" from its "policy statement" on global warming he says is the money**. (No need to Panic)

"Alarmism over climate is of great benefit to many, providing government funding for academic research and for government bureaucracies to grow. Alarmism also offers an excuse for governments to raise taxes, taxpayer funded subsidies for business that understand how to work the political system and a lure for big donations to charitable foundations promising to save the planet." (Ibid.)

The entire UNFCCC Climate Change Regime has always had an agenda expressed in Agenda 21. The Climate Change Regime is about controlling people, the products they use, how much they use, how much they spend when they buy it etc. People who have ever had freedom will not tolerate this kind of total control if they can challenge it. Therefore, the world must be thrown into a panic whereby they believe they must surrender their freedoms or die.

Create a Crisis to Manipulate society by fear, intimidation, and misinformation

The real science has proved that the Climate is not warming at any exceptional rate. Furthermore, human contribution to any climate change positive or negative is negligible. If the world is not undergoing real warming then the seas are not rising because of melting polar caps. **Real scientists using real verifiable readings have proved beyond the shadow of any doubt, for intelligent people, that the forces affecting our climate come from a number of sources the least of which is mankind.** Real science knows that carbon dioxide (CO₂) is not a pollutant and does not need to be controlled.

The Climate Control Regime has never depended on scientifically verifiable readings for the IPCC. Climate Gate 1 and 2 prove that the IPCC has been lying and will continue to do so. When the facts don't line up they must lie bigger lies.

An article entitled "**New York to Drown! Sea Levels will Rise 63 Inches In The Next 89 Years**" refers to the Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme report and makes the following statement: A team of international scientists has unveiled a new report warning that rapidly increasing climate change could raise global sea levels up to five feet, three inches by 2100. The Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme says temperatures in the Arctic are the warmest ever recorded, putting the region's ice caps and glaciers, as well as the Greenland Ice Sheet, at risk of melting. Study co-author Dorthe Dahl-Jensen of the **University of Copenhagen warned the rising sea levels could threaten coastal areas worldwide.**" (real-science.com/new-york-to-drown..., May7, 2011)

U.S. News on MSNBC released an article entitled "'**Invisible tsunami**' of rising sea levels puts US coasts at risk", expert says. The article makes the claim, "the nearly 5 million people who live along the U.S. coasts from Maine to the Gulf of Mexico and the West Coast, rising seas **fueled by global warming** have doubled the risk of so-called once-a-century floods, according to a **trio of environmental reports released Wednesday.**" (Invisible tsunami ..., 3/14/2012, by msnbc.com staff and news service)

This **big lie is compounded** with statements like, "Climate scientists maintain that people, businesses and infrastructure in low-lying coastal areas of the contiguous 48 U.S. states are vulnerable to sea level rise, **and world sea levels have risen by 8 inches since 1880.**" (Ibid.)

Once again, how do these scare tactics fit in to the G20/FSB plan?

"Climate change is not a new issue for the G20. **The G20 finance ministers** and the **central bank governors** first referred to the issue at their second meeting in Montreal, Canada, in 2000 (G20 2000). They **promised to collectively address broad environmental concerns, which included climate change.** When they met in Melbourne, Australia, in 2006, they explicitly noted the need to **take collective action to tackle climate change.** In particular they **focused on the critical link between energy and climate change.** (G20 2006)" (Caroline Bracht, G20 Climate Change and Energy Accountability: The G20's Summit's Compliance Record, 2008 to 2011, December 4, 2011)

Who controls the grants for scientific research, development, reforestation, healthcare, investment, restoration of damaged ecosystems and habitats?

The answer is of course Finance Ministers (National and state budgets), Central bankers, IMF, World Bank, in other words **the G20/FSB.**

Ask your self why, in the midst of the "Great Recession" of 2008 and following, the G20 would be **so concerned with the environment** that, **"At the first G20 summit in Washington in November 2008," the leaders "noted the importance of climate change."** (*Ibid.*)

Why would climate change be so important that the G20 in their April 2, 2009 **"Global Plan for Recovery and Reform" pledge to do whatever is necessary to: "build an inclusive, green and sustainable recovery"** if they are not inextricably linked?

Why would the G20 **"Reaffirm our commitment to address the threat of irreversible climate change"** if they were not committed to the IPCC pseudo science of the UNFCCC?" (*Ibid.* commitment 28.)

Why would they state, "We have committed ourselves to work together with urgency and determination to translate these words into action." (*Ibid.* commitment 29)

At the Pittsburg G20 Summit in September (2009) the irreversible climate change "issue came onto the agenda in a more meaningful way. There, the leaders **pledged to reach an agreement in Copenhagen on the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)**, to reduce **inefficient fossil fuel subsidies**, to stimulate investment for clean and renewable energy and **to transfer clean energy technologies, particularly to developing countries. They also asked their finance ministers to report back on a range of climate financing options** (G20 2009a)." "The Pittsburg Summit produced the most climate-intensive communiqué with 25 of its total commitments, made on climate change and energy." (*Ibid.*)

Who would have thought the "inefficient fossil fuel subsidies" was so important to the world economy that it needed to be addressed with such fervor by the G20 in 2009?

Do you think that fossil fuel subsidies are what caused the fuel prices to go up? Subsidies usually make the cost go down.

It would be assumed that the fact that **the cost for a gallon of the cheapest gas being \$4.00 and Diesel at \$5.00 was a major contributing factor to the 2008 financial recession in the USA**. In fact when many people had to drive to work and **gas and diesel prices soared, food prices went up**. People on tight budgets, had problems paying mortgage payments, and businesses laid people off to compensate for higher transportation. Businesses having problems caused their stock to fall which caused more layoffs. **The domino effect** was, and is that, people, laid off and not working can not pay insurance, mortgage, car and other payments. Unpaid car payments and house payments infect the industry. People who have less buy less. People struggling to survive go on food stamps and children go on Medicaid.

While all this is going on the G20 is concerned with "Delivery through Compliance." They are keeping track of every nation that has made commitments

and how well they are keeping them. "G20 members have complied with their priority climate change and energy commitments made from 2008-2011 at an overall level of +041%, on a scale ranging from -100% to +100% This translates to a 71% compliance average on a regular 0- 100%scale." Bracht p. 3)

The US has received a perfect score from the G20 evaluation board, "on the fossil fuel subsidy initiative, in the first compliance cycle the United States, France and Mexico received full compliance, while Canada, Russia and the United Kingdom scored-1, indicating a lack of compliance."(Ibid.)

The Fourth and final Pillar to establish the Climate Change Regime in full force is Law

We have been verifying how a **legally binding Climate Change Regime** is being implemented in the USA through various different acts such as the **Endangered Species Act, the Clean Air Act, and the Clean Water Act** as the EPA uses its regulatory power to enforce UNFCCC demands. We have noted that the EPA is **legally requiring mandatory reporting** that is going directly to the UNFCCC

We have discussed various different issues related to the UNFCCC COP 15, 16, and 17 meetings toward **legally binding commitments and a legally binding instrument for all parties placing them under the same Climate Change Regime.**

We have pointed out that the UN scientific body, **the IPCC has been exposed for purposeful manipulation of data and an effort to cover up the truth.**

We have documented that **thousands of scientists have been publicly declaring their opposition to theory of anthropogenic (man caused) global warming.**

We have also documented that although there is strong scientific opposition to anthropogenic global warming **there are still preposterous lies that have been promulgated by some scientists through manipulation of data and false modeling.**

The problem is that the world can be placed under a legally binding Climate Change Regime on the basis of fraud science. The truth is irrelevant for any one with an agenda only deception matters. This brings us to the point of discussing some of the legal principles involved. The foundational principle that binds all treaties and legal instruments together is **Universal jurisdiction.**

The scope and application of the principle of universal jurisdiction

Deliberations and extensive discussions have been going on now for several years about the question of the **"principle of Universal jurisdiction."** **Universal jurisdiction deals with the priority of international law over national boundaries.** For instance at the UN General Assembly's sixty-sixth session the report reads:

" Although it was recognized that the comments of States expressed in the report of the Secretary-General revealed a diversity of views, it **was generally acknowledged that universal jurisdiction was an important principle, the validity of which was beyond doubt. It was noted that universal jurisdiction provided a tool to prosecute the perpetrators of certain serious crimes under international treaties.**" Some

representatives emphasized that **the exercise of criminal jurisdiction served to fight impunity and strengthen justice, while some other delegations observed that universal jurisdiction was a well-established principle of customary and conventional international law.**" (General Assembly of the United Nations, Legal Sixth Committee 66th session 2011) (<http://www.un.org/en/ga/sixth/66/ScopeAppUniJuri.shtml>)

"It was noted that **universal jurisdiction was rooted in international humanitarian law.** The 1949 Geneva Conventions provided for the mandatory universal jurisdiction over grave breaches, as well as crimes other than grave breaches. **Some delegations further observed that there was no consensus on the scope of crimes to be covered by the principle beyond piracy.**" (Ibid.)

"Some delegations underlined the importance of conditions for the application of universal jurisdiction, noting that prosecution for crimes under **universal jurisdiction required the consent of a governmental authority like an Attorney General** and the presence of the accused person in the territory was often required." (Ibid)

"Some advocated a cautious approach to any attempt to elaborate **a new instrument on universal jurisdiction.** Given the divergence of views on the matter, several delegations doubted that work of national courts could be advanced by to **constrictions determined by international regulation.**" (Ibid.)

Action taken by the Sixth Committee

"**At the 29th meeting, on 9 November 2011,** the representative of the Democratic Republic of the Congo introduced draft resolution [A/C.6/66/L.19](#), entitled "The scope and application of the principle of universal jurisdiction", on behalf of the Bureau. Operative paragraph 4 of the draft resolution was orally revised to read as follows: "4. **Decides** that the Working Group shall be open to all Member States and that relevant observers to the General Assembly will be invited to participate in the work of the Working Group". At the same meeting, **the Committee adopted draft resolution A/C.6/66/L/19, as orally revised, without a vote.**"

Is it not strange that this draft resolution has the number 666 (the Biblical number of the anti-Christ) in it.

"Under the draft resolution, the General Assembly would invite Member States and relevant observers, as appropriate, **to submit information and observations before 30 April 2012 on the scope and application of universal jurisdiction,** including, where appropriate, information on the **relevant applicable international treaties, their domestic legal rules and judicial practice;** and would further request the Secretary-General to prepare and submit to the General Assembly, **at its sixty-sixth session, a report based on such information and observations.** The Assembly would moreover decide that the Sixth Committee shall continue its consideration of the item, without prejudice to the consideration of the topic **and related issues in other forums of the United Nations.** For this purpose, **a working group of the Sixth Committee would be**

established at the sixty-seventh session to continue to undertake a thorough discussion of the scope and application of universal jurisdiction." (Ibid.)

This on going deliberative legal UN process is working out the details of how to legally bind the whole world ("all Parties) under existing and future treaties, agreements, and instruments. Notice that the discussions do not limit prosecution to just war crimes.

When discussions include **international treaties** one must under stand that **this would include All UN treaties** not just climate control related actions, Protocols (Kyoto), legal instruments and agreements (Copenhagen, Durban). In this regard, remember that any time parties have made commitments to GHG CO2 reduction **if they have not met their goals this could be construed as a human rights violation** because the **IPCC fraud science is being used as the mandatory guideline of the UN.**

The UN statement goes like this, "**the only accepted scientific basis for determining the level of ambitious actions in the short term is ... the IPCC assessments under AR4.**" (Submission by Government of India, February 28, 2012, "Increasing Ambition Level under Durban Platform for Enhanced Actions")

It must be remembered that The Durban deal established an Ad Hoc Working Group on the Durban Platform for Enhanced action that shall complete its work **as early as possible but no later than 2015 in order to adopt this protocol, legal instrument or agreed outcome with legal force at the twenty-first session of the COP 21.** (Op. cite. Durban platform)

The **legally binding Climate Change Sustainability Regime** that the UN envisions is described on the UN website thusly:

"Looking towards the future -- A post-2012 climate change regime needs to be broadened to allow all aspects of a global solution to the problem to be addressed, including:

- A long-term global **response in line with latest scientific findings** and compatible with long-term investment planning needs of business.
- **Deep emission cuts by industrialized countries**, which must continue to take the lead in line with **their historic responsibility and economic capabilities.**
- **Further engagement** of developing countries, in particular those whose emissions already, or will in the near future, significantly contribute to atmospheric concentrations.
- **Incentives for developing countries** to limit their emissions and assistance to adapt to the impacts of climate change while safeguarding socioeconomic growth and poverty eradication, and for this;
- Flexibility through an enhanced carbon market to ensure the most cost-effective implementation** and to mobilize the resources needed to provide the incentives to developing countries." ("Global Agreement", <http://www.un.org/en/>)

Hermann E. Ott and Wolfgang Sachs, writing about "The Ethics of International Emissions Trading", in *Ethics, Equity, and International Negotiations on Climate Change* stated, "**The equal right of all world citizens to the atmospheric commons is therefore the cornerstone of any viable climate regime.**" (*Ethics, Equity, and*

International Negotiations on Climate Change 159-68 (Luiz Pinguelli-Rosa and Mohan Munasinghe eds. 2002)

The Center for International Sustainable Development Law (CISDL) has a website dedicated to **Sustainable Development Law** on which they list the seven "**Principles of International Law Related to Sustainable Development.**" The seven principles listed on the web site are: 1. **The duty to ensure sustainable use** of natural resources; 2. The **principles of equity** and eradication of poverty; 3. The Principle of common but **differentiated responsibilities**; 4. The principle of **the precautionary approach** to human health, natural resources and ecosystems; 5. The principle of **public participation** and access to information and justice ("Openness"); 6. The principle of **good governance**; 7. The principles of **integration and interrelationship, in particular in relation to human rights and social economic and environmental objectives.**

Who determines what is and is not sustainable?

The UN, through Agenda 21 and every national government that complies with the pseudo fraud science of the IPCC **determines what sustainable development is.** Our national, state, regional, and city regulations **not only make but legally enforce Sustainable Development Agenda 21 goals** and requirements.

It isn't that we are going to be under a legally binding Climate Control (Sustainable Development) Regime, we already are!

The only question is when we will be informed of the fact

The only question is **when the complete control will be handed over to the UN secretariat and the specific man who will head it all up.**

This is an open conspiracy where environmental groups, (non governmental organizations, in UN lingo, NGO's,) promote their **globalist, Mother Earth worshipping agenda.** For those who don't know, **"in 2009 the (U N) General Assembly proclaimed April 22 as International Mother Earth Day,** expressing its conviction that, to achieve a just balance among the economic, social and environmental needs of the present and future generations, **"it is necessary to promote harmony with nature and the Earth."** (UN News Centre, "Ahead of International Mother Earth Day, UN officials highlight global concerns" 4/20/2012.) This year April 20, 2012 was "Mother Earth Day"

The ongoing discussions and applications of "universal jurisdiction" are continuing and lead us to the June, 2012 Rio+20 Conference on Environment and Development.

The Rio+20 2012 U N Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED)

The Rio+20 website was literally counting down the days to "**The Future We Want**". A "**zero draft**" document of "**The Future We Want**" was released and made available dated Jan 10, 2012 on the Rio+20 website.

The document had five major divisions which are: I. Preamble/Stage setting, II. Renewing Political Commitment, III. Green Economy in the context of sustainable development and poverty eradication, IV. Institutional Framework for Sustainable Development, and V. Framework for action and follow-up, with 128 numbered paragraphs. (<http://www.uncsd2012.org/index.php?menu=140>)

At the end of the conference a finalized "**The Future We Want**" was released stating that "the heads of State and Government and high level representatives, having met in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil from 20-22 June 2012,... **renew our commitment** to sustainable development..." (<http://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/futurewewant.html>)

The final "**The Future We Want**" document was released in a "**Report of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development**" Rio de Janeiro, Brazil 20-22 June 2012. (/Conf.216/16) Much of this document just reaffirms other points that have already been emphasized in **the agenda of the Climate Change Regime**. However, there are some points that we need to look at in that they apply directly to international law and the implementation of a legally binding Regime or government.

First, let us look at paragraphs 8 and 9 of **The Future We Want** under the first division "**Our Common Vision**". These two paragraphs state:

8. We also reaffirm the importance of freedom, peace and security, **respect for all human rights, including the right to development** and the right to an adequate standard of living, including the right to food, **the rule of law**, gender equality, women's empowerment and the overall commitment to just and democratic societies for development.

9. We reaffirm the importance of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, as well as other international instruments relating to human rights and international law. We emphasize **the responsibilities of all States, in conformity with the Charter of the United Nations, to respect, protect and promote human rights and fundamental freedoms for all, without distinction of any kind as to race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth, disability or other status**. It is important to note that "respect for all human rights" is linked directly to development.

Under normal conditions an emphasis on "all human rights" would not raise a red flag at all. However, the Climate Change Regime has been working on the principle of declaring the atmosphere as a "global common" whereby all Parties would be legally bound to meet the IPCC guidelines on atmospheric CO2 and greenhouse gases. Consider the statement made by the Secretary - General of the WMO.

Professor G.O.P. Obasi, the Secretary General of the World Meteorological Organization, wrote an article entitled "**The Atmosphere: global commons to protect**", in which he asserts, "The atmosphere is one of the most important resources

available for humankind and therefore must be adequately protected."
([http://www.unep.org/ OurPlanet/imgversn/75/obasi.htm](http://www.unep.org/OurPlanet/imgversn/75/obasi.htm))

De Obasi concludes the article by stating, "we are more conscious that failure to protect the environment can lead to the degradation of the natural resource base necessary for continuing and sustainable development. In spite of the major initiatives and achievements that have been made in the past few years, much still remains to be done if we are to achieve the targets of Agenda 21 for the protection of the Earth's atmosphere for the benefit of present and future generations of humankind." (Ibid.)

When the "atmosphere" is declared a "global common" protected by "international law," it will become a criminally prosecutable "human rights violation" to not meet GHG standards of the IPCC.

While the IPCC has declared, "Warming of the climate system is unequivocal, as is now evident from observations of increases in global average air and ocean temperatures, widespread melting of snow and ice and rising global average sea level." ([http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/ assessment-report/ar4/syr/ar4_syr.pdf](http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar4/syr/ar4_syr.pdf))

We have pointed out that the IPCC was been exposed as manipulating data to present models showing global warming, rising seas, desertification, and extremely violent weather patterns when thousands of their email communications were leaked. (<http://epw.senate.gov/public/index.cfm?FuseAction=Minority.PressReleases>)

We have documented that many scientists appeal to empirical evidence (actual scientifically verifiable readings) to dispute and directly contradict the fraud science of the IPCC.

However, we have also documented that the UN has required that all its environmental decisions be based on the IPCC reports. Decision 4/CP.15 states that countries will have to use the most recent Guidance and Guidelines of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), as adopted or encouraged by the COP, as a basis for estimating anthropogenic forest-related greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by sources and removals by sinks, forest carbon stocks, and forest area changes (Decision 4/CP.15 Paragraph 1(c), [http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2009/ cop15/eng/11a01.pdf](http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2009/cop15/eng/11a01.pdf)).

Let us now look at The Future We Want II. Renewing Political Commitment
A. Reaffirming Rio Principles and past action plans. This section covers paragraphs 14 to 18 and "reaffirms commitment to, "fully implement the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development, Agenda 21, the Programme for the Further Implementation of Agenda 21, the Plan of Implementation of the World Summit on Sustainable Development (Johannesburg Plan of Implementation) and the Johannesburg Declaration on Sustainable Development of the World Summit on Sustainable Development, the Programme of Action for the Sustainable Development of Small Island Developing States (Barbados Programme of Action) and the Mauritius Strategy for the Further Implementation of the Programme of Action for the Sustainable Development of Small Island Developing States. We also reaffirm our commitment to the full implementation of the Programme of Action for the Least Developed Countries for the Decade 2011-2020 (Istanbul Programme of Action), the Almaty Programme of

Action: Addressing the Needs of Landlocked Developing Countries within a New Global Framework for Transit Transport Cooperation for Landlocked and Transit Developing Countries, the political declaration on Africa's development needs, and the New Partnership for Africa's Development. We recall as well our commitments in the **outcomes of all the major United Nations conferences and summits** in the economic, social and environmental fields, including the United Nations Millennium Declaration, the 2005 World Summit Outcome, the Monterrey Consensus of the International Conference on Financing for Development, the Doha Declaration on Financing for Development, the outcome document of the High-level Plenary Meeting of the General Assembly on the Millennium Development Goals, the Programme of Action of the International Conference on Population and Development, **the key actions for the further implementation of the Programme of Action of the International Conference on Population and Development** and the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action." (Op. cite. Rio+ p. 2-3)

One will note that there are declarations and meetings that the gathering at the Rio committed to "fully implement" that are not commented on in this work. However, we have seen quite enough so far to deeply concern any one who wants to live in a free society, own private land, and make a profit based on successfulness of work and investment.

One writer says, "Concerned analysts, for example, noted that the document reiterates support **for numerous controversial principles including attacks on national sovereignty, private-property rights, and what remains of the free market.**" (Alex Newman, "At UN Rio+20, World Governments agree on 'The Future We Want'", New American, July 2, 2012, <http://thenewamerican.com/rio-20/item/11929...>)

It is important to note how **commitments include all major UN conferences** and summits. We will see later how this is progressing from this same Rio+20 website.

Remember, we are making the case that every "agreed decision" by any "Party" to any of these meetings is establishing a legal basis to implement a legally binding internationally enforceable Climate Change Regime on the basis of International Law.

The US was a participant in this Rio+20 conference and affirmed its conclusions.

U.S. Secretary of State [Hillary Clinton](#), for instance, "praised today Brazil's leadership in negotiating the outcome document of the Rio +20 Summit and said the final text represents a major breakthrough." (Hillary Clinton Highlights Leadership at Rio +20, AllVoices, June, 23, 2012, <http://www.allvoices.com/contributed-news/12444062->)

"In her speech at the last session of the UN Conference on Sustainable Development (Rio +20), Clinton said that "the only way to deliver lasting progress for everyone is by preserving our resources and protecting our common environment." (*Ibid.*)

Therefore when "The Future We Want" document states in I. Our Common vision, states, "We, the Heads of State and Government and high-level representatives, having met at Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, from 20 to 22 June 2012, with the full participation of civil society, renew our commitment to sustainable development and to ensuring the promotion of an economically, socially and environmentally sustainable future for our planet and for present and future generations", the USA is included. (Op. cite. Rio+ p. 1)

Hillary affirmed "the **institutional framework for sustainable development**" (Ibid. par. 12) She committed the U.S. to "reinvigorate political will and to **raise the level of commitment by the international community to move the sustainable development agenda forward, through the achievement of the internationally agreed development goals**, including the Millennium Development Goals," as well as, "commitments to **other relevant internationally agreed goals** in the economic, social and environmental fields since 1992." (Ibid. par. 18)

Hillary committed the U.S. to acknowledging, "that **climate change is a cross-cutting and persistent crisis** and express our concern that the scale and gravity of the **negative impacts of climate change affect all countries** and undermine the ability of all countries, in particular, developing countries, to achieve sustainable development and the Millennium Development Goals and **threaten the viability and survival of nations**. Therefore we underscore that **combating climate change requires urgent and ambitious action, in accordance with the principles and provisions of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.**" (Ibid. par. 25)

Hillary, as the Secretary of State and the third highest ranking person in our nation, speaks legally for us when she recognizes, "**that planet Earth and its ecosystems are our home and that "Mother Earth" is a common expression in a number of countries and regions, and we note that some countries recognize the rights of nature in the context of the promotion of sustainable development**. We are convinced that in order to achieve a just balance among the economic, social and environmental needs of present and future generations, **it is necessary to promote harmony with nature**. 40. We call for holistic and integrated approaches to sustainable development that will guide **humanity to live in harmony with nature and lead to efforts to restore the health and integrity of the Earth's ecosystem.**" (Ibid. pars.39, 40)

When Hillary, affirms, "that policies **for green economy in the context of sustainable development** and poverty eradication should be guided by and in accordance with all the Rio Principles, **Agenda 21** and the Johannesburg Plan of Implementation and **contribute towards achieving relevant internationally agreed development goals**" **she speaks for this nation**. (Ibid. par 57)

Every freedom loving, patriotic, advocate for our national sovereignty and Founding Fathers form constitutional government should get concerned when Hillary, reaffirms, "the need to strengthen international environmental governance within the context of the institutional framework for sustainable development...". (Ibid. par. 87)

Every red blooded citizen of the USA should be on red alert when Hillary agrees that, "We are **committed to strengthening the role of the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) as the leading global environmental authority that sets the global environmental agenda, promotes the coherent implementation of the environmental dimension of sustainable development within the United Nations system and serves as an authoritative advocate for the global environment**. We reaffirm resolution 2997 (XXVII) of 15 December 1972 which established UNEP and other relevant resolutions that reinforce its mandate, as well as the 1997 Nairobi Declaration on the Role and Mandate of UNEP and the 2000 Malmö Ministerial Declaration. In this regard, we invite the General Assembly, at its sixty-seventh session, to adopt a resolution strengthening and upgrading UNEP in the following manner:

- (a) **Establish universal membership in the Governing Council of UNEP, as well as other measures to strengthen its governance** as well its responsiveness and accountability to Member States;
- (b) Have secure, stable, adequate and increased financial resources from the regular budget of the United Nations and voluntary contributions to fulfill its mandate;
- (c) **Enhance the voice of UNEP and its ability to fulfill its coordination mandate within the United Nations system by strengthening UNEP engagement in key United Nations coordination bodies and empowering UNEP to lead efforts to formulate United Nations system-wide strategies on the environment;**"(Ibid. par.88)

Hillary has even affirmed, **"We are convinced that action on the social and environmental determinants of health, both for the poor and the vulnerable and for the entire population, is important to create inclusive, equitable, economically productive and healthy societies. We call for the full realization of the right to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of physical and mental health.**

139. **We also recognize the importance of universal health coverage to enhancing health,** social cohesion and sustainable human and economic development. We pledge to strengthen health systems towards the provision of equitable universal coverage. We call for the involvement of all relevant actors for coordinated multi-sectoral action to address **urgently the health needs of the world's population.**

141. ...We commit to **strengthen health systems towards the provision of equitable, universal coverage** and promote affordable access to prevention, treatment, care and support related to non-communicable diseases, especially cancer, cardiovascular diseases, chronic respiratory diseases and diabetes." (Ibid. pars 138, 139, 141)

We will revisit this **commitment of the US to be involved in global universal healthcare when we look at Obama's Global Health Care Initiative and Obama Care.**

Hillary committed the USA to promote and protect effectively the human rights **and fundamental freedom of all migrants regardless of migration status**, especially those of women and children, and to address international migration through international, regional or bilateral cooperation and dialogue and a comprehensive and balanced approach, recognizing the roles and responsibilities of countries of origin, transit and destination in promoting and protecting the human rights of all migrants, and avoiding approaches that might aggravate their vulnerability." (Ibid. par 157)

Hillary committed the USA to a great deal more that we have discussed so far. The following is just the outline of **The Future We Want**

I. Our Common Vision

II. Renewing Political Commitment

A. Reaffirming Rio Principles and past action plans

B. Advancing Integration, Implementation, and Coherence:

Assessing the progress to date and the remaining gaps in the implementation of the outcomes of the major summits on sustainable development and addressing new emerging challenges

C. Engaging major groups and other stakeholders

III. Green economy in the context of sustainable development and poverty

Eradication

IV. Institutional framework for sustainable development

- A. Strengthening the three dimensions of sustainable development**
- B. Strengthening intergovernmental arrangements for sustainable Development**

General assembly

Economic and Social Council

High level political forum

- C. Environmental pillar in the context of sustainable development**

- D. International financial institutions and UN operational activities**

- E. Regional, national, sub-national, local**

V. Framework for action and follow-up

- A. Thematic areas and cross-sectoral issues**

Poverty eradication

Food security and nutrition and sustainable agriculture

Water Sanitation (Clean water Act EPA Regs)

Energy (Relates to EPA rulings)

Sustainable tourism

Sustainable transport (EPA regs on cars and trucks)

Sustainable cities and human settlements (all over US)

Health and population (relates to Obama Global Health plans)

Promoting full and productive employment, decent work for all, and social protections (Stimulus, QE1,2,3)

Oceans and seas (relates to LOST treaty and U.S. involvement)

Small island developing states (SIDS)

Least developed countries (Funds leaving the US)

Landlocked and least developed countries

Africa (funds and technology leaving US)

Regional efforts

Disaster relief (Funds leaving the US)

Climate Change (EPA regulation)

Here, Hillary committed the USA to "build upon the progress achieved, including at the seventeenth session of the Conference of the Parties to the Convention and the seventh session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol, held in Durban, South Africa, from 28 November to 9 December 2011." (A Legally binding instrument)

Forests (US restrictions on harvesting)

Biodiversity (Environmental regulations)

Desertification, land degradation and drought (EPA regs)

Mountains (Government lands)

Chemicals and waste (Clean water Act, Clean Air act

Sustainable consumption and production (US Regulations)

Mining (EPA restrictions, and regulations)

Education (Agenda 21 indoctrination)

Gender equality and women's empowerment (Acts and EO's)

B. Sustainable development goals

We must see two paragraphs that Hillary agreed to in this heading.

The first paragraph resolves to establish, "An open working group shall be constituted no later than at the opening of the sixty-seventh session of the Assembly and shall comprise 30 representatives, nominated by Member States from the five United Nations regional groups, with the aim of achieving fair, equitable and balanced geographic representation." (Ibid. p. 43) This group is to prepare a "report for the 68th session of the Assembly containing **a proposal for sustainable development goals** for consideration and appropriate action." The second paragraph states that, "The process needs to be coordinated and coherent **with the processes to consider the post-2015 development agenda.**" (Ibid. par 248, 249)

VI. Means of Implementation

A. Finance (Relates to the billions of dollars leaving the USA)

B. Technology (Transfer of US technology)

C. Capacity Building (US teaching and implementing technology)

D. Trade (Obama's EO's)

E. Registry of commitments (Relates to Obama's EO's on trade)

To put it bluntly, Hillary has acted as the legal representative of the USA and committed this country to a vast majority of decisions that will undermine the sovereignty of this nation and bankrupt it. In the process of Hillary's commitments she has set yet another legal precedent by which this nation can be brought, involuntarily, into bondage and tyranny.

ALL of the reaffirmations of "The Future We Want" builds toward a new legally binding agreement for the world in accordance with the Durban Deal previously discussed.

The Rio+20 website now has a page on the International Covenant on Environment and Development Fourth Edition update which is built on all of the previous UN decisions many of which were reaffirmed **by Rio+ 20 The Future We Want**. We will look at this document shortly but first let us gather some more information.

The Stakeholder Forum for a sustainable future wrote a "Discussion Paper" in which they stated, "The United Nations General Assembly resolution establishing the 2012 RIO+20 Conference, to be held in Brazil, identifies **the international governance for sustainable development (IGSD) as one of the overarching priority thematic issues**". (<http://www.uncsd2012.org/rio20/content/documents/IGSD%20Discussion%20Paper%201-1.pdf>; Feb. 2010)

This group of "stakeholders" does not think that **international sustainable governance mandate has been kept at the fore front of agreements and negotiations**. Therefore, they have "updated the 2007 Stakeholder Forum paper "to respond to the recognition of IGSD in the upcoming Rio+20 process." (Ibid. p. 2)

They bemoan there assertion that **"sustainable development governance was largely absent from the round of UN reform that emanated from the 2005 World Summit Outcome Document"** (Ibid. p. 5) This group proposes a **"Strengthened Sustainable Development Regime"** being implemented through a strengthened

Commission on Sustainable Development (CSD) under the auspices of the General Assembly. They want a "**more robust intergovernmental framework** which would be based on "an open-ended consultative group on international sustainable development governance under the auspices of the General Assembly." (Ibid. p. 6)

These recommendations sound like the Copenhagen Ad Hoc Committees' recommendations, already cited in this document, that recommend a "Government" controlled by the COP." (Op. cit. p. 18)

According to a recent "**Institutional Framework for sustainable development**" report the **UN General Assembly "can translate into legal form the content of summit and conference outcomes**, e.g. the establishment of the CSD after the UNCED." (4/15/2012 "Institutional Framework etc." Rio+20 United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development, <http://www.uncsd2012.org/rio20>)

We have already observed the ongoing **work of the "Legal Committee" of the UN and their work cited above.** This work was moving at a frenzied pace as we neared the **Rio+20 UN Conference.** For example "Panel discussions on the topic, 'The contributions of law to the Rio+20 agenda' was scheduled for April 20, 2012 at conference room 1 (NLB), UNHQ, NEW York. The promo said, "Panel discussions on the topic, "**The contributions of law to the Rio+20 agenda**", chaired by Ms. Irene Khan, Director-General, International Development Law Organization: Panel 1 on to theme, "National best practices in **sustainable development law for the green economy**", chaired by Ambassador Luis Alfonso de Alba (Mexico), and Panel 2 on the theme, "**The contributions of international treaties and tribunals to sustainable development governance**", chaired by Ambassador Palitha T.B. Kohona (Sri Lanka) (co-organized by the Division for Sustainable Development, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, and the International Development Law Organization)" (<http://www.uncsd2012.org/rio20/index.php?page=view&nr=854&type=13&menu=23>)

So far we have seen that the **UN legal committee has added fourth Pillar to the Sustainable Development Climate Change Regime movement of international environmental law.** We have noted early on that **environmental law** suites have been filed many times to impose the will of the few radical environmentalists on the majority, as in the case of the Snail Darter. We have looked at the Obama emphasis on environmental law, and had people like EPA director Lisa Jackson talk about **abiding by "law" but it is not U. S. law.** We will now undertake a brief review of important parts of a legal document that **combines all sustainable development and environmental agreements into one Covenant (Constitution).** The document to which we have reference is the **International Covenant on Environment and Development.**

Rio + 20 Draft International Covenant on Environment and Development

It did not get any fanfare at all but we know that the **International Covenant on Environment and Development (Draft) Fourth Edition: Updated Text, sponsored by the IUCN Environmental Law Programme**" must have come up as a serious topic of discussion at the Rio+20 June 20-22, 2012 meeting. We feel confident in this statement

because as of 9/21/2012 the Rio+20 website has the bolded declaration on the International Covenant above continues with an introductory statement. The introductory statement says, "The **Draft Covenant is a blueprint for an international framework (or umbrella) agreement consolidating and developing existing legal principles related to environment and development.** The intention is that it will remain a living document until as is the hope and expectation of those who have been involved in the project it is **adopted as the basis for multilateral negotiations.**" (www.Unscd2012.org/rio20/index.php...)

One writer obtained a copy of the first edition of **the International Covenant on Environment and Development** and wrote an article titled "New Treaty In the Making". He says, "**Although Agenda 21 is a soft-law document, it was, from the start, intended to be the precursor of an all-encompassing UN Treaty.** The most recent iteration of that treaty has now been obtained and reviewed. It is called, in its present form, "Draft International Covenant on Environment and Development." It is organized into 11 parts, containing a total of 72 Articles. It will convert the "soft-law" recommendations of *Agenda 21*, into legally binding "hard" international law." (New Treaty in the Making, <http://www.sovereignty.net/p/sd/covenant.htm>)

This writer believes that the **Draft International Covenant on Environment and Development: Fourth Edition (ICED)** is unquestionably **what the vast majority of the Parties to the UNFCCC want to put in place with new revisions** "as soon as possible but no later than 2015" so that it will be in "full force by 2020" in view of the December 2011 Durban Deal vote. (Op. cite Durban deal)

There has been a plan to use this (ICED) document as "framework treaty bridging the sectors of environment and development" since 1995 when the "Draft Covenant on Environment and Development" was launched at the United Nations Congress on Public International Law." (http://www.i-c-e-l.org/english/EPLP31EN_rev2.pdf ICUN Environmental Law Programme, p. xiii)

We remind everyone **we have just documented Hillary Clinton's affirmation** of the Rio+ 20 final draft "The Future We Want" in which she specifically committed to **"international environmental governance within the context of the institutional framework for sustainable development"** with the UNEP setting the "global environmental agenda".(Op. cite. Future, par 87, 88)

The "**Foreword to the third edition**" of the ICED states that "**the Draft Covenant is a blueprint for an international framework (or umbrella) agreement consolidating and developing existing legal principles related to environment and development.** The intention is that it will **remain a "living document" until... it is adopted** as a basis for multilateral negotiations." (Op. cite. Covenant 3rd edition p. xiii.)

We take note that this is the identical wording found on the Rio+20 website

It is essential to understand that **the ICED is a highly technical legal document drawn up by the IUCN Commission on Environmental Law (CEL) and the International Council of Environmental Law (ICEL)** . The third edition of the ICED was put together by "**a small meeting of experts** from 10 to 11 March 2003 in Bonn, at the IUCN Environmental Law Centre." This group was gathered to update the second edition of the ICED with, "**special care**" being taken "**to update it with respect to the 'social and economic pillars'** and thereby avoid falling into the trap of concentrating solely on the 'environmental pillar'". Ibid.)

Thanks is given at the end of the "Foreword to the third edition" to "the **UN for enabling members of the UN Secretariat, in particular the Office of Legal Affairs, to participate actively in the review.**" (Ibid. p. xi)

The Foreword the fourth edition of the says "Over the six years since the third edition was prepared, the Draft Covenant has continued to serve as an authoritative reference and checklist for legislators, civil servants and other stakeholders worldwide in their endeavours to ensure that principles and rules of international environmental law and development are thoroughly addressed when they are drafting new, or updating existing, policies and laws. The ever-greater consideration of the environment at the highest political levels is a welcome sign of the role that environmental law and policy has in maintaining international peace and security." (Environmental Policy and Law Paper No. 31.Rev.3 [www.unsced2012.org/content documents/IUCN..](http://www.unsced2012.org/content/documents/IUCN..))

In light of the above statement on "drafting new or updating policies and laws" we feel confident that this document is the "Law" that Lisa Jackson, Director of the EPA, was referring to in her regulatory decisions that are destroying the coal industry and crippling the USA.

Intentional planned deception stated in ICED Foreword

A great deal can be gained from just reading the foreword to each edition of the ICED.

To understand the plan of taking this ICED "covenant", which is "soft law", and making it legally binding, which is "hard law", one needs only to read the "Foreword" to the first edition where there is a discussion about how the previous agreements concerning environment and development were not legally binding. They say that the Stockholm Declaration on Human Environment (1972), The World Charter for Nature (1982), and the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development (1992) contained widely agreed upon principles but **there was no way to force their implementation on the world because, "none of them" have an article that binds all parties like "Article 192 of the Law of the Sea Convention."** (Ibid. p. xvii)

The committee discusses how to trick people into a legally binding instrument by moving voluntary covenants into legally binding law. They write, "The progression of legal principles from recommendatory "soft" to legally clear "hard" is well known in international law. For example, the 1948 Universal Declaration of human Rights, a "soft law" instrument was the precursor to the 1966 UN Covenants on Human Rights. Those treaties elaborated in legally-binding form the principles enunciated in "soft-law" form in 1948." (Ibid.) This is unquestionably the plan for the ICED as the following quote reveals.

"This rather extensive introduction to the Draft Covenant was deliberate. It was meant to highlight the extraordinary reach and scope of this effort. CEL's objective is not only to restate or codify existing environmental law, but to assist the evolution of "soft law" into binding law. CEL has tried to be practical and realistic: it always has been mindful of the limitations inherent in the intergovernmental negotiating process and determined to produce a draft which has a reasonable chance of being accepted by States." (Ibid. p. xxi)

These quotes state so clearly the purpose and planned intended outcome of the ICED that nothing more needs to be said on that issue.

The Draft International Covenant on Environment was updated again and the **Forth Edition updated text is available on the Rio+20 website as we have cited above.**

Contributors to the work are cumulative. **The US contributors are:**

Richard A. Baer, Jr. (United States) - Professor, Department of Natural Resources, Cornell University
Lynton Caldwell (United States) - Professor Emeritus of Political Science and Professor of Public and Environmental Affairs, University of Indiana

J. Baird Callicott (United States) - Professor, University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point

J. Ronald Engel (United States) - Professor, Meadville Theological School; Chair of the IUCN Ethics Work Group

Richard Falk (United States) - Professor, Princeton University

Vinio Floris (United States) - Member of International Ethics Association

William Gibson (United States) - Staff Associate, ECO-Justice Project and Network

Kristina Gjerde (United States) - High Seas Policy Advisor, IUCN Global Marine Programme

David Lyons (United States) - Professor of Law and Philosophy, Cornell University

Daniel Magraw (United States) - Associate General Counsel for International Activities, United States Environmental Protection Agency

Stephen McCaffrey (United States) - Professor, University of the Pacific, M

Richard J. McNeil (United States) - Professor, New York State College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Cornell University; Member of IUCN Ethics Working Group

Natasha Minsker (United States) - Department of Natural Resources, Cornell University

George Rabb (United States) - Chairman of the IUCN Species Survival Commission

Nicholas Robinson (United States) - University Professor for the Environment and Co-Director, Center for Environmental Legal Studies, Pace University; Former Chair of IUCN Commission on Environmental Law

Stephen Rockefeller (United States) - Professor, Middlebury College

Dinah Shelton (United States) - Professor of International Law, The George Washington University Law School; Member of the Inter-American Human Rights Commission, Organization of American States

Douglas Sturm (United States) - Professor of Religion, Bucknell University

Arthur Westing (United States) - Westing Associates in Environment, Security and Education

John Williams (United States) - Former Director of IUCN Social Policy Service

Nicholas Yost (United States) - Attorney, Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal

(<http://www.uncsd2012.org/content/documents/IUCN%20Intl%20Covenant%20on%20Env%20and%20Dev%20EPLP-031-rev3.pdf>)

The Draft International Covenant on Environment and Development (ICED) 4th edition is laid out in two primary parts after thirty one small Roman numeral numbered introductory pages. The first part contains the plain full text of the ICED without legal commentary. The second part contains the plain full text of the ICED **with legal commentary.**

It is obvious that more than one book can be written on this 4th edition, as it includes legal commentary and totals about 240 printed pages. Every interested party should read the ICED 4th Edition to get more detail.

By the **way more people should be interested in the document which will become the constitution of the Climate Change Regime since we will all be living under its laws and rules if it is not stopped.**

There are a number of reasons why the Draft Covenant was necessary listed in the introduction to the ICED text here are some of them.

The reasons why a Draft Covenant is necessary are evident:

1. to provide the legal framework to support the further integration of the various aspects of environment and development;
2. to create an **agreed single set of fundamental principles** like a “code of conduct”, as **used in many civil law, socialist, and theocratic traditions**, which may guide States, intergovernmental organizations, and individuals;
3. to **consolidate into a single juridical framework the vast body of widely accepted, but disparate principles, of “soft law” on environment and development (many of which are now declaratory of customary international law)**;
4. to facilitate institutional and other **linkages to be made between existing treaties** and their implementation;
5. to **reinforce the consensus on basic legal norms, both internationally, where not all States are party to all environmental treaties**, even though the principles embodied in them are universally subscribed to, and nationally, where administrative jurisdiction is often fragmented among diverse agencies and the legislation still has gaps;
6. to **fill in gaps in international law, by placing in a global context principles** which only appear in certain places and by adding matters which are of fundamental importance but **which are not in any universal treaty**;
7. to help level the **playing field for international trade by minimizing** the likelihood of non-tariff barriers based on vastly differing environmental and developmental policies;
8. to save on scarce resources and diplomatic time by **consolidating in one single instrument norms, which thereafter can be incorporated by reference into future agreements, thereby eliminating unnecessary reformulation and repetition**, unless such reformulation is considered necessary; and
9. to **lay out a common basis upon which future lawmaking efforts might be developed.** (Ibid. p. xviii)

After the reasons is this explanatory paragraph.

"Agenda 21 elaborated the “**vital aspects**” of treaty-making in Chapter 39. There is a need to identify and agree on “universal principles,” to “**set priorities for future lawmaking at the global, regional and sub- regional level**,” to ensure that “trade policy measures for environmental purposes do not emerge as a disguised restriction on international trade,” and to identify ways to minimize or resolve conflicts between “environmental and social/economic agreements or instruments.”

For our purposes, the following headings are from 4th Edition ICED.

Preamble

The **Preamble** reads like most other UN resolutions in that it has the usual phraseology of "recognizing" this "conscious" of that, "mindful" of one thing, "affirming" another, and "acknowledging" yet another, which culminates with "**Agree as follows:**" (Ibid. p. 2) Here is part of the Preamble.

Parties to this Covenant:

Recognizing the unity of the biosphere and the interdependence of all its components; (This is the theological teaching of "wholism" also included in the "Gaia" principle espoused by Gore, Lovelock and others.)

Conscious that humanity is a part of nature and that all life depends on the functioning of natural systems which ensure the supply of energy and nutrients; (Once again this is Gaian theology.)

Convinced that living in harmony with nature is a prerequisite for sustainable development, **because civilization is rooted in nature**, which shapes human culture and inspires artistic and scientific achievement;

(This statement is patently false civilization is rooted in societies that placed mankind in a place of authority and stewardship over nature.)

Sharing the belief that humanity currently stands at a decisive point in history, which calls for a global partnership to achieve sustainable development;

Concerned that the stresses on the Earth have diminished its capacity to support sustainable development; (Earth has recovered from much greater disasters than anything we are now facing quite well)

Mindful of the increasing degradation of the global environment and deterioration and depletion of natural resources, owing to unsustainable consumption, rising population pressures, poverty, pollution, and armed conflict;

Recognizing the need to integrate environmental and developmental policies and laws in order to fulfil basic human needs, improve the quality of life, and ensure a secure future for all;

Aware that respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms, including non-discriminatory access to basic services, is essential to the achievement of sustainable development; (the preamble continues but these points illustrate standard UN lingo at the beginning of various adopted decisions)

Articles of the International Covenant on Environment and Development

Part I. OBJECTIVE Article 1: "Objective" is straightforward enough and reads "This Covenant **provides a comprehensive legal framework with the aim of achieving environmental conservation, an indispensable foundation for sustainable development**". (Ibid.)

Every intelligent person should advocate "environmental conservation" and that has not been the problem especially in the USA. However, in the explanation of how this Part I is to be interpreted, it reveals how **legally strict each word is used** throughout this document. It states, "The stated objective of the Covenant **emphasizes the indivisibility of "environmental conservation" and "sustainable development", as articulated in the documents adopted at UNCED. The use of the singular- "objective" rather than "objectives" - reinforces the indivisibility of the two concepts.**" (Ibid. p. 37)

This "Objective" of the ICED is affirmed, and committed to multiple times in the officially adopted version of the Rio+20 'The Future We Want', see the entire section **IV. Institutional framework for sustainable development** paragraphs 75, 76, 87, 88

Part II. FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES, this section is also straight forward stating that, "Parties **shall cooperate, in a global partnership, and shall be guided, inter alia, by the following fundamental principles.**" (Ibid. p. 2)

Once, again the legal commentary on this Part II is revealing. It states that these "Fundamental Principles", "reflect **international consensus, contained in legal texts adopted since the founding of the United Nations**". Although the "precautionary principle" is given its emphasis later in Article 7, we are told that **the entire "Part II. Fundamental Principles" is to be interpreted based on "the precautionary principle and on the principles that preventive action should be taken**, that environmental

damage should as a priority be rectified at source **and that the polluter should pay**". (Ibid. p. 38)

One should be reminded here that naturally occurring gases like CO₂, and methane, are included as pollutants by the IPCC.

We should remind every one that the precautionary principle does not require scientific proof at all in that there can actually be, "an absence of scientific consensus" and "the burden of proof that it is *not* harmful falls on those taking the action." (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Precautionary_approach)

ARTICLE 2: RESPECT FOR ALL LIFE FORMS reads thusly: "Nature as a whole and all life forms warrant respect and are to be safeguarded. The integrity of the Earth's ecological systems shall be maintained and where necessary restored." How this is used legally is expressed as: "**Humans are not viewed as apart from or above the natural universe, but as linked and interdependent part of it.** It follows that because **all parts of the natural web are linked, they must all be protected and conserved.**" (op., cite, p.38)

This is the theology of GAIA which states virtually the same thing as this article. The Christian worldview that **mankind is superior as a sentient** being capable of developing a civilized society is thrown out the window. With this article **fully in force eating any kind of meat could become a capitol offense because every animal has the same value as a human.**

ARTICLE 3: COMMON CONCERN OF HUMANITY states, "The **global environment is a common concern of humanity and under the protection of the principles of international law**, the dictates of the public conscience and the fundamental values of humanity." (Ibid. p. 3)

This is to be understood and interpreted legally as, "The conclusion that the global environment is **a matter of "common concern"** implies that it **can no longer be considered as solely within the domestic jurisdiction of States** due to its global importance and **consequences for all**. It also expresses a **shift from classical treaty-making notions of reciprocity and material advantage, to action in the long-term interests of humanity.**" The statements informs us that, "The Draft Covenant is **the first international treaty to declare the global environment as such a "common concern"**." (Ibid. p. 40)

This article, **when fully implemented, destroys all national sovereignty and places every person under the same Climate Change Rules Based Regime.**

ARTICLE 4: INTERDEPENDENT VALUES proclaims that, "Peace, development, environmental conservation **and respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms are indivisible, interrelated and interdependent, and constitute the foundation of a sustainable world.**" (Ibid. p. 41)

The legal interpretation says, "Article 4 brings together various international precedents, recognizing that all four of the subjects mentioned form an indivisible whole." (Ibid.)

The final sentence of this article is a good legal summation, "To achieve the objective of the Draft Covenant, the **Parties must recognize the indivisibility of and need to fully apply international rules for the protection of human rights,**

prevention and limitation of armed conflicts, protection of the environment and achievement of development." (Ibid. p. 43)

We take note that the Rio+20 "The Future We Want" officially adopted language that agrees with Article 4 here in paragraphs 8 and 9. (Op. cite. Future p. 1)

ARTICLE 5: EQUITY AND JUSTICE states: **"Equity and justice shall guide all decisions affecting the environment and shall oblige each generation to qualify its environmental conduct by anticipating the needs of future generations. This is to be interpreted as, "an essential foundation of all international law relating to environmental protection and to the concept of sustainable development. Intra-generational equity is encompassed herein, a concept also known as environmental justice."** (Op. cite. ICED. p. 43)

Notice that even here, speaking of equity and justice" **"decisions affecting the environment" is placed central**, which in itself would preclude the centrality of mankind.

ARTICLE 6: PREVENTION This article states: **"Prevention of environmental harm is a duty and shall have priority over remedial measures. The costs of pollution prevention, control and reduction measures are to be borne by the originator."**

The commentary on this article says it, "expresses a principle fundamental to environmental protection, the preventive approach, which is applicable to all actors wherever the consequences of their actions may be felt." (Ibid. p. 45)

This Article, which would require "Environmental Impact assessments including trans-boundary assessments", application and use of best technology etc. is already in place in all Annex I, II countries and being implemented in Annex III countries.

This would include the principle the preventative measure of the **transfer of technology to undeveloped** countries from developed countries to undeveloped countries, the cost of which is borne by the **Annex I developed countries**.

A second emphasis of this article 6 is **"the polluter pays' principle**, but uses **"originator" to make it clear that it encompasses potential as well as actual environmental harm.** Similar provisions can be found in several global and regional texts." (Ibid. 48)

It should be made clear that **"a framework for consideration of potential consequences has been established through decisions 15/CMP.1, 27/CMP.1, and 31/CMP.1.** These decisions were reconsidered, revised and expanded by Decision 5/CMP.7, **and adopted at the November 28- December 11 meeting in Durban, So Africa.** (FCCC/KP/CMP/2011/10/Add.1 Mar 15, 2012)

Everyone should take a step back, clear their head and eyes and read that statement again. The Preventive principle includes **"POTENTIAL" environmental harm!**

If person, business, city, county, state, or nation **is accused of harming the environment they must pay for the damage because it is "POTENTIALLY"** possible even if they can prove that it did not happen after the accusation!!

The reasoning for this ludicrous wording comes from a conclusion drawn from the Gabčíkovo-Nagymaros Case. According to the line of reasoning, "the court stated that it was **'mindful that, in the field of environmental protection, vigilance and**

prevention are required on account of the often irreversible character of damage to the environment and of the limitations inherent in the very mechanism of reparation of this kind of damage." (Ibid. p. 48)

With this kind of reasoning and restriction any farmer, whether plowing land or not, could **get sued for dust in the air** by someone miles away when the dust could have just been a dust storm caused by only wind blowing. The **farmer would then have to pay for damages he or she were not responsible for because the POTENTIAL was there!**

Just in case any wondered about it, in light of what the House of Representatives **believed to be a real threat that the EPA would actually implement "farm dust regulations"** they passed **H.R. 1633 Farm Dust Regulation Prevention Act of 2011** December 8, 2011. This bill passed the house with bipartisan support but **has not been passed by the Democrat controlled Senate**. (<http://www.govtrack.us/congress/votes/112-2011/h912>)

Who would use necessary pesticides under these circumstances. Even though the pesticide does not affect humans someone could **POTENTIALLY** have a reaction to it.

Who would have shell fish in a restaurant when someone might **potentially** have a reaction?

Who would make any drug when someone could have a **potential reaction** to it?

The next article and the current one go hand in hand.

ARTICLE 7: PRECAUTION says "**Precaution is a duty. Accordingly, even in the absence of scientific certainty, appropriate action shall be taken to anticipate, prevent and monitor the risks of serious or irreversible environmental harm.**

While Article 6 Prevention needs no scientific evidence whatsoever this approach "is designed to apply where there is some evidence that an activity might cause harm to the environment, but full scientific certainty is lacking." (Ibid.)

Here is another statement to enhance our understanding. "**By focusing on the risk of harm, the precautionary approach seeks to anticipate harm** that may be serious or irremediable. Once a risk is identified, action will vary according to the magnitude of the risk (probability of the event coupled with the severity of the consequences) and may require temporary or permanent restrictions." (Ibid. p. 50)

This Article which, "international instruments widely refer to and have developed", **is based on other UN decisions**. The "precautionary principle" is why it makes no difference **if 10 thousand readings and experiments prove that, the seas are not rising, the world is not warming, and extra CO2 is not the cause of anything other than healthy plants**. There will always be some scientist who either gets paid or is part of the plan who will pretend that climate fluctuations are caused by mankind.

These last two articles of this **Covenant/Constitution for the Climate Change Rules based Regime** remove any doubt in the mind of this author as to the scientific credibility of this world government body. The goal here can be nothing less than intimidation and control through fear of prosecution.

ARTICLE 8: PROPORTIONALITY says, "Among reasonable alternatives for action, preference shall be given to the alternative least harmful to the environment." (Ibid. p. 51)

This article actually makes sense, except that it is based entirely on perceived dangers to the environment rather than actual dangers. Furthermore, the economic impact of regulations and returning the entire world to a pre-industrialized agrarian society does not seem to enter the picture at all.

ARTICLE 9: RESILIENCE states: "**The capacity of natural systems and human communities to withstand and recover from environmental disturbances and stresses is limited, and shall be sustained or restored as fully as possible.**" (Ibid. p. 52)

We are also told, "Because of the **danger of irreversible**, sudden changes, the resilience of natural systems and the human communities that depend upon, them must be a priority." (Ibid.)

We should say that certain environmental have continued to proclaim vast devastation from global cooling would occur. When that didn't happen they said it was global warming. Still others said we would have a vast number of deaths caused by the swine flu, avian flu, or a new strain of the Asian flu, or Ebola. From the beginning of the Climate Change Regime there have been warnings of the earth reaching a "tipping point" from which there is no return.

There is **no question that events have happened in earth's past that have caused mass extinctions. There are even ancient records from various cultures, the Bible has the most clear account, that describe a world wide flood that caused vast devastation.** (ncienthistory.about.com/od/floodmyth/ Flood_Myth.htm)

Whatever that cause of devastation in the past the world and human kind were not destroyed. Therefore, this world itself is very resilient.

Is every one familiar with the story of Chicken little? YouTube has several video if you are not familiar with it. Basically, the YouTube Disney version goes like this. There is a fox who wants to eat **the chickens but they are safe behind a big fence in the Barn yard**. The fox looks for the most gullible bird available and spots Chicken Little. The fox slows smoke on Chicken little through a knot hole, fakes thunder and rain then throws a piece of wood over the fence hitting Chicken Little on the head. He pretends to be the voice of God and tells Chicken Little "the sky is falling" causing him to run hysterically through the barn yard screaming "the sky is falling". This, is of course a really Big Lie because people fall for that easier than a small one. When the real leader, Roster Cocky Lockey, looks at what hit Chicken Little he declares it is only a piece of wood and there is nothing to be afraid of. Second, Fox reads in his psychology book that **he must undermine the faith of the masses** in their leadership, so he whispers through a knot hole to the chicken house that Cocky Lockey might be wrong, then, sounding authoritative he gives his opinion that he shows definite totalitarian tendencies, then he whispers to the ducks that Cocky Lockey has been hitting the mash (getting drunk) and his brain is pickled. That information starts the gossip defamation campaign in earnest. Fox reads once again in his psychology book that, by the use of flattery insignificant people can be made to look upon themselves as born leaders. With this knowledge, he whispers to Chicken Little again. He says, "now's your chance kid they'll listen to you

now. You were born to be a leader." Finally, Chicken Little with his new found self confidence calls everybody to listen to him as their new leader. The chickens follow Chicken Little out of the safe barn yard over Cocky Lockey's objections to a cave where the Fox, who hatched the scheme in the first place, blocks them in and has a feast.

Anyone who listens to the, "sky is falling garbage, debunked IPCC science" is headed toward a path to the death of all industrialized economies as we know them.

The absurd restrictions on business, oil drilling, gas fracking, nuclear power, hydro electric dams and the emphasis on a low to no carbon based society, is a planned implosion of the world economy. This plan will result in the very thing it pretends to be averting, mass poverty and mass starvation resulting in reducing the world population which was the plan all along!

ARTICLE 10: RIGHT TO DEVELOPMENT reads: **"The right to development is universal and inalienable and entails the obligation to meet environmental, as well as social and economic needs of humanity in a sustainable and equitable manner."** Article 10 sets forth the fundamental principle that the right to development necessitates environmental protection and global equity, a theme affirmed at UNCED and reaffirmed at the WSSD." (*Ibid.* p. 52)

This article related back to articles 3, 4, and 5.

ARTICLE 11: ERADICATION OF POVERTY says, **" The eradication of poverty, which necessitates a global partnership, is indispensable for sustainable development. Enhancing the quality of life for all humanity and reducing disparities in standards of living are essential to a just society."**

"The specific measures required of Parties to implement this principle are found in Article 31" (*Ibid.* p. 54)

A large part of the **Rio+ 20 "Future We Want"** is dedicated to this article but specifically the part III. "Green economy in the context of sustainable development and poverty eradication" paragraphs 56-74 will verify the point. (Op. cite. Future, pp 8-12)

ARTICLE 12: COMMON BUT DIFFERENTIATED RESPONSIBILITIES says, **"States shall meet their duties in accordance with their common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities."** (*Ibid.*)

This is explained as, "The concept or principle of common but differentiated responsibilities comprises two elements: common responsibilities and differentiated responsibilities. The first stems from the interdependent nature of the biosphere and the consequent necessary recognition of a global partnership to maintain it. Common responsibilities such as **the duty to cooperate and to participate actively in the development of international law and policy concerning sustainable development** thus stem from an understanding of the environment as the common concern of humankind." (*Ibid.*)

This may come as a shock to some but these legal experts on UN treaties and decisions say, "The concept of common but differentiated responsibility has been incorporated in all global environmental conventions adopted since the end of the 1980s." (*Ibid.* p.55) (They are correct in this assessment.)

On this basis the USA **who has been declared the biggest Annex I polluter** on the planet will be forced to pay for CO₂, Methane, NOS and any other naturally occurring atmospheric gases because the UNFCCC has declared that they are green house gases and our own EPA has agreed with the IPCC fraud science.

While **China surpassed the US in CO₂ emissions, it is still considered a "developing Annex III country"** and is permitted the emissions without having to pay like the USA. This is also true of other growing Annex II countries with growing economies like India, and Brazil.

PART III. GENERAL OBLIGATIONS

ARTICLE 13: STATES This heading reads:

1. States have, in accordance with the **Charter of the United Nations** and the principles of international law, the sovereign right to utilize their resources to meet their environmental and developmental needs, and **the duty to ensure that activities within their jurisdiction or control respect the environment of other States or of areas beyond the limits of national jurisdiction.**

2. States have the **right and the duty, in accordance with the Charter of the United Nations and principles of international law, to take lawful action to protect the environment** under their jurisdiction from significant harm caused by activities outside their national jurisdiction. If such harm occurs, they are entitled to appropriate and effective remedies. **This statement would require nations to take legal actions against others who do not comply.**

3. States shall take all appropriate measures to avoid wasteful use of natural resources and ensure the sustainable use of renewable resources." (Ibid.)

The last statement makes compliance with environmental declarations by the IPCC mandatory not optional within national boundaries.

ARTICLE 14: physical and Legal PERSONS

This heading declares, "**the right of all persons** to live in an ecologically sound environment adequate for their development, health, well-being and dignity." Furthermore, it gives the "**right**" to demand access to environmental information as well as the "right to judicial procedures, **including for redress and remedies to challenge acts** or omissions by private persons or public authorities, **which contravene national or international environmental law.**" (Ibid.)

This means that **any earth worshipper can sue their neighbor, city, state, or nation on the basis of international environmental law** which would be tried in international courts, destroying any hint of national sovereignty or hope of due process.

ARTICLE 15: INDIGENOUS PEOPLES

This statement says that, "Indigenous Peoples shall have a collective right to protection of the environment, including their lands, territories and resources, as distinct peoples in accordance with their traditions and customs." (Ibid.)

ARTICLE 16: INTEGRATED POLICIES

This article obligates the Parties to pursue policies that adhere to UN and UNFCCC, Agenda 21 guidelines both nationally and internationally

ARTICLE 17: TRANSFER OR TRANSFORMATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL HARM

Parties shall not resolve their environmental problems by transferring, directly or indirectly, harm or hazards from one area or medium to another or transforming one type of environmental harm to another.

ARTICLE 18: EMERGENCIES

This Article states the expected practice of notifying anyone who might be affected by an environmental hazard leak and being responsible for and taking measures to prevent them as well as making reparations when they occur.

Part IV. OBLIGATIONS RELATING TO NATURAL SYSTEMS AND RE SOURCES

ARTICLE 19: STRATOSPHERIC OZONE

Parties **shall take all appropriate measures** to prevent or restrict human activities which modify or are likely to modify the stratospheric ozone layer in ways that adversely affect human health and the environment.

The USA and most other countries have entered into treaties (Montreal Protocol) that meet the statement requirements

ARTICLE 20: GLOBAL CLIMATE

Parties **shall take precautionary measures to protect the Earth's climate system** and mitigate the adverse effects of climate change. To these ends, they shall cooperate internationally *inter alia* to: (a) Measure their emissions and implement nationally appropriate mitigation actions; And; (b) Establish risk management and implement adaptation measures to enable climate resilient development.

We have pointed out that the USA has chosen to emphasize financially feasible measures rather than the legally binding route of the Kyoto Protocol. This article however, would legally bind the USA to meet the IPCC guidelines for commitments thus destroying national sovereignty.

ARTICLE 21 SOIL

Parties **shall take all appropriate measures** to ensure the conservation and where necessary the regeneration of soils for living systems by taking effective measures to prevent large-scale conversion and soil degradation, to combat desertification, to safeguard the processes of organic decomposition and to promote the continuing fertility of soils.

ARTICLE 22 WATER

"Parties **shall take all appropriate measures to maintain** and restore the quality of all forms of water, including both salt and fresh water, whether contained in the atmosphere, the oceans, in underground aquifers or watercourses such as lakes and rivers to meet basic human needs and as an essential component of aquatic systems. Parties also shall take all appropriate measures, in particular through integrated conservation and management of water resources and appropriate sanitary measures, to ensure the availability of sufficient quantities of water to satisfy basic human needs and to maintain aquatic systems."

The USA has already implemented these guidelines through national law like the Clean Water Act **but not international law because of sovereignty issues.**

ARTICLE 23 ECOSYSTEM SERVICES

Parties **shall take appropriate measures to conserve** and, where necessary and possible, restore natural systems which support life on Earth in all its diversity, and maintain and restore the ecological functions and services of these systems as an essential basis for sustainable development, including, *inter alia*,

(a) forests as natural means to control erosion and floods, and for their role in the climate system;

(b) freshwater wetlands and floodplains as habitat, recharge areas for groundwater, aquifers, floodwater buffers,

ARTICLE 24: ECOSYSTEM APPROACH

This article obligates parties to, "as appropriate, implement their obligations according to relevant principles of the ecosystem approach." (*Ibid.*)

ARTICLE 25: BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY

This article states the law and then gives the details of what Parties are obligated to do. The basic statement reads: (1) Parties **shall take all appropriate measures to conserve biological diversity, including species diversity, genetic diversity** within species, and ecosystem diversity, especially through *in situ* conservation based on the concept of an ecological network; (2) Parties **shall regulate or manage biological resources** with a view to ensuring their conservation, sustainable use, and where necessary and possible, restoration." (*Ibid*)

We have pointed out that the USA is already voluntarily in compliance with these Articles through both Congressional actions and presidential Executive orders going all the way back to Richard Nixon.

ARTICLE 26: CULTURAL AND NATURAL HERITAGE

Part V. OBLIGATIONS RELATING TO PROCESSES AND ACTIVITIES

ARTICLE 27: PREVENTION OF HARM

ARTICLE 28: POLLUTION

ARTICLE 29: WASTE

ARTICLE 30: INTRODUCTION OF ALIEN OR MODIFIED ORGANISMS

Part VI. OBLIGATIONS RELATING TO GLOBAL ISSUES

ARTICLE 31: ACTION TO ERADICATE POVERTY

ARTICLE 32: CONSUMPTION AND PRODUCTION PATTERNS Commentary

ARTICLE 33: DEMOGRAPHIC POLICIES Commentary

ARTICLE 34: TRADE AND ENVIRONMENT

ARTICLE 35: TRANSNATIONAL ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES

ARTICLE 36: MILITARY AND HOSTILE ACTIVITIES

Part VII. TRANSBOUNDARY ISSUES

ARTICLE 37: TRANSBOUNDARY ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

ARTICLE 38: PRIOR INFORMED CONSENT

ARTICLE 39: TRANSBOUNDARY NATURAL RESOURCES

ARTICLE 40: ACTION PLANS

ARTICLE 41: PHYSICAL PLANNING

ARTICLE 42: ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

ARTICLE 43: ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS AND CONTROLS

ARTICLE 44: MONITORING OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

ARTICLE 45: CONTINGENCY AND EMERGENCY PLANNING

ARTICLE 46: SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL COOPERATION

ARTICLE 47: DEVELOPMENT AND TRANSFER OF TECHNOLOGY

ARTICLE 48: SHARING BENEFITS OF BIOTECHNOLOGY

ARTICLE 49: INFORMATION AND KNOWLEDGE

ARTICLE 50: EDUCATION, TRAINING AND PUBLIC AWARENESS

ARTICLE 51: NATIONAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES

ARTICLE 52: INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL RESOURCES

Part IX. RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY

ARTICLE 53: STATE RESPONSIBILITY

ARTICLE 54: LIABILITY

ARTICLE 55: RESPONSE MEASURES

ARTICLE 56: INTERNATIONAL AND DOMESTIC REMEDIES

ARTICLE 57: NON-DISCRIMINATION

ARTICLE 58: HARMFUL ACTIVITIES

ARTICLE 59: OFFENSES

ARTICLE 60: CIRCUMSTANCES PRECLUDING WRONGFULNESS

ARTICLE 61: EXCEPTIONS TO LIABILITY

ARTICLE 62: COMPETENT COURT AND APPLICABLE LAW

Part X. APPLICATION AND COMPLIANCE

ARTICLE 63: OTHER TREATIES

ARTICLE 64: STRICTER MEASURES

ARTICLE 65: AREAS BEYOND THE LIMITS OF NATIONAL JURISDICTION

ARTICLE 66: RELATIONS WITH NON-PARTIES

ARTICLE 67: REPORTING

ARTICLE 68: COMPLIANCE AND DISPUTE AVOIDANCE

ARTICLE 69: SETTLEMENT OF DISPUTES

ARTICLE 70: REVIEW CONFERENCE

Part XI. FINAL CLAUSES

ARTICLE 71: AMENDMENT

ARTICLE 72: SIGNATURE

ARTICLE 73: RATIFICATION, ACCEPTANCE OR APPROVAL

ARTICLE 74: ACCESSION

ARTICLE 75: ENTRY INTO FORCE

ARTICLE 76: RESERVATIONS

ARTICLE 77: WITHDRAWALS

ARTICLE 78: DEPOSITARY

ARTICLE 79: AUTHENTIC TEXTS

We could take several hundred pages commenting on each of these headings and the USA participation in them on the basis of other treaties which we have already ratified and implemented. It is imperative to emphasize that the major difference between how things have been and this International Covenant on Environment and Development is **that it removes all national sovereignty**, except that specifically granted, and places the government in the hands of the Climate Change Regime. This is exactly what the Ad Hoc committee proposed in its wording draft as we have quoted before.

The UNFCCC itself has declared that it is a Climate Change Regime. They have been working to gain control of the entire world economy. Therefore, for any person in the USA to be working toward implementing these goals, **specifically, a Climate Change Regime "Government" controlled by the COP and the secretariat of the UNFCCC, it is treason!** Whether they be Hillary Clinton, Barak Obama, members of the Cabinet, Republican or Democrat members of Congress, or

environmental groups, to advocate implementation of the Climate Change Regime is treason against the self-governing sovereignty of the Constitutional form of government that the USA is founded upon!

Treason is prosecutable by law!

First, let us consider what constitutes treasonous Acts. The US Constitution defines treason under Article 3. Section 3:

Treason against the United States, shall consist only in levying War against them, **or in adhering to their Enemies, giving them Aid and Comfort.** No Person shall be convicted of Treason unless on the Testimony of two Witnesses to the same overt Act, or on Confession in open Court. The Congress shall have Power to declare the Punishment of Treason, but no [Attainder](#) of Treason shall work Corruption of Blood, or Forfeiture except during the Life of the Person attainted."

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia we learn, "**In law treason** is the [crime](#) that covers some of the more extreme acts against one's [sovereign](#) or [nation](#)." "A person who commits treason is known in law as a **traitor**."

Oran's Dictionary of the Law (1983) defines treason as "...[a]...[citizen](#)'s actions to help a foreign [government](#) overthrow, make [war](#) against, or seriously injure the [parent nation]." In many nations, it is also often considered treason to attempt or conspire to overthrow the government, even if no foreign country is aided or involved by such an endeavour." (*Ibid.*)

The free legal-dictionary by Farlex found on line at <http://legal-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/treason> has more information on treason.

Under the heading "*The betrayal of one's own country by waging war against it or by consciously or purposely acting to aid its enemies*", they give the following interpretation of Article III, Section 3, of the Constitution. "Any person who levies war against the United States or adheres to its enemies by giving them [Aid and Comfort](#) has committed treason within the meaning of the Constitution. The term *aid and comfort* refers to any act that manifests a betrayal of allegiance to the United States, such as furnishing enemies with arms, troops, transportation, shelter, or classified information. **If a subversive act has any tendency to weaken the power of the United States to attack or resist its enemies, aid and comfort has been given.**

The post continues, "The Treason Clause applies only to disloyal acts committed during times of war." (Are we still At war with Terrorist forces?)

To the best of this authors knowledge the US is still in declared state of war on terrorism and **Congress approved Military action.**

The cited article further discussed treason thusly, "Under Article III **a person can levy war against the United States without the use of arms, weapons, or military**

equipment. Persons who play only a peripheral role in a conspiracy to levy war are still considered traitors under the Constitution if an armed rebellion against the United States results." (Ibid.)

One final quote from this post attributed to Gerald N. Hill and Kathleen T. Hill says, " **Treason requires overt acts and includes the giving of government security secrets to other countries, even if friendly, when the information could harm American security.** Treason can include revealing to an antagonistic country secrets such as the design of a bomber being built by a private company for the Defense Department." (Ibid.)

Let us consider what "aid and comfort" means. Once again the legal dictionary provided at thefreedictionary.com gives commentary insight. The article starts, *To render assistance or counsel. Any act that deliberately strengthens or tends to strengthen enemies of the United States, or that weakens or tends to weaken the power of the United States to resist and attack such enemies is characterized as aid and comfort.*" Under the sub heading "Aid and Comfort": "The constitution of the United States, art. 8, s. 3, declares, that adhering to the enemies of the United States, giving them aid and comfort, shall be treason. **These words, as they are to be understood in the constitution, have not received a full judicial construction. They import, however, help, support, assistance, countenance, encouragement.** The word aid, which occurs in the Stat. West. 1, c. 14, is explained by Lord Coke (2 just. 182) as comprehending all persons counseling, abetting, plotting, assenting, consenting, and encouraging to do the act, (and he adds, what is not applicable to the Crime to treason,) **who are not present when the act is done, See, also, 1 Burn's Justice, 5, 6; 4 Bl. Com. 37, 38.** A Law Dictionary, Adapted to the Constitution and Laws of the United States. By John Bouvier. Published 1856. ([http://legal-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com /Aid+and+Comfort](http://legal-dictionary.thefreedictionary.com/Aid+and+Comfort))

Notice that one can commit treasonous acts even if the other country "is friendly" and includes "counseling, abetting, plotting, assenting, consenting, and encouraging to do the act."

What if the "other country" is an unrecognized enemy because **it is the United Nations and the effort is to enact a legally binding Sustainable Development Climate Change Regime controlling all parties?** What if the United Nations is working to establish a global **Climate Change Regime** and "climate" doesn't just refer to the weather but to **a fundamental transformation of the entire society?**

What if Establishing a global "government" ruled by the COP".. and the "current Convention secretariat", as recommended by the "Ad Hoc Working Group On Long-Term Cooperative Action Under the Convention", constitutes a real and imminent threat to the sovereignty of this nation and the freedoms guaranteed by our constitution!

The "secrets" given to the undeclared enemy are **"ways to undermine the sovereignty of the United States by rulings, regulation, legal maneuvering, executive**

orders, infiltrations of influential positions and hidden agendas passed by one party using underhanded methods.

The person aiding the enemy has friends who are openly opposed to this nation such as Bill Ayers, Jeremiah Wright, Frank Marshall Davis and those with direct association **to the Muslim Brotherhood** at high levels in his administration. If that was not enough **the Communist Party has endorsed Obama in both his 2008 campaign and in the up coming 2012 Presidential race.**

Elements of the Communist Party USA agenda implemented by Obama

A statement adopted by the Communist Party USA June 26, 2011, reveals that despite being disappointed with "some aspects" of the Obama administration's domestic and foreign policy, **Sam Webb, chairman of the Communist Party USA, threw his support behind Obama's 2012 re-election bid.** Consider these two paragraphs from the CPUSA website reviewed 5/24/2012:

"Neither party is anti-capitalist, but they aren't identical either. Differences exist at the levels of policy and social composition. **Despite the many frustrations of the past two years, the election of Barack Obama was historic and gave space to struggle for a people's agenda.**" (Sam Webb, "Fighting For our Future" p.4 printed transcript from www.cpusa.org)

"For the people's side of the struggle, the 2012 elections are of paramount importance too. No other struggle now or in the foreseeable future has the same possibility to effect a change in the political balance of forces in a progressive direction. (Ibid. p. 7)

"It is essential for any deep-going social change. But its realization depends on more than our desire, more than our political-ideological attitude. **Millions who have to be at the core of this party still operate under the umbrella of the Democratic Party,** albeit in an increasingly independent fashion." (Ibid. p. 4)

It is imperative for all supporters of our US Constitution and our American way of life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness to understand these above paragraphs! The Communist Party USA is claiming to have **millions** who are **at the core of their party** "**operating under the umbrella of the Democratic Party.**"

The Communist party USA understands the previous, and up coming, election of Barak Obama as "**essential for any deep-going social change**" in their favor.

Now someone is no doubt saying by now that this document is supposed to be about **legally implementing the Climate Change Regime.** They will assume that we have become completely lost in this discussion of the Obama administration's comparison

with CPUSA but read what else the Communist Party USA said in their adopted June 26, 2011 statement.

"Moreover, such strategies will not only solve the immediate crisis in jobs, but also lay the **material base for a healthy, productive and green economy**, including a **sustainable** solution to national indebtedness." (Ibid. p. 2)

This statement agrees with the G20 statement quoted in this document and the Obama brokered **Copenhagen Accord** that actually established a "**Copenhagen Green Climate Fund**" would be established as "**an operating entity of the financial mechanism of the Convention to support projects, programme, policies and other activities ... related to mitigation including REDD-plus, adaptation, capacity building, technology development and transfer.**" (Accord section 10.)

Comparing what they consider successful times for CPUSA agenda to the current times the statement is made, "Then global warming and the environmental crisis didn't imperil humanity's future; now they do." (Ibid. p.2)

The Obama- brokered Copenhagen Accord section 1. states, "We underline that climate change is one of the greatest challenges of our time." (FCCC/CP/2009/L.7 18 December 2009)

The Communist answer to the "global warming and environmental peril" is, "simple Keynesian policies - that is, stimulus spending (priming the pump) -will ease the crisisThus economic stimulus should be combined with a more ambitious program for immediate relief, jobs, equality, sustainability, and peace." (Ibid. p. 6)

Flash, this sounds just like Obama's American Jobs Act. The New York Times reports "in the late summer of 2011, unemployment still stubbornly high and the risk of a "double dip" recession rising, Mr. Obama went before Congress in September [to push for a \\$447 billion package of tax cuts and new government spending](#). ("Economic Stimulus - Jobs Bills", *N Y Times*, 3/15/2012, nytimes.com)

President Obama's "Pass My Jobs Bill" campaign to the people, which **uplicated the Communist "Peoples" agenda of taxing the rich**, passed. For instance, the Communists say, "And, to those who say we can't afford it, **let's remind them that plenty of money is available if we go to where it is: the wealthiest families, corporations, banks, and the military.**" (Communist, Ibid. p. 2)

News Flash, before the Communist Party USA adopted their June 26, 2011 statement Obama already had established the following Czars: Stimulus Accountability Earl Devaney; Green Jobs, Van Jones; Pay czar Kenneth Feinberg; Climate czar, Todd Stern; Regulatory czar, Cass Sustein; Economic czar I Larry Summers; Economic czar II, Paul Volcker; Energy czar Carol M. Browner; TARP czar, Elizabeth Warren; Water czar, David J. Hayes; and last but not least **Income Redistribution** czar, for which no one has been appointed that this author knows of.

News flash **the Communist agenda sounds just like the "Buffet Rule"**. On whitehouse.gov website it says, "President Obama has proposed a basic principle of **tax fairness** called the Buffet Rule." **"It is these high - income taxpayers that the Buffett Rule is meant to address by limiting the degree to which they can take advantage of loopholes and tax expenditures."** ("The Buffet Rule Asks the Wealthiest to Pay Their Fair Share", <http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2012/04/10/buffett-rule>)

Let's be honest if it is true that the rich pay a lower percentage than middle income people then the tax code needs to be changed but **robbing the rich to build a welfare state does not work**. If any one needs examples welfare economies not working look at Greece.

Lets also be honest in 2009 **the top 1 percent (people who had an adjusted gross income (AGI) of \$344,000 paid 37percent of the federal income taxes**. The top 10 percent paid 70 percent of all federal income taxes. (Jason J. Fichtner, Debate Club, US News <http://www.usnews.com/debate-club/do-the-rich-pay-their-fair-share-in-taxes>).

According to the IRS millionaires account for just over 9 percent of the total income reported, but pay over 20 percent of all federal income taxes. (Ibid.)

In the USA we are not supposed to be envious and greedy of someone else's success. This is the greatest nation in the world to become successful in even if you don't become rich. The American dream should include the freedom to go into what ever honest occupation we want to. If we try to start a business and it fails either start another one or go to work for someone else. No one should have the government or unions acting in their behalf dictating where, when, and how we work.

Let's look at the June 26, 2011 **Communist Party USA document Keynesian policy 2. "A *peacetime, green jobs economy*."** *Under this heading the first two paragraphs read:*

Enact massive public works job creation to make existing buildings energy efficient, construct new schools, hospitals, affordable housing, mass transit and bridges. Give priority to areas hurt by loss of manufacturing, loss of family farms and highest unemployment areas.

Major projects to increase efficiency and lower cost of solar, wind and biomass electricity generation. Immediate program to cut greenhouse gas emissions and for environmental cleanup. Restore federal energy regulation and encourage public ownership of utilities. (CPUSA *ibid.* p.6)

The first paragraph sounds just like Obama's **Green stimulus that he started planning before he took office**. The New York Times reported on December 4, 2008,

"President-elect [Barack Obama](#) and leaders in Congress are **fashioning a plan to pour billions of dollars into a jobs program to jolt the economy and lay the**

groundwork for a more **energy-efficient one**. (John M. Broder, " Proposal Ties economic Stimulus to Energy Plan" NY Times, 12/04/2008)

Mother Nature Network reported on Obama's 2009 stimulus package in an article entitled "Obama's new stimulus package creates jobs through green investments" March 24, 2009. They said, "Last week, we brought you news of Obama's goal of creating 2.5 million jobs through green investments. Today, a few hazy details from the president elect's plan were revealed." (http://www.mnn.com/earth-matters/politics/stories/obama's-new-stimulus-package-creates-jobs-through-green-)

"The details and cost of the so-called green-jobs program are still unclear, but a senior Obama aide, speaking on the condition of anonymity to discuss a work in progress, said it would probably include the weatherizing of hundreds of thousands of homes, the installation of "smart meters" to monitor and reduce home energy use, and billions of dollars in grants to state and local governments for mass transit and infrastructure projects."

The green component of the much larger [stimulus plan](#) would cost at least \$15 billion a year, and perhaps considerably more, depending on how the projects were defined, aides working on the package said." (Ibid.)

Do you remember that the stimulus plan was \$787 Billion dollars? It is amazing that **President Obama's December 4, 2008 agenda is the forerunner of the Communist Party USA statement adopted on June 26, 2011.**

Communist Party Keynesian policy 4 "*Strength through peace*" demands, **"Ratify climate change agreements."** (Op. cite.)

According to the **2008 NY Times** article cited above **pre-elect Obama already had an agenda of "we can't wait"**. "The Obama adviser who discussed the green energy project said Mr. **Obama would not await passage of a global warming bill before embarking on the new energy and infrastructure spending.**" (*Ibid*)

News flash Obama has been working to circumvent Congress and place the USA under the Climate Change Regime from before he was even elected. Remember his 2007 Global Poverty Act that would have required the US to add 0.7 percent of the entire U. S. gross national product to achieve the United Nations "Millennium Development Goal" 1 (www.thomas.gov, The Global Poverty Act of 2007)

Would anybody be surprised to find out that the leader of the first Communist Regime was an environmentalist who developed his views from Carl Marx himself?

Brian Sussman, in his book **Eco-Tyranny** makes such an assertion by quoting from both Marx and Vladimir Lenin. After giving documentation he sates that, "During Lenin's reign, Russia initiated the most audacious nature conservancy program in the twentieth century. Starting with a vision created by Marx fifty years prior, Lenin had successfully implemented version one of the green agenda." (Op. cite., Tyranny p. 15)

Today we apparently do not see Communism as an enemy of the USA because the "Cold War" with the now defunct USSR is over. However, communism is not compatible with the intent of our nations foundational Constitution and Bill of Rights. Communism is still an enemy of the historical American way of life and will destroy it, hence, it is an enemy. Those who hold, and try to governmentally implement, communist values into our government are enemies of the U.S. whether so declared or not.

Would a Communist leader like Hugo Chavez Endorse Obama? Absolutely.

Chavez says, "Obama is a good guy...." "I hope this doesn't harm Obama, but if I was from the United States, I'd vote for Obama." (<http://frontpagemag.com/2012/dgreenfield/hugochavezobama/>)

While Communism ala the Communist Party USA has not openly declared war on the USA the same is not true of the Muslim Brotherhood and other Islamic jihadist groups. This being the case, we should ask a few serious questions.

Enemies within key to loss of Sovereignty

What if **the Enemy** is already receiving billions of dollars in **compensation from our government**?

The following enemies of this nation have received at least the listed funding from U. S. citizens via the Federal government. **The Congressional Research Service** estimates that since 2008 the federal government has spent \$70 billion on "**Climate Change Activities**." ("Federal government spent nearly \$70 billion on 'Climate Change activities' since 2008", The Daily Caller, 5/17/2012)

Egypt's Muslim Brotherhood government was given a **lump sum payment of \$1.5 billion** followed by a **\$4 million** gift after Secretary of State Hillary Clinton waived congressional restrictions, **without a congressional vote**. (Ibid.) Another \$1 billion dollars was to be channeled to Muslim Brotherhood led Egypt as of September 10, 2012. Then, the Obama administration tried to funnel another \$450 million dollar to Egypt after the 9/11/ 2012 acts of war on the US Embassy! The Obama administration is also supporting a \$4.8 billion dollar loan to Egypt from the IMF. (<http://www.reuters.com/article/2012/09/28/us-egypt-usa-aid>)

All of this is after the Muslim Brotherhood's Supreme Mufti has declared jihad on the USA in 2010! (<http://ecolonews.blog.fr/2010/10/13/muslim-brotherhood-declares-war-on-u-s-9609141/>)

Secretary Clinton also waived congressional restrictions on **\$170 million in U. S. Foreign** aid going to the Palestinian Authority unity government which includes **Hamas**, (and its partner Fatah) **a designated terrorist** organization and the Palestinian franchise of the Muslim Brotherhood. (letter sent to Deputy Inspector General Department of State, Ambassador Harold W. Geisel, June 13, 2012 by Reps. Michele Bachman, Louie Gohmert, Lynn Westmoreland, Trent Franks, Thomas Rooney)

Could the fact that Secretary Clinton's **Deputy Chief of Staff**, Huma Abedin's, mother, brother and deceased father are **connected to the Muslim Brotherhood**, as has been widely reported by Arab language media, have influenced her decision to release funds?

What if **the enemy is, as yet, unrecognized** because they masquerade under the guise of the United Nations?

What if the enemy is already blaming the U.S. for being the primary cause of Global warming because of our "historic contribution to greenhouse emissions"?

What if the enemy already has made **multiple demands for the "transfer of technology" specifically in "green technology"**?

What if the "green technology transfer" demand is in fact a ruse to allow the transfer of classified information including advanced computers and components?

What if the person who is highest elected official of this nation, charged with upholding the constitution, actually had taught constitutional law and has **declared an intent to work around Congress** (undermine the constitution) instead of uphold it?

What if that same person has already **committed acts** that have been challenged by various people in both the Senate and the House of representatives **as being a violation of the War Powers Act?**

What if both that person and the Defense Secretary of Armed forces for the US have stated that **they have authority from NATO and don't need approval by the Congress** to commit acts of war?

The Wall Street Journal says, " In a letter to congressional leaders, **the president said the U.S. had "commenced operations** to assist an international effort **authorized by the United Nations (U.N.) Security Council**" and to prevent humanitarian catastrophe and address the threat posed to international peace and security by the crisis in Libya"" (March 22, 2011, wsj.com)

While the Justice Department argued that the president has the power to order limited action, he exceeded the 60 day limit and never even asked congress for any kind of approval. (*ibid.*)

This article also notes that "the president met with a bipartisan group of lawmakers regarding Libya before any action took place." (*Ibid.*) This tells us that there are people in both parties working to subvert the Constitution of the United States.

President Obama and his Defense Secretary, Leon Panetta revealed in a [Congressional hearing](#) ,that they were placing the US military under international law **instead of U.S. constitutional law**, when he said **the Administration would defer to the U.N. and NATO rather than Congress when deciding when and where to send the U.S. military.**

Is the attack on Libya, who was not at war with the US, the same as the attack on Pearl Harbor essentially?

Did we destroy Libya's aircraft as Japan did ours?

For those who pretend that George H. W. Bush or George W. Bush did not get congressional approval for their actions **H.R.J. Res 77**, 1/12/1991 **authorized the "Gulf War"** also known as Desert Storm, **S. J. Res. 23** 9/14/2001 **authorizes the war in Afghanistan** Operation Enduring Freedom, **H.J. Res 114** 3/3/2003 **authorizes the Iraq War** Operation New Dawn." (.wikipedia.org/wiki/Declaration_of_war_by_the_US)

After Obama's letter to Congress and several congressional hearings on the matter of using military force without congressional approval, **H. Con. Res 107** was introduced a **Concurrent Resolution** in the **112th Congress** that states,

"Expressing the sense of Congress that the use of offensive military force by a President **without prior and clear authorization of an Act of Congress constitutes an impeachable high crime and misdemeanor under Article II, section 4 of the Constitution**"

"Whereas the cornerstone of the Republic is honoring Congress's exclusive power to declare war under article I, section 8, clause 11 of the constitution: Now, therefore, be it Resolved by the House of Representatives (the Senate concurring), That it is the sense of Congress that, except in response to an actual or imminent attack against the territory of the United States, the use of offensive military force by a President **without prior and clear authorization of an Act of Congress violates Congress's exclusive power to declare war under article I, section 8, clause 11 of the Constitution and therefore constitutes an impeachable high crime and misdemeanor under article II, section 4 of the Constitution.**"

Congress had better watch out or they too will be declared a "Rightwing Extremist Group" and be put on the Federal watch list and SPLC's names of the top 30 people who incite hate groups.

Federal Lawsuits used to destroy border enforcement sovereignty

What if the one working to undermine the Constitution and sovereignty of the US has used Federal Law suits and intimidation to force people to comply **with the new regime** that he is working to implement?

The Federal government has purposefully ignored its responsibility to control the border and guarantee every State a republican form of government

As if that were not bad enough, when **Arizona passed a comprehensive immigration law that virtually mirrored Federal law**, the Obama Administration sued the state. Then to show how **our national sovereignty is being undermined** Obama reported, "the [State Department](#) included a [Justice Department](#) lawsuit against Arizona's immigration law into a [United Nations](#) human rights report to show how U.S. rule of law can be an example to the world," (<http://www.foxnews.com/politics/2010/08/30/state-department-stands-decision-include-arizona-human-rights-report/#ixzz1vcuDORYp>)

Atlas Shrugs writer Pamela Geller writes, "**America is under attack from within** at the highest levels of power. Barack Hussein Obama's policies are bringing America to her knees. With a consistency that can only come from deeply held conviction, Barack Hussein Obama is damaging the office of the presidency and **compromising American sovereignty.**" (Obama's State department Reports Arizona to UN as Human Rights Abuser" 8/30/2010) A quote in this article gives some very key information. It reads:

"What transnationalism, at bottom, is all about is depriving American citizens of their powers of representative government by selectively imposing on them the favored policies of Europe's leftist elites." In contrast, "proponents of a nationalist jurisprudence view 'foreign legal precedents' as 'an impermissible imposition on the exercise of American sovereignty.'" According to legal expert M. Edward Whelan, president of the Ethics and Public Policy Center, former general counsel to the U.S. Senate Committee on the Judiciary, former principal deputy assistant attorney general for the Office of Legal Counsel in the U.S. Department of Justice.
(http://atlasshrugs2000.typepad.com/atlas_shrugs/2010/08/obamas-state-department-reports-arizona)

Geller writes, "Obama's latest attack on America may shock Americans, but readers of [The Post-American Presidency: The Obama Administration's War on America](#) nod their heads in recognition. It's all in my book, **the relinquishing of American sovereignty and Obama's internationalism.**" (*Ibid.*)

Arizona's governor Jan Brewer was justified in her assessment "The idea of our own American **government submitting the duly enacted laws of a state** of the United States to 'review' by the United Nations **is internationalism run amok and unconstitutional.**" (*Ibid.*)

The *Baltimore Sun's* article "**Obama, Rawlings-Blake turn Baltimore into 'Amnesty city'**", by Pat McDonough (12/13/2011) has more to say about the illegal alien issue. "This past summer, President **Obama issued an executive order that limits the enforcement of federal immigration law.** The Obama edict mandates that law enforcement officials at the federal level only arrest, detain and deport illegal aliens classified as criminals. In other words, illegal aliens who commit serious crimes like rape, murder, drug dealing and other Level I infractions would be the only ones prosecuted. This policy orders federal agents to ignore 90 percent of the illegal aliens in America. **Failure to prosecute all illegal aliens in the country is, without question, "amnesty."**

"Many members of Congress, including **the chairman of the House Judiciary Committee, Texas Republican Lamar Smith, maintain that the president does not have the authority to selectively enforce federal law. Mr. Obama's critics believe he is violating the Constitution and his oath of office.** Chairman Smith and others are scheduling hearings on the issue that could become very serious."
(<http://articles.baltimoresun.com/2011-12-13/news/bs-ed-amnesty-immigration>)

Rep Smith is one of many in Congress who accurately are concerned over this **"end-run around the Constitution."** (Updated: Obama's New Executive Order= 'Dream Act' The Blaze, by Mike Opelka, 8/20/2011)

"President **Obama has intensified his questionable executive order** by selecting two cities, Baltimore and Denver, to carry out his troubling policies. **The Mayor of Baltimore turned it "into a "sanctuary city" by issuing a written policy ordering the police department to look the other way regarding illegal aliens.** Apparently, pandering politics trumps public safety in our state's largest city." (Ibid.)

This **saga of ignoring and undermining the US Constitution** continues as the **Obama administration announces "waivers" for illegal aliens.** Judicial Watch posted an article entitled, "DHS To Grant Illegal Aliens "Unlawful Presence Waivers"" April 02, 2012. The article states in part:

In its quest to implement stealth amnesty, the Obama Administration is working behind the scenes to halt the deportation of certain illegal immigrants by granting them "unlawful presence waivers."

The new measure would apply to illegal aliens who are relatives of American citizens. Here is how it would work, according to a Department of Homeland Security (DHS) [announcement](#) posted in today's Federal Register, the daily journal of the U.S. government; the agency will grant "unlawful presence waivers" to illegal aliens who can prove they have a relative that's a U.S. citizen. This appears to be part of the Obama Administration's bigger plan **to blow off Congress by using its executive powers** to grant illegal immigrants backdoor amnesty.

While this **first round of amnesty for illegal immigrants raised opposition**, it is nothing compared to the **blatant disregard for US law and the Constitution** displayed by the Obama administration on June 15, 2012. "During a Rose Garden ceremony Friday, the President announced his administration is going to make **"eligible individuals who do not present a risk to national security or public safety** will be able to request temporary relief from deportation proceedings and apply for work authorization." Obama said this was "the right thing to do" in the absence of Congressional action to deal with the immigration issue. (Neal Vickers, "Sen. Sessions heats rhetoric about new illegal immigrant policy", www.alipac.us/f12/sen-sessions-heats-rhetoric ... June 15, 2012)

"Now comes Mr. Obama's decision to stop enforcing America's immigration laws. The new policy states that illegal immigrants who were younger than 16 when they entered the country are eligible for a two-year exemption from deportation. Of course, the **"deferred action process,"** as the Homeland Security Secretary Janet A. Napolitano called it, will apply to illegals up to age 30." (The Washington Times, "CURL: Obama's a domestic enemy of the U.S. Constitution", washingtontimes.com/news/2102/jun/12 p. 2 printed transcript)

It is impossible for any **illegal alien to legally stay in this country no matter what the circumstances without specific case by case determinations!** Notice the statement includes, by the guess of the Obama administration, of at least 800,000 people between the ages of 16 and 30 **who have been here illegally for at least 5 years.**

If illegals in this country are not pursued and removed from this country **according to the laws of this land** then **this country is no longer a sovereign nation at all.** It is a global community of "world citizens" **under the Climate Change Regime where there are no longer any individual sovereign nations.**

Michael Filozof writes, "Remember Obama's speech in Berlin in 2008? Well now you know what "citizen of the World" means: instituting an illegal and unconstitutional policy that favors Third Worlders, and disadvantages people actually born as U.S. citizens."

"If citizens of this Republic **still took the Constitution seriously, Obama would be impeached** for his decision to unilaterally grant amnesty to certain illegal aliens." (Michael Filozof, "If We Took the Constitution Seriously, Obama Would Be Impeached", American Thinker, June 16, 2012, www.americanthinker.com)

John Yoo writes in the *National Review* an article entitled "Executive Overreach," "President Obama's claim that he can refuse to deport **8000,000 aliens here in the country illegally** illustrates the unprecedented stretching of the Constitution and the rule of law. **He is laying claim to presidential power** that goes even beyond that claimed by the **Bush administration, in which I served.** There is a world of difference in refusing to enforce laws that violate the Constitution (Bush) and refusing to enforce the laws because of disagreements over policy (Obama)."

Under Article II, Section 3 of the Constitution, the president has the duty to "**take Care that the Laws be faithfully executed.**" This provision was included to make sure that the president could not simply choose, as the British King had, to cancel legislation simply because he disagreed with it. **President Obama cannot refuse to carry out a congressional statute simply because he thinks it advances the wrong policy.** To do so violates the very core of his constitutional duties."

Imagine the precedent this claim would create.

So what we have here is a president who is refusing to carry out federal law simply because he disagrees with Congress's policy choices. (*National Review*, "The Corner" by John Yoo June 15, 2012, nationalreview.com/corner)

Congressman Steve King has released a statement in response to President Obama's planned Executive Order, or presidential policy declaration, to exempt illegal aliens by **implementing** the policies of the **DREAM Act legislation that Congress has rejected.** He says, "Americans should be outraged that President Obama is planning to

usurp the Constitutional authority of the United States Congress and grant amnesty by edict to 1 million illegal aliens, said King. "There is no ambiguity in Congress about whether the DREAM Act's amnesty program should be the law of the land. It has been rejected by Congress, and yet President Obama has decided that he will move forward with it anyway. President Obama, an ex constitutional law professor, ...is prepared to violate the principles of Constitutional Law that he taught. ("Obama's Executive Order Gives Amnesty to One Million Illegal Aliens", June 15, 2012 www.rightsidenews.com)

Mark Krikorian writes, in an article entitled "The End Justifies the Means", **"This isn't even about immigration; it's about the Constitution."** I understand that Sen. **Marco Rubio has finally put together a final version of his alternative bill** (to the DREAM Act) and that Senators Kyl and Hutchison have signed on- from my discussions with his staff, I probably won't like what he's come up with, but unless there typos in my version of Article I of the Constitution, **that's the way lawmaking is supposed to work.** For the administrations pious that this measure "confers no substantive right" and "Only the Congress, acting through its legislative authority, can confer these rights, they're lying. **The illegal immigrants in question will receive two-year renewable permits to live legally in the United States and an Employment Authorization Document** - that, in English, is what we call "amnesty." (The End Justifies the Means, Mark Krikorian, rightsidenews.com/2012061516428/us/homeland-security..)

This is about the Constitution and National Sovereignty. Everyone in Congress should be joining in legal action to stop this blatant breach of the checks and balances separation of powers. So far however, only Rep. Steve King, R- Iowa plans to file a motion in federal court to block the Obama administration from implementing the actions he announced June 15, 2012. (Jerome R. Corsi, Lone Ranger Challenges Obama Immigration Fiat", WND, wnd.com/2012/06/loneranger-challenges-obama-immigration-fiat)

This action, if unchallenged and overturned by the Congress and or the Supreme Court, has already set a legal precedent where by the President of the US can by Executive Order completely violate the separation of powers making Congress not necessary to enact legally binding policy.

As the **Texas Legislature** grew close to passing a law that **would make it a crime** for agents of the Transportation Security Administration **to fondle airline passengers** and ogle their naked photographs, **the Obama Justice Department threatened to establish a no-fly zone over the State.** This is the same Justice Department **that ignored voter intimidation by members of the New Black Panthers Party at Pennsylvania polling stations during the 2008 elections even with witnesses and video proof.** Furthermore, the accused Black Panther Party members didn't even bother to contest the charges, thereby rendering victory in the suite filed guaranteed. When **other States passed sovereignty laws on issues from illegal immigration to medical marijuana to funding abortions to intrastate ammunition and gun sales**

they have also faced lawsuits and intimidation at the hands of the State Department or other Federal entity government.

Internationalizing U.S. constitutional law

John Fonte writes in *Sovereignty of Submission* that, "The concept of 'downloading' international/transnational law into constitutional law is one more example of what we have emphasized throughout this book: the advocates of global governance and transnationalism seek to bypass, transform, and supersede liberal democracy and popular sovereignty" (John Fonte, *Sovereignty or Submission* Encounter Books, NY, NY 2011 p. 360)

What if the primary "Law" of the United States was no longer US Law but International Law **through subversion by setting legal precedents?**

We have already referenced EPA director Jackson saying that **she would abide by "law"** in declaring CO2 a greenhouse gas that must be regulated and reduced. While the UNFCCC treaty did identify CO2 as a green house gas that should be reduced the US commitment was voluntary. The primary, if not the only, **legally binding instrument in which CO2 is declared a green house gas that must be controlled is the Kyoto Protocol**, which was **never ratified by the US Congress**. This action and submission by Jackson **sets a legal precedent** because she is a legal representative of her respective agency.

Secretary of Defense Leon Panetta referred to **the "Law"** in his interview with Senator Sessions. When asked **what "Law" he was referring to, he evaded the question..** but he is without question **not referring to U.S. law. In making this public statement with its obvious inference, he is setting a legal precedent because he is a legal spokesman for the Department that he represents.**

The Obama administration dropped the term "enemy combatant" and **refused to refer to Islamic Jihadist as terrorists.** In fact, in reference to detainees in Guantanamo Bay, **they adopted international laws of war as the basis for holding the terrorist suspects there.** Attorney General Eric Holder said, it was "essential that we operate in a manner that strengthens our national security" this would **draw on "international laws of war to inform the statutory authority conferred by Congress."** This declaration and submission **sets a legal precedent** because Holder is the legal representative for the Department of Justice.

How far is the Obama administration going to go with internationalizing the US?

That answer can best be revealed by the words of the **new international Legal Adviser to the U. S. State Department, Harold Hongju Koh.** Mr. Koh was addressing, **"the strategic vision of international law that we in the Obama Administration are**

attempting to implement." ("The Obama Administration and International Law", 3/ 25/ 2010 <http://www.state.gov/s/l/releases/remarks/139119.htm>)

Notice The Obama administration has a "**strategic vision of international law**" that they are "**attempting to implement.**" **The fact is the Obama is implementing their strategic vision through multitudinous legal precedents that are being set and not challenged!**

Noting that it, "is to understate **the most important difference between this administration and the last:** and that is with respect to its **approach and attitude toward international law.** The difference in that **approach to international law** I would argue is captured in **an Emerging "Obama-Clinton Doctrine,"** which is based on four commitments: to: 1. *Principled Engagement*; 2. Diplomacy as a Critical Element of *Smart Power*; 3. *Strategic Multilateralism*; and 4. the notion that *Living Our Values Makes us Stronger and Safer*, by **Following Rules of Domestic and International Law; and Following Universal Standards, Not Double Standards.**

As articulated by the President and Secretary Clinton, I believe the Obama/Clinton doctrine reflects these four core commitments. **First, a Commitment to Principled Engagement:** A powerful belief in the **interdependence of the global community** is a major theme for our President, whose father came from a Kenyan family and who as a child spent several years in Indonesia.

Second, a commitment to what Secretary Clinton calls "**smart power**"—a blend of principle and pragmatism" that makes "intelligent use of all means at our disposal," **including promotion of democracy, development, technology, and human rights and international law** to place diplomacy at the vanguard of our foreign policy.

Third, a commitment to what some have called **Strategic Multilateralism:** the **notion acknowledged by President Obama at Cairo**, that the challenges of the twenty-first century "can't be met by any one leader or any one nation" and must therefore be addressed by open dialogue and **partnership by the United States with peoples and nations across traditional regional divides**, "based on mutual interest and mutual respect" as well as acknowledgment of "the rights and responsibilities of [all] nations."

And **fourth** and finally, a commitment to **living our values by respecting the rule of law**, As I said, both the President and Secretary Clinton are outstanding lawyers, and they understand that by imposing constraints on government action, law legitimates and gives credibility to governmental action. **As the President emphasized forcefully in his National Archives speech and elsewhere**, the American political system was founded on a **vision of common humanity, universal rights and rule of law.** Fidelity to [these] values" makes us stronger and safer. **This also means following universal standards, not double standards.** In his Nobel lecture at Oslo, President Obama affirmed that "adhering to standards, **international standards, strengthens those who do, and isolates those who don't.**" And in her December speech on a 21st Century human rights agenda, and again two weeks ago in introducing **our annual human rights reports**, Secretary Clinton reiterated that "a commitment to human rights starts

with **universal standards** and with holding everyone accountable to those standards, **including ourselves**. (Ibid.)

So **Obama reported the State of Arizona to the UN Human Rights Council** for human rights violations **when they passed immigration laws** that echo our own federal laws.

Notice **the goals of this administration are incompatible with national sovereignty**.

There is no such thing as National sovereignty if you live in an **"interdependent global community"**. **Interdependence make makes us a global collective, simply one part of the corporate whole**. This interdependent collectivism is Communism under the cloak of the **Climate Change Regime**. It is also of interest that this goal sounds very similar to Principle 25 of the 1992 Rio Declaration on Environment and Development which says, **"Peace, development and environmental protection are interdependent and indivisible."** (habitat.igc.org/agenda/21/rio-dec.htm)

Notice also that the **Obama - Clinton doctrine is still emerging**. His **fundamental change has only just begun. We are not a socialist/ Marxist low carbon Climate Change Regime** controlled integral part of the global government, yet.

Another absolute falsehood in this speech is Obama's pretention that this nations "political system was founded on **a vision of common humanity, universal rights and rule of law**." (Ibid.) This nation guarantees certain rights, **"The bill of rights" to the legal citizens of this nation, not to those who are here illegally, or to those who are citizens of other countries**.

Obama's Illegal Immigration Policy Directives Part of Climate Change Regime Implementation

While some call Obama's illegal immigration policy mind boggling it is actually **part of implementing the Climate Change Regime in our country**.

From June 20-22, 2012 the representatives of governments all over the world met in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil for the twentieth anniversary (Rio+20) of the 1992 Rio Earth Summit. We read the following statement on **"universal human rights"** from the official adopted outcome document entitled "The Future We Want", "We emphasize the responsibilities of all States, in conformity with the Charter of the United Nations, to respect, **protect and promote human rights and fundamental freedoms for all, without distinction of any kind** to race, colour, sex, language or religion, political or other opinion, **national or social origin**, property, birth, disability **or other status**." (The Future We Want I. 9. ny.un.org)

Think about this statement from the standpoint of national sovereignty. **If the status of being in a country "illegally" does not limit certain freedom then there is no national sovereignty at all**. Every country has its own laws governing illegal entry and how illegals are to be dealt with. When a person purposefully circumvents the legal way to be in a country **they, by virtue of their own choice, are making a decision that**

they know is a crime and is prosecutable by law. Criminals forfeit freedoms on the basis of the magnitude of their crime.

Lets give an example of forfeiting freedoms. If you violate traffic laws in the USA, this author lives in Texas, you pay fines up to a certain point. Certain violations of the law, such as driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, will get your license to drive suspended. A person can get their license revoked because of failure to obey the law. Certain driving violations like driving under the influence and causing the death of another person can land a person in prison. **Guess what you lost the fundamental freedom of not only driving but living at home because of your actions.** There cannot even be a civilized society where everyone's "human rights and fundamental freedoms" are guaranteed, "**without distinction of any kind to ...opinion, national, or social origin....or other status**". When a drivers opinion violates the law there are consequences for their opinion violating law thus loss of fundamental freedoms.

What if the "**other status**" is that a person is involved in terrorist activity in the name of their religion. Is that person to maintain all the fundamental freedoms without distinction of any kind? What if they bomb or fly air planes into the World Trade Towers. What if they bomb Pearl Harbor? What if they sneak into your bed room and kill your wife, do they still get to maintain all of these fundamental freedoms?

There are two options whereby the Rio +20 statement above will work. The first, and most obvious, is **a world wide government where there no national boundaries.** It is obvious from the statement that **it is aimed at protecting the rights and freedoms of illegal immigrants.** The Obama administration's actions to not prosecute illegals, even if they had outstanding warrants for their arrest is undermining the sovereignty of this nation and placing us under the UN Climate Change Regime's Rio+20 "The Future We Want."

A case in point is Obama's uncle Onyango, who **had an arrest warrant for final deportation** when he was arrested for driving under the influence. Obama's first ICE policy change was to not prosecute, illegals who had not been convicted of criminal activity. Obama's Uncle was not deported, after all he had only been here for 19 years and had a "**legal**" **illegal social security number** and **he can now get a legal**, although he is here illegally **driving license in MA.** (Bill Armstrong, Obama's Uncle Eligible for License Despite DUI, Illegal Immigrant Status", CBS Boston, May 10, 2012, <http://boston.cbslocal.com/>)

Just in case no one else can see what is happening here, let it be said for the record, these actions are building legal precedents to bind this nation under the Climate Control Regime and make it subject to international law without Congressional ratification, or a vote of the people.

E O 13524 International Police Force receives Full Diplomatic Immunity

There is another ongoing **and serious threat to our constitutional form of government and quite possibly our national security and sovereignty in and through the State Department.**

On December 16, 2009 President Barak Obama signed Executive Order 13524 into law. The full text is as follows:

AMENDING EXECUTIVE ORDER 12425 DESIGNATING INTERPOL
AS A PUBLIC INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATION ENTITLED TO
ENJOY CERTAIN PRIVILEGES, EXEMPTIONS, AND IMMUNITIES

By the authority vested in me as President by the Constitution and the laws of the United States of America, including section 1 of the International Organizations Immunities Act (22 U.S.C. 288), and in order to extend the appropriate privileges, exemptions, and immunities to the International Criminal Police Organization (INTERPOL), it is hereby ordered that Executive Order 12425 of June 16, 1983, as amended, **is further amended by deleting from the first sentence the words "except those provided by Section 2(c), Section 3, Section 4, Section 5, and Section 6 of that Act" and the semicolon that immediately precedes them.** (White House, Office of the Press Secretary, Dec. 17, 2009 News Release

Let us take note of the magnitude of what Obama did. **Section 2(c)** of Public Law 79-291 the **International Organizations Immunities Act**, states, " Property and assets of international organizations, **wherever located and by whomsoever held, shall be immune from search**, unless such immunity be expressly waived, **and from confiscation.** The **archives of international organizations shall be inviolable.**"

Threats Watch.Org writers Steve Schippert, and Clyde Middleton wrote and analysis of the Obama's E O 13524 amendment of E O 12425, entitled "Whither Sovereignty" in which they make some interesting observations. They start by saying, "last Thursday, December 17, 2009 , the White house released an **Executive Order "Amending Executive Order 12425"**. It grants INTERPOL (International Criminal Police Organization) **a new level of full diplomatic immunity afforded to foreign embassies and select other "international Organizations"** as set forth in the United States International Organizations immunities Act of 1945 ." (Threatswatch.org/analysis/2009/whither Sovereignty)

INTERPOL's Recruitment page says: "Approximately 650 staff members from 89 different countries are employed at the INTERPOL General Secretariat, Regional Bureaus and Liaison offices, working in any of the organization's four official languages: Arabic, English, French and Spanish. A third of these are either seconded or detached by **their national law enforcement administrations in INTERPOL's 190 member countries**; the **remaining are international civil servants hired under contract** directly by the organization." (<http://www.interpol.int/Recruitment>)

INTERPOL's relationship to the United Nations is massive." Given the strong overlap between INTERPOL's expertise and the work of the United Nations (UN), the cooperation between the organizations over time has become formidable. Today, the UN is one of INTERPOL's most valued partners" (<http://www.interpol.int/About-INTERPOL/International-partners/United-Nations>)

"In 2004, INTERPOL opened the Office of the **Special Representative of INTERPOL to the United Nations, in New York**. This has strengthened the relationship and streamlined the work between the two organizations." (*Ibid.*)

"The Special Representative heads the Office and is supported by a staff with law enforcement and legal expertise. The Office represents INTERPOL and the needs of law enforcement at the UN, and liaises with the UN in areas of mutual interest..." (*ibid.*)

By Removing language from President Reagan's 1983 Executive Order 12425, **this international law enforcement body now operates on U. S. soil with total diplomatic immunity to our own FBI, CIA, Homeland Security, Federal Marshals, State and local police etc. al.** Notice, **they can not be searched even by subpoena.** They cannot have any incriminating materials confiscated "**wherever it is located**" and "**no matter who holds it**". This, of course, means that **they can not be prosecuted for crimes.**

Notice **their information gathering immunity.** They are immune from Freedom Of Information Act requests. They are also **immune from Congressional requests for information, and subpoenas** because "**their archives**" are "**inviolable**."

Another extremely important piece of information needs to be brought out about Obama's EO 13524, the amendment also **gives INTERPOL the immunities described in Sections, 3,4,5, and 6 of the Immunities Act.** While these sections deal with differing tax immunities, it is important to observe that **not only do "alien officers" representing the interests of their respective nations receive these immunities but also** Section 3. "**employees of international organizations**" and Sec. 5. (a) ``**(16) Service performed** in the employ of an international organization entitled to enjoy privileges, exemptions, and immunities as an international organization under the International Organizations Immunities Act.""

Threats Watch says, "for an added and disturbing wrinkle, **INTERPOL's central operations office in the United States is within our own Justice Department offices.** **They are American (or alien) law enforcement officers** working under the aegis of INTERPOL **within our own Justice Department.** That they now operate with full diplomatic immunity and with "**inviolable archives**" from within our own buildings should send red flags soaring into the clouds." (Op. Cite. Schippert)

Look at what INTERPOL's website says about National Central Bureaus. "[National Central Bureaus](#) (NCBs) – Each INTERPOL member country maintains a **National Central Bureau linking national police with our global network.** Staffed by

highly trained national law enforcement officers, NCBs are the lifeblood of INTERPOL, contributing to our criminal databases and cooperating together on cross-border investigations, operations and arrests." (<http://www.interpol.int/About-INTERPOL/Structure-and-governance>)

"The National Central Bureau (NCB) for the United States of America is the unique designated INTERPOL point of contact, acting on behalf of the Attorney General, the chief law enforcement officer of the United States. (www.interpol.int/Member-countries/Americas/United-States)

"INTERPOL Washington is composed of a multi-sector workforce which includes full-time employees, contractors, and personnel seconded from more than 20 local, state, and federal law enforcement agencies. The staff includes senior criminal investigators, analysts, attorneys, information technology specialists and administrative support personnel." Ibid.)

We know that INTERPOL is supposed to be good and most certainly there are good honest people working for the organization. However, it is a police agency not a diplomatic effort. It should have the same limitations that our own law enforcement agencies have. Threats Watch concludes, as should anyone, **"American sovereignty hangs in the balance** if these actions are not prevented through public outcry and political pressure." (Op. cite. Schippert.)

Now with the above information in hand about INTERPOL let us consider the handling of the Fast and Furious program and the **Congressional inquiry** that has followed.

"Fast and Furious represents a serious breach of trust with the American public, and it involved possibly criminal acts. **The purpose of the operation was ostensibly to track the flow of weapons to Central and South American drug cartels,** but there is a suggestion, based on years-ago statements by Holder, that the real purpose may have been political, to cause chaos on the Mexican border that would lead to a rationale for stricter gun controls throughout the U.S." (patriotupdate.com/articles/obama-shows-his-contempt-for-congress-again, by Tad Cronn, June 23, 2012)

The House panel has been investigating the fact that over two thousand guns that were marked so that they could be tracked got lost. when they walked across the border with Mexico. However two of the guns were found when Brian Terry was ambushed.

The Huffington Post says: "An autopsy found a [single bullet from an AK-47 assault rifle](#) lodged in Terry's body. It had entered his lower back, severing his spinal cord and the main artery to his heart.

"Four men, including one injured in the shootout, were arrested in Peck Canyon. A fifth got away.

"Two rifles traced to Fast and Furious that had been bought for use by the Sinaloa drug cartel were found at the scene. Reports began to filter out that the guns were among hundreds that [ATF agents lost track of](#) and that ended up in the hands of criminals." (Brian Terry, Fast and Furious' Unknown Man:Border Agent's Death Exposed Gun-Walking Debacle by Andrea Stone, http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/06/23/brian-terry-fast-and-furious-border-patrol-death_n_1619855.html)

Further down in the article we are informed, Brian Terry's parents sent a solicitation email for the [Brian Terry Foundation](#) to help the families of other Border Patrol agents killed or wounded on duty. A postscript says, "Attorney General Eric Holder's refusal to fully disclose the documents associated with Operation Fast and Furious and President Obama's assertion of executive privilege serves to compound this tragedy. It denies the Terry family and the American people the truth. **The President's executive privilege makes getting to the truth much more difficult.**" (*Ibid.*)

An Earlier *Huffington Post* article informs us, that agents of the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) commented that "they were **ordered by superiors to let suspected straw buyers walk away from Phoenix-area gun shops with AK-47s and other weapons believed headed for Mexican drug cartels**, rather than arrest the buyers and seize the guns there." ((http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/01/24/fast-and-furious-gun-scandal_n_1229124.html)

Unfortunately, whoever was responsible **"lost track of some 1,400** of the more than 2,000 weapons whose purchases attracted the suspicion of the Fast and Furious investigators." (*ibid.*)

This writer believes that this operation was actually an INTERPOL operation whereby some of our own people under the Attorney General's orders were acting under International Law. There are several reasons for this conclusion but first we need some important information.

How vast is INTERPOL's incorporation into the USA's legal system? Consider the following from their member countries web site.

At the core of INTERPOL Washington's criminal investigative support activities is the Operations and Command Center (IOCC). It provides a permanent communications interface **between domestic and international law enforcement** partners, as well as support to its operational divisions, namely:

- Alien / Fugitive Division;
- Counterterrorism Division;
- Drugs Division;
- Economic Crimes Division;
- Human Trafficking and Child Protection Division;
- State and Local Liaison Division;

- Violent Crimes Division. (<http://www.interpol.int/Member-countries/Americas/United-States>)

Notice that the Fast and Furious gun walking program falls within the Counterterrorism, violent crimes, and drugs divisions of the organization of the Washington INTERPOL central operations

Strategic Goals

INTERPOL Washington has developed four strategic goals to promote cooperation and support to its national law enforcement community and foreign counterparts:

- Combat transnational crime and terrorism;
- Strengthen the security of America's borders;
- Facilitate international law enforcement cooperation and partnerships;
- Cultivate and develop America's workforce, management, and operations.

These goals are **in keeping with the strategic priorities of Americas Department of Justice, Department of Homeland Security and INTERPOL**. They reflect the investigative interests of partner law enforcement agencies, and provide the framework for international investigative assistance that is critical to preventing and solving transnational crime.

Notice that the **Fast and Furious operation fits the strategic INTERPOL goals** of, combating transnational crime, strengthening the security of America's borders, and Facilitating international Law enforcement cooperation and partnerships.

Agencies represented at INTERPOL Washington

- **Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives;**
- Capitol Police;
- Citizenship and Immigration Service;
- Coast Guard;
- Customs and Border Protection;
- Department of Defense, U.S. Marine Corps;
- Department of Homeland Security;
- Department of Justice, Office of Enforcement Operations;
- Department of State;
- Drug Enforcement Administration;
- Environmental Protection Agency;
- Federal Bureau of Investigation;
- Fish and Wildlife Service;
- Food and Drug Administration;
- Health and Human Services, Office of Inspector General;
- Immigration and Customs Enforcement;
- Internal Revenue Service;

- Marshals Service;
- New York Police Department;
- Pinellas County Sheriff's Office;
- Postal Inspection Service;
- Secret Service.

Notice that the ATF (Bureau of Alcohol , tobacco, firearms, and explosives) is listed as one of the specific INTERPOL groups.

Why did Obama give complete diplomatic immunity to an international police organization so that it would not be subject to the same Constitutional limitations and constraints of our own FBI and other law enforcement agencies?

The fact that Obama exercised "Executive Privilege" in regard to these matters makes this scenario see entirely plausible especially when it was at the request of Attorney General Eric Holder himself.

Could the fact that two of these marked guns were left at the scene of agent Terry's death imply that someone had leaked top secret classified information to the buyers?

What about the marked guns being left at the deaths of approximately 100 more people in Mexico? Could they have been part of the sting or were they simply people marked for execution by who ever leaked the classified information?

Since the records of these matters are now protected by "Executive Privilege" in order to keep any one from finding out that they were "inviolable" through diplomatic immunity in the first place we will never know.

This is a perfect setup. Anyone that the President wants to target he can simply have assassinated by someone now designated as an INTERPOL operative. He has the cards to figure out who he will assassinate or frame, whether they are an American citizen or not. Furthermore, he can do it through INTERPOL and not only can no one stop him, no one can even find out what he did because it has inviolable records. To top it all off look at the agencies through which he can have INTERPOL work.

Better make sure that he doesn't declare you as "unlawful enemy combatant", a disgruntled American war Veteran, or a Christian activist. By the way, your telephone conversations are listened to. Your internet activity is recorded. All purchases are or can be tracked. Your activities can be observed by satellite outside your house and your movements can be tracked by heat signature inside your house. Every word that you think you are saying in private could be bugged if someone decides to listen in.

When one thinks about it, INTERPOL seems to work a whole lot like pre WWII Germany's Gestapo, "the secret police force of the German Nazi state, notorious for its terrorism, atrocities, etc." (Webster's New World Dictionary second College Edition)

There is an amazing mass of coincidental facts linking the foundational INTERPOL organization and the Nazi's.

INTERPOL's web site history gives us the following information First, it tells us that the first International Police Congress was held in 1914 in Monaco. It tells us that in 1923 the International Criminal Police Commission (ICPC) established its headquarters in Vienna, Austria on the initiative of Dr. Johannes Schober. Next, we find that in 1926 the Berlin General Assembly proposed that **each country established a central point of contact within its police structure**. The Next year, 1927, a resolution to establish NCBs was adopted. (<http://www.interpol.int/About-INTERPOL/History>)

Guess what happened in 1938?

If you said, "**The Nazis assume control after deposing of President Michael Skubl**," you must be quoting the INTERPOL history from its own website, like I am. In fact in **1942 "ICPC falls completely under German control and is relocated to Berlin."** (*Ibid.*) With the Nazis now controlling the INTERPOL organization most countries ceased active participation.

Lucky for all of us in 1946 Belgium lead in the rebuilding of the organization and set up its headquarters in Paris where INTERPOL was chosen as the organizations telegraphic address. (*Ibid.*)

By 1949 "The United Nations" granted "INTERPOL consultative status as a non-governmental organization." (NGO) (*Ibid.*) **And the story continues up to the current day.**

UN Climate Change Regime Police Force in Place

INTERPOL's new immunities place it above every US legal authority on the basis on International Law. Think of the fact that INTERPOL has total diplomatic immunity but they are a police force not diplomats! In the US it has limitless authority because it works secretly in all of our legal institutions. When INTERPOL's immunities are invoked no US citizen has any way to redress grievances. National sovereignty and the protections of our Constitution are forfeited. Thus, the Climate Change Regime's international police force is in place.

Think about the pervasiveness, how advanced INTERPOL has become. In 2002 The I-24/7 web-based communication system launched, significantly improving NCBs' access to INTERPOL's databases and services. Canada is the first country to connect to the system." (*Ibid.*)

In 2003 there is the "Official inauguration of the Command and Coordination Centre at the General Secretariat, enabling the organization to operate 24 hours a day, seven days a week." (*Ibid.*)

In 2004 the "INTERPOL liaison office" is "inaugurated at the United Nations in New York" and it's first Special Representative appointed."

In 2005 Technology known as MIND/FIND allows frontline officers to connect directly to INTERPOL's systems." (*Ibid.*)

Just as an OBAMA Executive Order provided INTERPOL with diplomatic immunity thus compromising the sovereignty of the US, other E O's have also undermined the sovereignty of this nation.

Executive Orders Undermining the Sovereignty

A number of conservative writers have expressed great concern over what appears to be a calculated effort by President Obama to undermine the sovereignty of our nation as well as purposefully thwart the constitutional requirement of congressional approval for certain actions.

We have already addressed **Obama's INTERPOL executive Order** that gives that international police organization complete diplomatic immunity, thus undermining the rights of every US citizen.

We have delved into **Obama's illegal immigrant policy adjustments** telling ICE **first**, not to prosecute long term illegals, even if they had an outstanding warrant, as long as they were not involved in criminal activity, other than being here illegally. (Who would have guessed that his uncle, who got arrested about two weeks, later had been here for 19 years.) **Second**, Obama issued a June 15, 2012 **public announcement of the new Homeland Security policy directive allowing children of illegals** who came here under the age of 16 and have been here for at least 5 years, not above 30 years of age to receive two year differed action vouchers and work permits. (www.dhs.gov June 15, 2012 DHS Memorandum by Janet Napolitano)

There are other Executive Orders and Presidential Memorandums that have elicited grave concern for US national sovereignty.

"Memorandum for The Secretary of State" Removing Reagan, G.W. Bush restrictions on international Abortion funding

One of Obama's first official acts as President, after being sworn in, was to begin to **implement the Climate Change Regime**, United Nations population control and Millennium Development Goals.

On January 23, 2009 Barack Hussein Obama issued a "**Memorandum for The Secretary of State** The Administrator of the United States Agency For International Development" Entitled "Mexico City Policy - Voluntary Population Planning". This memorandum **removed "conditions for the release of funds" which prohibited funding of going to foreign countries to promote birth control, abortion, and abortion counseling, imposed first by President Ronald Reagan then by President George W. Bush**

Obama, states, " These excessively broad conditions on grants and assistance awards are unwarranted. Moreover, they have undermined efforts to promote safe and effective voluntary family planning programs in foreign nations." (Ibid.)

The, excessively broad, conditions to which Obama refers included, not using "funds to engage in a wide range of activities, including providing advice, counseling, or information regarding abortion, or lobbying a foreign government to legalize or make abortion available." (Ibid.)

Why is it that the US should be sending billions of dollars out of this country to promote "family planning" and abortion? (Hint, it is not just because the Democratic party platform guarantees the right to an abortion.)

UN Millennium Development Goal 5 "Improving Maternal Care" says, Achieving good maternal health requires quality reproductive health services and a series of **well-timed interventions** to ensure women's safe passage to motherhood." All of this is said to be to help reduce maternal mortality and infant mortality. (MDG report 2010 p. 30) "Satisfying women's unmet **need for family planning**" is high on the list of the MDG because "recent estimates indicate that **meeting that need could result in.... the reducing the annual number of unintended pregnancies from 75 million to 22 million.**" (*Ibid.* p.36) "Ensuring that even the poorest and most marginalized **women can freely decide the timing and spacing of their pregnancies** requires targeted policies and adequately funded interventions." (*Ibid.* p. 38)

According to Foreignassistance.gov the U.S. disbursements (actual spent funds) for "health", humanitarian assistance", and "program management" totaled \$7.312 billion for 2009; \$6.933.7 billion for 2010; and \$8.980.2 billion for 2011. (<http://foreignassistance.gov>)

There you have it. **The US tax payer is providing the funds**, counseling, contraceptive, and abortions **in other countries** to keep maternal mortality, infant mortality, **and the population down.**

The U.S. tax payer provides funds for other things as well but the fund totals above come from the heading totals cited.

More Obama E O's work to **implement the Climate Change Regime** influence and control of this country.

Executive Order 13499 February 5, 2009 which further amended Executive Order 12835, Establishment of the **National Economic Council.**

Executive Order 13499 amended the National Economic Council to include (l) Secretary of Health and Human Services; (m) Secretary of Education; (n) Senior Advisor and Assistant to the President for Intergovernmental Affairs and Public Liaison; (o) **Assistant to the President for Energy and Climate Change**; (p) Assistant to the President and Chief Technology Officer; (q) Administrator of the Small Business Administration" after "(k) Secretary of Homeland Security;"

The obvious addition of **an assistant to the President for Energy and Climate Change** reveals Obama's commitment to **place implementation of the Climate Change Regime at the forefront of his agenda.**

How important is implementing **the Climate Change Regime in Obama's "agenda"**?

In 2008 prior to Obama's being sworn in as President, a spokesman for him, "who discussed **the green energy project** said Mr. Obama **would not await passage of a global warming bill** before embarking on the **new energy and infrastructure spending.**" (Op. Cit NYT, 2008) He planned to address global warming by **capping carbon emissions by forcing companies to buy trade pollution permits.** (*Ibid.*) The planned financing of "green-job programs" would not be by using the \$150 billion from selling carbon credits, **"but instead would be added to the budget deficit."** (*Ibid.*)

Notice, Obama was **"not going to wait on Congress" before he was ever sworn in!**

Notice, **Obama planned to increase the budget deficit of this country before he was sworn in and after campaigning on deficit reduction!**

How intent is Obama on compromising the sovereignty of this country to the **Climate Change Regime?**

Consider what Obama said in his December , 2009 speech at the Copenhagen UNFCCC, "all while the **danger of climate change grows until it is irreversible.**

"Ladies and gentlemen, **there is no time to waste. America has made our choice.** We have charted our course. We have made our commitments. We will do what we say. **Now I believe it's time for the nations and the people of the world to come together behind a common purpose.**

We are ready to get this done today – but there has to be movement on all sides to recognize that it is **better for us to act than to talk; it's better for us to choose action over inaction; the future over the past** – and with courage and faith, I believe that we can meet our responsibility to our people, and **the future of our planet.** Thank you very much. " (Sudha Krishna, 12/18/2009, Obama UN Climate Change Speech - Full Text, Transcript)

Everyone should remember that Obama is delivering this speech with full knowledge of, and US participation in, negotiations and discussions of the recommendation by the UN AWG-LCA which included forming a global "government" "ruled by the UNFCCC Convention of the Parties". (Op. cit. AWG-LCA)

If Climate Gate had not revealed the scheming and falsifying of scientific information by the IPCC we might well be under a global Climate Change Regime right now!

We have seen that Obama's EO 13499 gave him a "Climate Change advisor" to help him implement the Climate Change Regime but there is more.

Executive Order 13506 Establishing a "White House Council on Women and Girls" March 11, 2009

The next action by Obama to **implement the Climate Change Regime is Obama's establishment of a White House Council on Women and Girls.** If no one knew of the **Millennium Development Goal Report 2010, Goal 3 entitled "Promote Gender equality and Empower Women"** it would be generally assumed that Obama was just being nicer to women than even Bill Clinton.

Actually **Obama's EO 13506 incorporates portions of the UN Millennium Development Goal 2010 Report Goals 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, .**

However, there are some comparisons made where there is a direct correspondence to these two documents, for instance Obama writes, **"women are still significantly underrepresented in the science, engineering, and technology fields."** (EO 13506) compared to the UNMDG 3, **"women being ... significantly underrepresented in science, technology and, in particular, engineering."** (MDGr p. 21)

Obama writes, "women continue to earn only about 78 cents for every dollar men make...." the MDGR G3 says, "In fact, women are typically paid less and have less secure employment than men.(Ibid.)

Obama writes, "**Violence against women and girls remains** a global epidemic." (Ibid EO) MDGR Goal 6 attributes "Gender based violence with the spread of HIV" and goes on to say "It points to the continuing need for social change, so that **violence against women and girls** in any for is treated with zero tolerance." (MDGR 2010, G6 Goal 6 p. 44)

This author is married to a woman and have three daughters so he has no problems with gender equality, opportunity, and equal pay for equal work However, if you are going to "ensure that **Federal programs and policies address and take into account the distinctive concerns of women and girls**, including women of color and those with disabilities," are you not acknowledging that there is a difference between men and women that might affect compensation?

A bigger question is does **the "membership of the Council" really need to consist of the following:** (1) the Senior Advisor and Assistant to the President for Intergovernmental Affairs and Public Liaison, who shall serve as Chair of the Council; (2) the Secretary of **State**; (3) the Secretary of the **Treasury**; (4) the Secretary of **Defense**; (5) the **Attorney General**; (6) the Secretary of the **Interior**; (7) the Secretary of **Agriculture**; (8) the Secretary of **Commerce**; (9) the Secretary of **Labor**; (10) the Secretary of **Health and Human Services**; (11) the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development; (12) the Secretary of **Transportation**; (13) the Secretary of **Energy**; (14) the Secretary of **Education**; (15) the Secretary of **Veterans Affairs**; (16) the Secretary of **Homeland Security**; (17) the Representative of the **United States of America to the United Nations**; (18) the United States **Trade Representative**; (19) the Director of the Office of **Management and Budget**; (20) the **Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency**; (21) the Chair of the Council of Economic Advisers; (22) the Director of the Office of Personnel Management; (23) the Administrator of the Small Business Administration; (24) the Assistant to the President and Director of the Domestic Policy Council; (25) the Assistant to the President for Economic Policy and Director of the National Economic Council; and (26) **the heads of such other executive branch departments, agencies, and offices as the President may, from time to time, designate?**

We should note that the Departments of Agriculture, Commerce, Education, Energy, Health and HS, Homeland Security, HUD, Interior, DOJ, Labor, State, Transportation, Treasury, EPA, US small Business **are already "partners"** with the Inter Governmental Affairs but this EO is supposed to be about women and girls.

We could see having someone representing the needs of women and reporting to the president with input from federal, state and local voices but **do these heads of entire departments of government not already have a primary job to do in their field?**

The US Congress has previously moved to promote nonviolence against women and girls in the 1994 Violence Against Women act. "Since the passage of the Act, annual rates of domestic violence have dropped by more than 60 percent. (Valerie Jarrett)

It is quite a concern to this writer that many of these same heads of departments show up on several other Executive Orders, which we will discuss as we proceed.

Has the mistreatment of women been so gross and devastating that it must be addressed in 150 days? Read the following quotation and ask yourself why?

"Sec. 5. Federal Interagency Plan. The Council shall, within 150 days of the date of this order, develop and submit to the President a Federal interagency plan with recommendations for interagency action consistent with the goals of this order. The Federal interagency plan shall include an assessment by each member executive department, agency, or office of the status and scope of its efforts to further the progress and advancement of women and girls. Such an assessment shall include a report on the status of any offices or programs that have been created to develop, implement, or monitor targeted initiatives concerning women or girls. The Federal interagency plan shall also include recommendations for issues, programs, or initiatives that should be further evaluated or studied by the Council. The Council shall review and update the Federal interagency plan periodically, as appropriate, and shall present to the President any updated recommendations or findings." (*Ibid.*)

The first question is who controls this council?

Section 2. (b) states, "The **Chair shall convene** regular meetings of the Council, **determine its agenda, and direct its work.** The Chair shall designate an **Executive Director of the Council, who shall coordinate the work of the Council** and head any staff assigned to the Council." (the chair is the Senior Advisor and Assistant to the President for **Intergovernmental Affairs and Public Liaison Valerie Jarrett**)

According to wikipedia, "The **Office of Intergovernmental Affairs** works closely with state and local officials elected by the American people to ensure America's citizens and their elected officials have a government that works effectively for them and with them." (n.wikipedia.org/wiki/White_House_Office_of_Public_Engagement_and_Intergovernmental_Affairs)

Could there be an agenda here that we may be missing or some wording that empowers someone to expand the scope of this order?

One obvious answer to the question above is that this **EO requires the gathering of information for reporting** to the UN Human Rights Council. The Human Rights report is **presented to the UN Human Rights Council** by the Obama Administration through the Secretary of State. However, take note the list above includes (17) the **Representative of the United States to the United Nations** and (18) the **United States Trade Representative** who would also deal directly with the UN.

We have already expressed our concern with the USA reporting our internal affairs to the **UN Human Rights Council and the legal precedent** it sets **compromising our sovereignty** in regard to the Arizona case and the UN Human Rights report. Now our entire national agenda will be evaluated by what ever bodies of the UN that want to look.

Another thing is troubling about this EO is that the "Chair" shall **"determine its agenda, and direct its work"**. Look again at the list of who this person is directing.

If a person in a legal document has the authority conveyed to them by the president to "determine" the agenda of the governmental Departments and Agencies listed, who says that they can't change the agenda?

What if the "Chair" decided to change the "agenda" from women and girls "equality" to "superiority", ie. goddess consciousness.

If the chair determines the agenda and directs the work why could the agenda not be changed to celebrating GAIA or Mother Earth? Therefore, the rights of women and girls gets applied to their supreme female representative Mother Earth and her rights.

Any one who considers the above possibility is absurd should consider this phrase from the EO "sec. 3 Mission and Functions of the Council". The Council is responsible, "for suggesting changes to Federal programs or policies to address issues of special importance to women and girls; for reviewing and recommending changes to policies that have a distinct impact on women". Furthermore, "The purpose of this order is to establish a coordinated Federal response to issues that particularly impact the lives of women and girls". (Ibid. EO)

After all that has been written here, does any one not under stand that **Obama considers stopping irreversible climate change as a right for all women and girls of the world.**

We have already given examples earlier in this work reporting that the UN general Assembly had passed legislation regarding the recognition and rights of Mother Earth, We have noted the emphasis on GAIA by many **foundational leaders** of the **Climate Change Regime**. Most recently, "The Future We Want" statement adopted at the at the UN Sustainable Development Rio+20 meeting, not only emphasized "**gender equality and women's empowerment**" but also recognized "that **the planet Earth** and its ecosystems are our home and **that Mother Earth** is a common expression in a number of countries and regions and we **note that some countries recognize the rights of nature.....**" (Future pgfs. 8, 39)

Every one should understand that Obama's EO 13506 Establishing A "White House Council on Women and Girls" **is aimed at specific UN MDG goals and places all government Departments, agencies, and "the heads of such other executive branch departments, agencies, and offices as the President may, from time to time, designate ,"** **under one person who controls the agenda and directly over sees the work and reports directly to the President.** Therefore, all agencies Departments, etc. listed are not under the direct accountability to Congress by Executive Order, on the matters sited and whatever agenda the chair decides to implement! This sounds just like an effort to by pass the Congressional oversight thereby nullifying the separation of powers.

This EO also conforms to the UN Beijing Platform for action officially named, "The Fourth World Conference on Women: Action for Equality, Development and Peace" held on September 4-September 15, 1995. (http://www.wikigender.org/index.php/Fourth_World_Conference_on_Women)

Notice the evident **outcome of this E O** is exactly the same thing as the illegal non prosecution Policy directives already discussed, which is **to by pass Congressional oversight**, thereby setting a "legal precedent" of this nation being represented in the UN by a non elected president appointed group.

Anyone who assumes that Obama's policy on women and girls is not in complete agreement with the multitude of UN resolutions goals and agreements, just has not read either.

According to Vlaerie Jarrett "last December, President Obama released the first ever **U.S. National Action Plan on Women, Peace, and Security** and signed an

Executive Order directing the Plan's implementation. This action signaled a key commitment of the Obama Administration: to put gender equality and the advancement of women and girls at the **forefront of our foreign policy.**" (Valerie Jarrett, Progress Toward a World Without Violence Against Women and Girls, 8/10/2012, whitehouse.gov)

Jarrett informs us that on August 10, 2012, Obama issued "another important step to **prioritize and protect the rights of women and girls.**" According to her, The Executive Order requires enhanced coordination of the United States' efforts **through the creation of an interagency working group**, co-chaired by **Secretary of State Clinton** and **USAIS Administrator Shah**, designed to leverage our country's tremendous expertise and capacity to prevent and respond to **gender-based violence globally** as well as establish a **coordinated, government-wide approach to address this terrible reality.**" (Ibid.)

Take note, here is the co-**Chairwoman** of Obama's "Whitehouse Council on Women and Girls" **whose council involves virtually the entire U.S. government** is telling us about an new EO entitled "**Preventing and Responding to Violence Against Women and Girls Globally**" that will be a "**government- wide approach to address**" the "terrible" "**Global**" reality" of **gender-based violence**. As is evident from the title, the entire emphasis of this EO is unquestionably an emphasis on what is going on primarily **outside the U.S.** The EO says under Section 1. Policy (b) Under the leadership of my Administration, the United States has made gender equality and women's empowerment a **core focus of our foreign policy.**"

If "gender equality" is only a foreign policy issue, why then must the "Working Group" have representatives from "The Department of the Treasury," "The Department of Defense", "The Department of Justice", "The Department of Labor," "The Department of Health and Human Services", "The Department of Homeland Security", "the Office of Management and Budget", "The National Security Staff", "the office of the Vice President", "the Peace Corp", "The Millennium Development Corporation", "The White House Council on Women and Girls", and "**other executive departments, agencies, and offices, as designated by the Co-Chairs**"? (Ibid. Sec. 2.)

This EO is literally telling the entire Federal Government of the U.S.A. to **implement this policy "globally"**. "**Member agencies shall implement the Strategy to prevent and respond to gender-based violence globally...**" (Ibid. Sec. 3) "**Member agencies shall deepen engagement and coordination with other governments; international organizations, including multilateral and bilateral actors; the private sector; and civil society organizations, such as representatives of indigenous and marginalized groups, foundations, community-based, faith-based, and regional organizations...**" "The Working Group shall consider a range of **mechanisms by which these stakeholders** may provide input to the U.S. Government on its role in preventing and responding to gender-based violence globally." (Ibid.)

The countries groups and organizations named in this EO are often referred to in UN statements and documents already cited in this work.

This doesn't sound like a presidential directive to the U.S., it sounds like a presidential EO to the entire world , as if Obama is already president and controller of it.

Another **important part of this EO that must not be missed is that this plan works in conjunction with other plans already in place**, some of which are stated in sec.3 (b). “Member agencies shall more **comprehensively integrate gender-based violence prevention and response programming into their foreign policy and foreign assistance efforts**. This integration shall also build on current efforts that address gender-based violence, such as the **U.S. National Action Plan on Women, Peace, and Security; the Global Health Initiative; the President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief**; the U/S. Government’s work to counter trafficking in persons; and the U.S. Government’s humanitarian response efforts.” (*Ibid.* sec.3 (b))

It is the opinion of this writer that this EO is more about implementing a global government than it is about the plight of women and children. If that issue had not already been address in many and various ways it would be different.

This EO includes, “research, **data collection, and evidence –based analyses relating to different forms of gender-base violence and prevention and response efforts at the country** and local level.” (*Ibid.* sec. 3 (c)) Obviously, this means that countries are going to be analyzed and held accountable for their implementation of this EO.

This EO is essentially telling the entire world that the “global Policeman” and the “Commander in Chief” of its forces, Obama, is going to enforce this directive. The world has already been told and shown that if you cross President Obama, sooner or later, **whether you are an American citizen or not**, in this country or not, he can get to you by some means, whether it is a tactical strike force, a drone, a well funded rebellion, or any number of other options at his disposal.

It is astounding to this research writer that the U.S. economy, the job market, the financial insolvency of Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, and the failing industries that need so much attention are not getting the same kind of attention as the UN Millennium goals are from our President. Obama is too busy trying to redistribute the wealth of the US to the rest of the world by any means at his disposal to be the president of this country. **While making policy decisions which destroy the entire U.S. economy through environmental controls, Obama can proudly present his report to the Climate Change Regime showing how he is in full compliance with UNFCCC demands.**

Let us say once again we fully agree with the goal of protecting women and children but it is apparent that there is another agenda working here.

Every one should understand that when a Islamic Jihadist straps on bombs, he or she goes out to take out people that they consider the enemy, in the name of Allah. Jihadists don’t target women and children any more than they target soldiers and policemen. Much of the Muslim world see jihad as a legitimate part of their religious system even if they do not agree with the individual jihadist.

Other Executive Orders have led to more programs that implement the Climate Change Regime in and through this country and are being paid for by the taxpayers of this nation.

E O [13507 - Establishment of the White House Office of Health Reform](#) April 8, 2009

EO 13507 Laid the foundation for what is called "Obama Care", the "Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act" Public Law 11-148- Mar. 23, 2010.

Specifically, the **Healthcare Reform Office** was charged to,:

"(h) work with the Congress and executive departments and agencies to eliminate unnecessary legislative, regulatory, and other bureaucratic barriers that impede effective delivery of efficient and high-quality health care;

(i) **monitor implementation of the President's agenda on health reform;** and

(j) **help ensure that policymakers across the executive branch work toward the President's health care agenda."**

The end result of the work of this "Office" was the introduction to congress of a reported 2100 page Health Care Bill. After much discussion among **the Democrat dominated House and Senate the bill was revised and passed as Public Law 11-148 March 23, 2010 "Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.**

It probably comes as no surprise that the **Climate Change Regime has had an ongoing plan under Agenda 21 for "Providing universal access to basic social services including basic education, health care, nutrition, clean water, and sanitation."** (UN Res. A/RES/S-19/2 adopted 9/19/1997) This provision would obviously be essential to meeting the **Millennium Development Goals** by 2015 as planned and applies specifically to Goals 4,5,6,.

The **importance of universal health care is reemphasized** in the officially adopted Rio+20 **"The Future We Want"** p. 25. Paragraph 139 states, "We also recognize the **importance of universal health coverage to enhancing health, social cohesion and sustainable human economic development.** We pledge to strengthen health systems towards the **provision of equitable universal coverage.** We call for the **involvement of all relevant actors** for coordinated multi-sectoral action to address urgently the health needs of the world's population."(Op. cite Future.)

Isn't it amazing that Obama Care was hyped with language virtually identical to, "We pledge to strengthen health systems towards the **provision of equitable universal coverage.**" Isn't that what Obama Care is all about?

Don't forget, however, that Obama Care is intent on forcing even religious organizations to **provide insurance coverage which includes abortion.** This requirement also agrees with the Rio+ 20 document which says in paragraph 145 "We call for the full and effective implementation of **the Beijing Platform for Action, the International Conference on Population and Development Program of Action, and the outcomes of their review conferences including the commitments** leading to sexual **reproductive human health** and the promotion and protection of all human rights in this context. We emphasize the need **for the provision of universal access to reproductive health, including family planning and sexual health and the integration of reproductive health in national strategies and programmes.**" (Ibid.)

Obama Care has placed the USA clearly in full agreement, and participation with, UN decisions concerning Universal health Care but could it be doing eve more?

Could Obama Care actually be setting up a "Medicaid Global Payment Demonstration Project" to test a Global Health Care Plan?

Here is a section. 2705 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act:
SEC. 2705. MEDICAID GLOBAL PAYMENT SYSTEM DEMONSTRATION

PROJECT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Health and Human Services (referred to in this section as the “Secretary”) shall, in coordination with the Center for Medicare and Medicaid Innovation (as established under section 1115A of the Social Security Act, as added by section 3021 of this Act), **establish the Medicaid Global Payment System Demonstration Project** under which a participating State shall adjust the payments made to an eligible safety net hospital system or network from a fee-for-service payment structure to a global capitated payment model.

(b) **DURATION AND SCOPE.**—The demonstration project conducted **under this section shall operate during a period of fiscal years 2010 through 2012. The Secretary shall select not more than 5 States to participate in the demonstration project.**

(c) **ELIGIBLE SAFETY NET HOSPITAL SYSTEM OR NETWORK.**—For purposes of this section, the term “eligible safety net hospital system or network” means a large, safety net hospital system or network (as defined by the Secretary) that operates within a State selected by the Secretary under subsection (b).

(d) **EVALUATION.**—

(1) **TESTING.**—**The Innovation Center shall test and to examine any changes in health care quality outcomes and spending by the eligible safety net hospital systems or networks.**

(2) **BUDGET NEUTRALITY.**—During the testing period under paragraph (1), any budget neutrality requirements under section 1115A(b)(3) of the Social Security Act (as so added) shall not be applicable.

(3) **MODIFICATION.**—**During the testing period under paragraph (1), the Secretary may, in the Secretary’s discretion, modify or terminate the demonstration project conducted under this section.**

(e) **REPORT.**—**Not later than 12 months after the date of completion of the demonstration project under this section, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report containing the results of the evaluation and testing conducted under subsection (d), together with recommendations for such legislation and administrative action as the Secretary determines appropriate.**

(f) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—**There are authorized to be appropriated such sums as are necessary to carry out this section.** (this is from page 206 of the PDF document)

Don't forget Nancy Pelosi said, "**we have to pass the bill so you can find out what 's in it** away from the controversy". (www.youtube.com/watch?v=hV-05TLiLU
Uploaded by [PoliJAM](#) on Mar 9, 2010)

Some one out there is saying Obama can not be actually talking about a test project for the whole world. He has to be using the term "global" in a more localized context. Maybe he's talking about making payments to US citizens outside the US, like in a "state" of Mexico like Chihuahua.

Why, then does President Obama have a "Global Development Policy and The Global Health Initiative?

Here is the first paragraph from his *Global Health Initiative* document:

"President Obama’s \$63 billion Global Health Initiative (GHI), launched in May 2009, partners with countries to improve health outcomes through strengthened

health systems, increased and integrated investments in maternal and child health, family planning, nutrition and infectious diseases including HIV/AIDS, tuberculosis, malaria and neglected tropical diseases, and through a particular focus on **improving the health of women, newborns and children. While the GHI will be implemented in all countries receiving U.S. health assistance**, eight fast-track countries, Bangladesh, Ethiopia, Guatemala, Kenya, Malawi, Mali, Nepal and Rwanda, will receive additional technical and management support to quickly implement and learn from GHI's approach, including integrated programs and investments across the health priorities, fostering greater country ownership and targeting health systems activities that deliver results. These countries will provide enhanced opportunities to build upon existing public health programs; improve program performance; **and work in close collaboration with partner governments, across U.S. government agencies**, and with global partners." (www.whitehouse.gov/sites/default/files/Global_Health_Fact_Sheet.pdf)

How many US citizens know that the Obama administration dedicated \$63 billion dollars in 2009 to the UN Climate Change Regime goal of Universal health Care? And to think that it all started with a simple Executive Order.

Obama was not content with his first shot at Global Universal Health Care. Oh, no, "the **President's 2010 Budget begins to focus attention on broader global health challenges**, including child and **maternal health, family planning**, and neglected tropical diseases, with cost effective intervention. **It also provides robust funding for HIV/AIDS**. The initiative adopts a more integrated approach to fighting diseases, improving health, and strengthening health systems.

The U.S. global health investment is an important component of the national security "smart power" strategy, where the power of America's development tools -- **especially proven, cost-effective health care initiatives** -- can build the capacity of government institutions and reduce the risk of conflict before it gathers strength." (http://www.whitehouse.gov/the_press_office/Statement-by-the-President-on-Global-Health-Initiative)

Even the **Counsel on Foreign Relations** had some comments on **Obama' Global health Care Initiative** and bemoan the idea that "our *entire* 2010 foreign assistance budget amounts to *less than one percent of the federal budget*.." (http://www.cfr.org/content/thinktank/GlobalHealth/GHU_Feb24.pdf)

Could the Counsel on Foreign Relations criticism be what encouraged Obama to make a stronger move on his GHI?

How many people knew that in **2010 Obama signed a Global Health Initiative "Presidential Directive"**? (<http://www.ghi.gov/documents/organization/157796.pdf>)

The GHI.gov web site document starts, "The Obama Administration has embraced **global health as a core feature of its national security**, diplomacy, and development work around the world. **On September 22, 2010, President Obama signed a Presidential Policy Directive on Global Development, the first of its kind by a U.S. Administration**. The directive recognizes that development, diplomacy, and defense are components of a comprehensive, integrated approach to the challenges we face today. Consistent with this directive, the first-ever Quadrennial Diplomacy and Development Review (QDDR) conducted by the Department of State and the U.S. Agency for **International Development (USAID) identified global health as one of six development areas where the U.S. government is best placed to deliver meaningful results and advance America's core interests**. Countries that achieve sustained

development gains and tangible improvements in the health status of their populations make more capable partners, can engage in and contribute to the global economy, and provide citizens with the opportunity, means and freedom to improve their lives. " (Ibid. p. 3.)

Who would have guessed that Global Universal Health Care was a "core feature" of our "national security"? Take note of how well that fits in with the women's rights and protections that are considered crucial to national and global security.

We need to call together all the great Military leaders who actually fought wars and, if it were possible, say, "How important do you think universal health care for the rest of the world is to our national security"? Do you think focusing the attention of the entire federal Government on fighting against global gender-based violence is crucial to our national security?

Part of how the US is working with countries to implement his GHI is, "In each **GHI country, an interagency GHI health team, consisting of U.S. experts in public health, development, diplomacy, and other relevant areas, is being established.** In collaboration with partner governments and other country counterparts, this team will work to implement GHI in a manner that strengthens and supports country health plans and strategies that are based on evidence and appropriate cost estimates. Teams are beginning by reviewing the country's health policies, targets, strategies, plans and systems and by assessing how the U.S. and other partners support country priorities."

Obama's 2010 "Directive", ends by assuring us that **none of the 80 plus countries that we give health aid to will receive more than \$50 million a piece, which is really comforting to people looking for jobs here in the US.** However, the concluding statement includes, "This is an ambitious endeavor **that requires a full measure of commitment and collaboration across U.S. agencies and with our global and national partners.** It is an intensive effort that the Obama Administration sees as the leading edge of a comprehensive, **future-oriented vision of U.S. contributions to global development.**" (Ibid. p. 15)

This entire Presidential Directive on GHI sounds like the USA is the UN. We are not just complying with the UN goals and plans we are using the resources of the USA to implement them. This sounds like it applies to Obama's August 10, 2012 EO.

Now comes an astounding development, **after the Supreme Court Decision Decided June 28, 2012 that upheld the constitutionality of the "Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act" (Obama Care), the Obama administration announced that the Global Health Initiative's office is closing.**" (<http://www.globalpost.com/dispatches/globalpost-blogs/global-pulse/obama-administration-closes-global-health-initiative-office>) ("Obama Administration Closes Global Health Initiative Office", by John Donnelly 7/3/2012, *Global Pulse*)

The reason? Officially, the GHI Executive Director Lois Quam, says "that the **office's work will be "elevated" into the State Department's Office of Global Diplomacy and that GHI's principles of building health systems to treat patients instead of diseases are now firmly embedded in developing countries where 42 GHI country teams have been established.**" (Ibid.)

Lets think now who is controls Obama's newly created **interagency working group**, created by his August 10, 2010 EO entitled "Preventing and Responding to

Violence against Women and Girls Globally”? Oh, yes it is to **co-chaired by Secretary of State Clinton and USAIS Administrator Shah.**

Additionally Quam said, “By shifting from what was too often an internal focus to **a strong external focus, we feel this diplomacy focus is important in order to bring more resources to achieve GHI targets.**” “I’m very pleased about this.” (Ibid.)

Is there really a possibility that Obama has closed one of his prime agenda directives”? No way. **He incorporated it (GHI) in the State Department, extended its reach to focus on the entire world through an EO and can fund it through Obama Care without a budget allocation because it is a tax!**

This author believes that **the GHI's "elevation" is included in the "Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act"**. Not only is it possibly included through out the Obama Care plan, sec.2705 indicates they are even developing a "Medicaid **Global Payment Demonstration Project.**" (see above)

Here are a few more questions.

If the entire "Patient Protection Affordable Care Act" applies to Americans why do you need to state in Title 1 that it is "For All Americans", and not state the same thing in Titles, II, III, IV, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, then state it again in Title X?

Why do you need a "Community-based Transitions program"? (sec 3026)?

Why do you need the "Exemption of certain pharmacies from accreditation requirements"? (sec. 3109)

Why do you need numerous **"demonstration projects"**, of which the following is a partial list: "Funding for Childhood obesity" (sec. 4306), "Alternative dental Healthcare providers" (sec. 5304), "To address health professions workforce needs; extension of family-to-family health information centers" (sec. 5507), "National independent monitor" (sec. 6112), **"National demonstration projects on culture change and use of information technology in nursing homes"** (sec. 6114)?

When you are changing from a male dominated society to a female equal rights society you are going to need a lot of training.

Is any one reading this work so uninformed as not to know that **the entire U.S. medical system including nursing homes has been using information technology for twenty years?**

We certainly **do not need national demonstration projects on using information technology but undeveloped countries do.**

Under Title V **"Health Care Workforce"**, which does not state that it is for all Americans, why do you need a sec. 5315 that states it is the **"United States Public Health Track"** if the whole thing is only focused on the United States already?

Obviously many more questions could be asked but hopefully, these will illustrate how it is not an impossibility that Obama's "Global Healthcare Initiative" when "elevated" into the State Department's Office was also incorporated into the "Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act" for its funding.

Remember this all started out with the Executive Order 23507.

There are others Executive Orders that have enormous potential for compromising the sovereignty of this nation as well.

E O 13528, January 11, 2010 Establishment of the Council of Governors

On January 10, 2010 President Obama signed **Executive Order 13528** "Establishment of the Council of Governors" which is **stated purpose** is "to **strengthen further the partnership between the Federal Government and State governments** to protect our Nation and its people and property..."

The Council consists "**of 10 State Governors appointed by the President** (Members), of whom no more than five shall be of the same political party" who serve for two years but can be "reappointed for additional terms" (Ibid.)

The Council has co-chairs from each party **designated by the President who have the power to call a meeting.** (Ibid.) The meeting can also be called by the Secretary of Defense.

The **Council meets** to "**exchange views, information, or advice** with the **Secretary of Defense**; The Secretary of Homeland Security; The Assistant to the President for Homeland Security and Counterterrorism; the Assistant to the President for **Intergovernmental Affairs** and Public Engagement; the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Homeland Defense and America's Security Affairs; **the Commander, United States Northern Command**; the Chief, National Guard Bureau; the Commandant of the Coast guard; and other appropriate officials of the **Department of Homeland Security** and the **Department of Defense** and **appropriate officials of other executive departments or agencies as may be designated** by the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of Homeland Security.

The Council is supposed to be discussing things like "Matters involving the **National Guard of the various States**; Homeland defense; **civil support**; **synchronization and integration of State and Federal** military activities in the United States, **and other matters of mutual interest** pertaining to National Guard, homeland defense, **and civil support** activities." (Ibid.)

The **United States Northern Command** refers back to a US-Canadian agreement in 2002 when President GW Bush created USNORTHCOM. This agreement allowed an entire Army division to be tasked with "homeland defense efforts and coordinate defense support of civil authorities." (Chuck Baldwin, "President Obama Establishes Council of Governors", <http://www.newswithviews.com/baldwin/baldwin562.htm>)

While "The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008", signed into law by President G. W. Bush, mandated in sec. 18922 a "**bipartisan Council of Governors to advise the Secretary of Defense**," Bush never appointed the Council. (Mata Harley, "Connecting the dots on Obama's Council of Governors", <http://floppingaces.net/2010/01/13/connecting-a-few-of-those-dots-on-obamas-council-of-governors/>)

In **June of 2009**, USNORTHCOM sent a legislative proposal to Democrat dominated and controlled Congress requesting "**amending Title 10 of USC, expanding the Secretary of Defense's powers** to mobilization of the Army Reserve, Air Force Reserve, Navy Reserve, and Marine Corps Reserve to assist civil authorities in disasters and emergencies ...' thus enabling a truly Total Force approach to disaster response.'" (Ibid.)

"More ominously, **nothing in the Pentagon's request specifies that the troops to be posted in U.S. cities would necessarily be Americans.**" (Ibid.)

The report notes that in September of 2009, USNORTHCOM released its 32-page **initial framework for the "Tri Command**," referring to NORAD, NORTHCOM, and

Canada COM. It is noted that while NORTHCOM AND Canada COM are national organizations, **NORAD is set up as a binational force.** (Ibid.)

It is largely understood, therefore, that the Council of Governors has been established for the purpose of getting the governors' blessing on this newly accumulated power. " (ibid.)

Here is a question, **why would you want a council of only 10 governors to represent 50 governors of their individual states?**

Wouldn't each governor have unique information and understanding of his or her own state situation, therefore, knowing better how to respond?

Obviously, if the President "appoints" the 10 **governors he wants then he can be sure that they represent his views.**

It has been observed by this author and others that EO 13528 while stating that the Council's function is "to exchange views, information, or advice.." it does not specifically limit it to that purpose. Remember its stated purpose "to strengthen further the partnership between the Federal Government and State governments **to protect our Nation and its people and property**" (EO)

Would any one have guessed that Climate Change would be seen as a threat to U.S. Security thus implementing the Climate Change Regime?

The **New York Times** reported, "The **changing global climate** will pose profound strategic challenges to the United States in coming decades, **raising the prospect of military intervention** to deal with the effects of violent storms, drought, mass migration and pandemics, **military and intelligence analysts say.** " (John M. Broder, "Climate Change Seen as Threat to U.S. Security", New York Times, 8/8/2009, <http://www.nytimes.com/2009/08/09/science/earth/09climate.html?>)

"Such climate-induced crises could topple governments, feed terrorist movements or destabilize entire regions, say the analysts, experts at the Pentagon and intelligence agencies who for the first time are taking a serious look at the national security implications of [climate change](#)." (Ibid.)

The Department of Defense's assessment of the security issue came about after prodding by Congress to **include climate issues in its strategic plans — specifically, in 2008 budget authorizations by [Hillary Rodham Clinton](#) and [John W. Warner](#), then senators.** The department's climate modeling is based on sophisticated [Navy](#) and Air Force weather programs and other **government climate research programs at [NASA](#) and the [National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration](#).** (Ibid.)

These assertions of man made climate change and **it being a security risk**, by NASA and the GISS, in particular, resulted in 49 former NASA scientists and astronauts sending a letter to NASA on March 28, 2012. The letter, addressed to "The Honorable Charles Bolden, Jr.", requests "that NASA and the Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) **refrain from including unproven remarks in public releases and websites.**" And continues, "**We believe the claims by NASA and GISS, that man-made carbon dioxide is having a catastrophic impact on global climate change are not substantiated, especially when considering thousands of years of empirical data.** With hundreds of well-known climate scientists and tens of thousands of other scientists publicly declaring their disbelief in the catastrophic forecasts, coming particularly from the GISS leadership, it is clear that the science is NOT settled."

“The unbridled **advocacy of CO2 being the major cause of climate change is unbecoming of NASA’s history** of making objective assessment of all available scientific data prior to making decisions or public statements.”

“At risk is damage to the exemplary reputation of NASA, NASA’s current or former scientists and employees, and even the reputation of science itself.”

The final paragraph reads, “For additional information regarding the science behind our concern, we recommend that you contact Harrison Schmitt or Walter Cunningham, or others they can recommend to you.” (Excerpts from full text of Mar 28, 2012 letter)

The Letter from the 49 former scientists and astronauts included their names, position and length of service. (Blanquita Cullum, bqview at mac.com “Joint Letter to NASA Administrator blasts agency’s policy of ignoring empirical evidence” 4/10/2012)

The letter from the 49 former NASA scientists and astronauts is also an apparent response to Jansen Hansen of NASA and Gavin Schmidt with the GISS who are environmental activists. In fact Hansen has recently been arrested at the White House while protesting the Keystone pipeline. (whatsappwiththat.com/2012/04/10/Hansen-and-Schmidt-of-nasa-giss-under-fire-engineers-scientists-astronauts-ask-nasa ...)

Regardless of the real science the agenda to implement the Climate Change Regime continues relentlessly.

The Pentagon and the State Department are now considering the effects of global warming in their long-term planning documents. The Pentagon will include a climate section in the Quadrennial Defense Review, due in February; the State Department will address the issue in its new Quadrennial Diplomacy and Development Review.

“The sense that climate change poses security and geopolitical challenges is central to the thinking of the State Department and the climate office,” said Peter Ogden, chief of staff to Todd Stern, the State Department’s top climate negotiator.

Now that we know that the **Council of Governors could be working with our “State Department,” “Department of Defense”,** etc. to "protect our nation its people and its property" from non existent **“man made climate change”**, who are these governors and is there a reason why there are ten?

While the question can be answered from numerous sources, wikipedia has the governors listed in accordance with the FEMA region that they represent as follows, along with others named in the EO.

Council members 2011-2012

Role	Name	State	Party	FEMA Region
Executive Director	---	---	---	---
Co-Chair	Terry Branstad	Iowa	Republican	Region VII
Co-Chair	Chris Gregoire	Washington	Democrat	Region X
Member	Luis Fortuño	Puerto Rico	NPP/Republican	Region II

Member	Robert McDonnell	Virginia	Republican	Region III
Member	Martin O'Malley	Maryland	Democrat	Region III
Member	Beverly Perdue	North Carolina	Democrat	Region IV
Member	Brad Henry	Oklahoma	Democrat	Region VI
Member	Neil Abercrombie	Hawaii	Democrat	Region IX
Member	Jay Nixon	Missouri	Democrat	Region VII
Member	Matt Mead	Wyoming	Republican	Region VIII
Member	Janice Brewer	Arizona	Republican	Region IX
Secretary of Defense	Leon Panetta	Federal	---	---
Secretary of Homeland Security	Janet Napolitano	Federal	---	---
Asst to the President for Homeland Security and Counterterrorism	John O. Brennan	Federal	---	---
Asst to the President for Intergovernmental Affairs and Public Engagement	Valerie Jarrett	Federal	---	---
Asst Secretary of Defense for Homeland Defense and Americas' Security Affairs	Paul N. Stockton	Federal	---	---
USNORTHCOM Commander	Admiral James A. Winnefeld, Jr.	Federal	---	---
Commandant US Coast Guard	Admiral Robert J. Papp, Jr.	Federal	---	---
Chief National Guard Bureau	Craig R. McKinley	Federal	---	---

(en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Council_of_Governors)

So we see that the number ten is in fact chosen on the basis of the division of the U.S. into ten regions by FEMA. We also note that the ten FEMA regions are represented by the governors selected with the exception of Region V which Obama could represent since it includes Chicago).

A Canadian author has commented on the establishment of the Governors Council and what its potential implications can be. Judi Mcleod writing for *Canada Free Press* titles her article, "American Republic replaced by Council of Governors" expressed an interesting insight. "In the opening days of the New Year, President

Barack Obama has set up a “Council of Governors”. **Like the 30-plus czars running America with neither the people’s nor the congress’s blessings**, the Council of Governors is already a done deal.

“Is this a first step towards Martial Law, or a tie to the InterPol, RAND National Police Force stuff we’ve been hearing about,” **asked a Texas patriot who tipped off Canada Free Press (CFP) after finding news of the new Council of Governors on Twitter**. “Is this a sort of Homeland Security Politburo? (Judi Mcleod Canada Free Press, "American Republic replaced by Council of Governors", 1/12/2012, <http://www.canadafreepress.com/index.php/print-friendly/18890>)

What would make anyone suspect that a Council of Governors, representing the USA, would lead to “replacing the American Republic”?

Would it surprise any one to know that before Obama’s Executive Order a group of state Governors has already gone to the UNFCCC representing the USA on Climate Change matters?

According to a “**Global Climate Solutions Declaration**”, “thirty-one international representatives” who signed the Global Climate Solutions Declaration in Los Angeles, California” on November 19, 2008 have reaffirmed that commitment to the goals and principles of the original declaration on October 2, 2009. (www.gfctaskforce.org)

The group that includes **U. S. governors** Arnold Swarzenegger, (CA), Jodi Rell (CN), Pat Quinn (IL), Mark Parkinson (KA), John Baldacci (Main), Jennifer Granholm (Mich), David Paterson (NY), Bill Richardson (NM), Theodore Kulonski, (OR), John P. de Jongh Jr. (Vir. Isl), Tim Kaine (Va), Chris Gregoire (WA), Jim Doyle (WI), Jon Corzine (NJ) **represents 8 EPA regions**.

Not only did these **governors reaffirm the first commitment** to meet UNFCCC **Climate Change Regime** goals but **further committed to** “Pursuit of Clean Transportation and Mobility”. They explain this, “action to **reduce greenhouse gas emissions** from the transportation sector...” by first emphasizing, “Widespread development and **use of zero and near-zero emitting vehicles and fuels** to achieve the scale of greenhouse gas emissions reductions necessary...” (Ibid.)

Everyone needs to **wake up to the fact that zero emissions from vehicles means**, at this point, **all electric cars**. The problem with this is that with the demise of coal fired power plants, hydro electric power plants, and nuclear power plants, there will not be enough electricity to power all the light bulbs in the U.S. not to mention air conditioning units, electric ovens, washers, dryers, refrigerators, freezers and especially electric cars.

The US Governors signing the Global Climate Solutions Declaration also commit to, “Support National Climate Legislation.” The say, “Recognizing the concept of differentiated responsibility, strong national policies are crucial to coordinated global efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and adapt to climate change. We support efforts throughout the world to enact national legislation that addresses climate change.” “We believe that the **United States must enact comprehensive climate change legislation** during the current session of the U.S. Congress in order to put the world on a path to greenhouse stabilization” (Ibid.)

Under the heading “Need for Adaptation Efforts”, because “**Climate Change is an immediate and long-term threat**”, the governors commit to “Advocating for a

comprehensive role for adaptation in international climate policy under development by the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)." (*Ibid.*)

Finally, the US governors, with their other "sub-National Governments" "embrace the overarching principles of subnational engagement and support for the efforts of national governments which include: Developing a shared vision for global security and prosperity; Pursuing **adaptation strategies** to address current and future climate change; **Mitigating greenhouse gas emissions**; **supporting the use of finance mechanisms** to address global warming; and **promoting technology transfer** and capacity building agreements."

What are these "sub-national" governors and others trying to achieve by affirming the UNFCCC goals? The last paragraph of this "Global Climate Solutions Declaration" answers that question. It says, "We commit to work together **to promote recognition of the role of subnational governments** at the 15th UNFCCC Conference of Parties and in future international forums. **We support negotiations leading toward international recognition of this role.**" (*Ibid.*)

The bottom line is that the group of governors named above lobbied in **Copenhagen at the UNFCCC COP 15 for recognition of sub national governments who could represent the national governments in the signing of a treaty.**

It is well known that the U.S. Congress **has refused adopt a legally binding Climate Control Regime** treaty like the Kyoto Protocol for a number of reasons. The **first reason**, is because of the fraud science that has been disputed by reputable scientists. Furthermore, Climate gate I and II before the Copenhagen UNFCCC COP 15 meeting revealed purposeful distortion of data to make models look like drastic "global warming" was taking place when in fact it was not. **The second reason** should be the "chicken little" the "the sky is falling" mentality that is being used to implement a **Climate Control Government through hysterical non proven and highly suspect propaganda**. Literally, the **Climate Change Regime**, by their own admission, does not have to have any kind of real scientific proof because **they are committed to the "precautionary approach" in approaching climate change**. **A third reason** Congress has refused to ratify Climate Control Regime treaties is that they have gone on record in regard to the **"economically most cost efficient approach" to implementing greenhouse gas emission regulations**. **Fourth**, as we have seen with the coal fired power plant debacle, **implementing the Climate Change Regime requirements will destroy cost efficient production of electrical energy**. This will leave this nation without enough continuous electrical energy to sustain the American civilized way of life. **Fifth**, implementing the **Climate Change Regime** demands **will literally destroy all industrialized society** as we know it, because **that is the end product of zero output from fossil fuels coupled with a low to zero carbon use society**. **Finally**, **implementing the Climate Control Regime demands will bankrupt this** or any known industrialized **nation** that tries to fully implement them. Any one who does not believe that statement need look no farther than Greece, Italy, Spain, France, and England to see how well it is working with only partially more implementation than the U.S.

Since, the U.S. Congress, at this point, has not been stupid enough to ratify a legally binding treaty, **legal precedents must be by set so that a legally binding Climate Control Regime** can be implemented by some “instrument with legal force”.

Obama’s EO 13528 **legally establishing the Council of Governors elevates them from a self appointed group, totally affirming implementation of UNFCCC demands and commitments, to authorized representatives of the U.S.** While we recognize that the group of governors is not identical the intent is the same. **This EO establishes one more legal precedent toward destroying the sovereignty of the U.S.**

With this understanding, we can see how the *Canadian Free Press* writer could arrive at the title of her 1/12/2012 article, "American Republic replaced by Council of Governors". (Op. cit.)

Executive Order 13575 The white House Rural Council 6/9/2011

The Blaze writes President Obama’s EO 13575 is designed to begin taking control over almost all aspects of the lives of 16% of the American people.” (theblaze.com, “Does the New ‘White House Rural Council’ = UN’s Agenda 21”, 6/9/2011)

June 9, 2011 Obama issues EO 13575 and establishes a "**White House Rural Council**".

"Section 1 Policy" Sixteen percent of the American population lives in rural counties. Strong, sustainable rural communities are essential to winning the future and ensuring American competitiveness in the years ahead. These communities supply our food, fiber and energy, safeguard our natural resources, and are essential in the development of science innovation. Though rural communities face numerous challenges, they also present enormous economic potential. The Federal Government has an important role to play in order to expand access to the capital necessary for economic growth, promote innovation, improve access to health care and education, and expand outdoor recreational activities on public lands."

Sentence one raised some red flags from the start. It says, “Strong **sustainable rural communities** are essential to winning the future and ensuring American competitiveness in years ahead.” Any one who has reviewed the Agenda 21, adopted by the UN at Rio in 1992, knows the source of this language and its intent to control every aspect of human existence. **This wording is absolutely intended to place all of rural USA under the Climate Control Regime through Sustainable Development Agenda 21.**

This author was raised in a rural, farm related, community and does not see the need for this type of **Federal control at all**. Farm communities have always been strongholds for traditional values that have affirmed "life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness", as foundational to the American way of life. Beside all this, most rural communities have maintained good education, had access to quality health care and outdoor recreational activities without using "public lands", and without "Federal" assistance, regulation and intervention.

The Blaze writer above says, "**the third sentence also makes it quite clear that the government intends to take greater control over "food, fiber, and energy."**" (Op. cit Blaze)

Who is on the "White House Rural Council" that is chaired by Tom Visklack, the current Secretary of Agriculture? Amazingly, many of the exact same US Departments and agencies on the, White House Council for Women and Girls, and the "working group" established by Obama's EO entitled "Preventing and Responding to Violence Against Women and Girls Globally Working Group", namely potentially the entire Federal Government but the list includes the heads of: The Departments of the Treasury, Defense, Justice, Interior, Commerce, Labor, Health and Human Services, Housing and Urban Development, Transportation, Energy, Education, Veterans Affairs, Homeland Security, EPA, FCC, OMB office of Science and Technology policy, National Drug Control Policy, Council of Economic Advisers, Domestic Policy Council, National Economic Council, Small Business Administration, The Council on Environmental Quality, The White House Office of Public Engagement and Intergovernmental Affairs, The white House office of Cabinet affairs, and such other executive branch departments, agencies, and offices as the President or Secretary of Agriculture may from time to time designate.

Even if a department, agency or council got left out it is included in the final statement!

"Under sec. 4 Mission and Function of the Council" is to "coordinate" all parties named for "development of policy recommendations"... and "shall **coordinate my administration's engagement** with rural communities". Under this heading the council is charged with (a) "Making recommendations to the President", "on streamlining and leveraging Federal investments in rural areas...."; (b) **coordinate and increase the effectiveness of Federal engagement with rural stakeholders**,law enforcement, State, local, and tribal governments, and **nongovernmental organizations, regarding the needs of rural America**"; (c) **coordinate Federal efforts** directed toward the growth and **development of geographic regions** that encompass both urban and rural areas; (d) **Identify and facilitate** rural economic opportunities associated with energy **development**, outdoor recreation, and other **conservation related activities**."

All of these **directives** can be found in **recommendations** for participating nations made in, United Nations June 20-22, 2012 "**The Future We Want**" document adopted at the Rio+20 Sustainable Development meeting. They can also be found in Agenda 21's recommendations and through out the multitude of "sustainable development" documents.

It is not any accident that the EPA made their "Mandatory Reporting" requirement that deals with large farms and larger providers that produce beef (cattle), pork (pigs), poultry, and eggs (chickens), and mutton (sheep). These regulations deal with how much poop is gathered, how it is held, and how it is disposed of, and a whole lot more. (**Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gases; Final Rule** Friday October 30, 2009)

There is now established by EO 13575 a "**White House Rural Council**" made up of **Presidential appointees** that is **charged with making further recommendations to the Obama administration** to implement the goals and plans of the **Climate Change Regime** into the fabric of rural America, **there by compromising the sovereignty of the U.S. and subverting the Constitutional form of government**. This should be considered treason. Other Executive orders clearly are designed to undermine the sovereignty of the USA and subvert the Constitution.

EO 13600 Establishing "President's Global Development Council" 2/9/2012

This EO came from part of Obama's plan of implementation of his Presidential Policy Directive on Global Development.

On September the 22, 2010 Obama, "signed a **"Presidential Policy Directive on Global Development"**, the first of its kind by a U.S. administration. This directive recognizes that development is vital to U.S. national security and is a strategic, economic, and moral imperative for the United States. It calls for **development as a core pillar of American power** and charts a course for development, diplomacy and defense to mutually reinforce and complement one another in **an integrated comprehensive approach to national security.**" (White House press release, "Fact Sheet: U.S. Global Development Policy" 9/22/2010, whitehouse.gov)

The reason that **no other administration had this kind of policy is because no other president was brazen enough to try to place this nation under the United Nations Agenda 21 Sustainable Development Climate Control Regime.**

This Presidential Policy Directive is based on **"global climate change"**. (Ibid)

It calls for a **"development agenda" for the 21st century** (Agenda 21). (Ibid)

It says, "Without **sustainable development**, meeting these challenges will prove impossible." (Ibid.)

It proposes to, "Use **U.S. leadership in the multilateral development banks, U. N. agencies**, other international organizations, other donors, ... **to deploy a full range of** our development tools and policies at our disposal." (Ibid. p.3)

It places "greater emphasis on" the US "pursuit of the objectives set out in the" UN **"Millennium Development Goals."** (Ibid.)

It plans to, **"Create new multilateral capabilities as, and where needed, as we have done by making the G20 the premier forum** for our international economic cooperation" (Ibid.)

It intends to **"establish mechanisms for ensuring coherence in U.S. development policy across the United States Government"**. (Ibid.) **One of the mechanisms** that Obama planned was a **"U.S. Global Development Council"**, comprised of leading members of the philanthropic sector, private sector, academia, and civil society, to provide high level **input relevant to the work of the United States Government agencies.**" (Ibid. p.4)

Anyone who has read UN Sustainable Development materials knows that Obama's plan is an unquestionable implementation of the **Climate Change Regime's Agenda 21.**

It comes as no surprise then that part of this Global Development Directive under the heading **"Implementation"** is requiring **"the national Security Staff"** to **"coordinate the interagency in completing this Presidential Policy Directive, beginning with the FY 2012 budget process."** (Ibid. p. 7)

Part of what is to be implemented by this Presidential Directive is **Obama's "Global Climate Change Initiative"** which is built on the commitments Obama has made for the USA to the **Climate Change Regime UNFCCC**. Obama dictates, **"The United States will integrate climate change considerations into its foreign assistance strategy to foster a low-carbon future** and promote sustainable and resilient societies in

coming decades. As a part of President Obama's commitments in Copenhagen, we are working together with our partners to provide **'fast start' climate finance approaching \$30 billion during the period 2010-2012** to help meet the adaptation and mitigation needs of developing countries, including deploying clean energy technologies. The Administration will **use the full range of mechanisms** - bilateral, multilateral and private - to invest strategically in building lasting resilience to **unavoidable climate impacts; reduce emissions** from deforestation and land degradation; **and support low-carbon development strategies and the transition to a sustainable, clean energy economy.**" (Ibid. p.8)

Finally, without any argument, in an **official White House publication** of Obama's **"U.S. Global Development Policy"** statement on the **"Global Climate Change Initiative"** we hear the truth of his plan for the USA. He plans to "'fast start' climate finance" with **\$30 billion during 2010 -2012**. This money goes to the U.N. Climate Fund established at the UNFCCC COP 16 meeting in Cancun, Mexico in **2010 to fund implementation of the Climate Change Regime's global government plan**. Furthermore, **the Climate Change Regime global government is implementing a "low-carbon," "sustainable development", "clean energy" (low or no fossil fuel emissions) "rules based government"**.

Obama's 2/09/2012 EO **"Establishing the President's Global Development Council"** involves the **entire Federal Government in implementing Obama's Global Development Policy which is The Climate Change Regime UNFCCC "rules based" governance if not government.**

Sect 1 Policy removes any doubt of this by saying, "As stated in the 2010 National Security Strategy and **the Presidential Policy Directive on Global Development**, the successful pursuit of development is essential to advancing our national security objectives: security, prosperity, **respect for universal values**, and a just **and sustainable international order.**"

Sec. 2 Establishment "establishes the **President's Global Development Council**", **"for administrative purposes within the United States Agency for International Development (USAID)** subject to the foreign policy and budgetary guidance of the Secretary of State".

Sec. 3 Membership includes "not more than 12 individuals **from outside the Federal Government appointed by the President**, The **Secretary of State**, Treasury, **Defense**, USAID administrator; Chief Executive Officer of the Millennium Challenge Corp" are "non voting members". We remind everyone that the **Presidential Policy Directive on Global Development** included, "more effectively" drawing "on contributions **of agencies across the United States Government**, including the Departments of **Agriculture, Health and Human Services, Justice, Labor, Commerce**, and **Treasury**, Overseas Private Investment Corporation, United States Export-Import Bank, and the United States Trade and Development Agency" (Op. cit p. 6)

EO 13601 Establishment of "Interagency Trade Enforcement Center" 2/28/2012 (Federal Register 77FR 12981, 3/5/2012)

This EO establishes and "Interagency Trade Enforcement Center" inside the "Office of the U.S. Trade Representative". This new "Enforcement Center" is

supposed, "To strengthen our **capacity to monitor and enforce** U.S. trade rights and domestic trade laws, and thereby enhance market access for U.S. exporters" thus coordinating and augmenting efforts **to reduce or eliminate foreign trade barriers** and unfair foreign trade practices." This is supposed to "ensure that U.S. workers, businesses, ranchers, and farmers receive the maximum benefit from our **international trade agreements** and under domestic trade laws."

The "Enforcement Center" once established **"shall coordinate matters relating to enforcement of U.S. trade rights under international trade agreements and enforcement of domestic trade laws among the USTR"** and The Departments of (1)State, (2)Treasury, (3) Justice, (4) Agriculture, (5) Commerce, (6) Homeland Security, (7) Office of the Director of National Intelligence, (8) **other agencies** as the President, or the United States Trade Representative, **may designate**.

Why is there a need to have an "Enforcement Center" working out of the U.S. Trade Representative's office whose mission is to "serve as the primary forum within the Federal Government for USTR and other agencies to coordinate enforcement...."?

Every one of the Departments listed has far more employees and resources than the Office of the U.S. Trade Representative, so, what could possibly be the motivation for setting up this **coordinating, monitoring, and policing center**?

If you wanted to compromise the national sovereignty and place the USA under the Climate Control Regime, you would make this official Enforcement Center an INTERPOL Enforcement Center. With INTERPOL in this position they will monitor all US import and output activity, **enforcing Climate Change Regime rules and enabling the gathering of taxes.**

It is important to take note that the new **Enforcement Center "shall also have an Intelligence Community Liaison**, who shall be a **full-time senior-level official** of the Federal Government recommended by the Director of National Intelligence ... assigned to the Center".

Why would you need a **"full-time senior-level Federal Government, Intelligence Community Liaison"** if this is a Federal government group in the first place?

EO 13602 "White House Council on Strong Cities, Strong Communities" 3/15/2012 (Federal Register 77FR 16131, 3/20/2012)

This Executive Order as its name implies establishes a "White House Council on Strong Cities , Strong Communities" within the Department of Housing and Urban Development to be chaired by the Secretary of Housing and urban development and the Assistant to the President for Domestic Policy (Co-Chairs)"

The Policy of the Council is to implement the Obama Administration's **Strong Cities, Strong Communities (SC2) pilot initiative** announced on the White House Website. The site says:

Today, (July 11, 2011) the Obama Administration launched Strong Cities, Strong Communities (SC2), a new and customized pilot initiative to strengthen local capacity and spark economic growth in local communities while ensuring taxpayer dollars are used wisely and efficiently. To accomplish this, federal agencies will provide experienced staff to work directly with six cities: Chester,

PA; Cleveland, OH; Detroit, MI; Fresno, CA; Memphis, TN; and New Orleans, LA. These teams will work with local governments, the private sector, and other institutions to leverage federal dollars and support the work being done at the local level to encourage economic growth and community development.

Additionally, communities nationwide will be eligible to compete for **comprehensive economic planning assistance** through a grant competition designed to spark local innovation. By integrating government investments and partnering with local communities, SC2 channels the resources of the federal government to help **empower cities as they develop and implement their vision** for economic growth. " (Whitehouse.gov, July 11, 2011, "Obama Administration Launches Strong Cities, Strong communities to Support Local Development")

The question begs to be asked, does the Federal Government not have national issues to attend to like the national deficit, providing for the common defense?

Is the state not supposed to be responsible for initiatives within its direct **oversight and jurisdiction**?

Why is this president and this administration intent on having control over cities and local communities? Is it not enough to coordinate state activities?

Dr. Ileana Johnson Paugh writing for the *Canada Free Press* has some insight into what EO 13602 is really about. She says, "**The Council** is a “pilot initiative” that partners with “cities and regions to augment their vision of stability and economic growth.” This partnership aims to drive communities toward “*regional planning*” that leads to “sustained economic growth.”

The **end goal of the initiative is to persuade regions to accept federal resources** more effectively and efficiently to develop and implement economic strategies to “become more competitive, *sustainable*, and inclusive.” There will be strings attached to these federal resources. The operating words are “*sustainable*,” and “*regional*” or “*regionalism*,” buzzwords for **UN Agenda 21**.”(aka **Climate Change Regime**) (<http://www.canadafreepress.com/index.php/article/45411>, Dr. Ileana Johnson Paugh, "Establishing a White House Council on Strong Cities, Strong Communities" 3/21/2012)

One writer, commenting on the **UN Agenda 21** aka (**Climate Change Regime**) says, "According to its authors, the objective of Sustainable Development is to integrate economic, social, and environmental policies *in order to achieve reduced consumption, social equity and the preservation and restoration of biodiversity*. The Sustainabalists insist that society be transformed into feudal like governance by **making Nature the central organizing principle for our economy and society**. As such every societal decision would first be questioned as to how it might effect the environment." (Op. cite Deweese, p. 3)

Members include of the White house Council include a group that is becoming more and more repetitive with multiple E O's ie. Secretaries of **Treasury, Defense, Agriculture, Commerce, Labor, Health and Human Services, Transportation, Energy, Education, Veterans Affairs, Homeland Security, the Attorney General, and various chairs, administrators, directors, and assistants—Council of Economic**

Advisors, EPA, Office of Management and Budget, Small Business Administration, General Services, Chief Executive Officer of the Corporation for National and Community Service, National Endowment for the Arts, Intergovernmental Affairs and Public Engagement, Assistant to the President and Cabinet Secretary, Assistant to the President for Economy Policy, Council on Environmental Quality, Office of Science and Technology Policy, and "the heads of other agencies and offices as the President, may from time to time designate." (Op. cit. EO 13602.)

Just what kind of game is being played here?

Does a White House Council on Strong cities, Strong Communities need to include the heads of potentially every part of the Federal Government? Of course not, unless you are trying to establish another beach head for the Climate Change Regime.

What this EO does is **establish Federal control over state and local lands, bypassing state and local controls, through the ruse of a White House Council that is committed to the Rules base Climate Change Regimes global governance. This will enforce unilaterally environmental "green growth" regulations forcing homeowners to meet strict guidelines for making their homes environmentally compliant.**

Notice the phrase, **"implement locally driven community and regional planning approaches that lead to sustained economic growth, as well as ensure that Federal assistance is more efficiently provided and used."**(Ibid.)

Now let me quote from The Rio+20 "The Future We Want" paragraph 62 "We encourage each country to consider the **implementation** of Green economy policies in the context of **sustainable development** and poverty eradication, in a manner that endeavors to drive **sustained, inclusive and equitable economic growth** and job creation...." (Op. cit, Future, p. 10)

Notice the Mission and Function of the Council is to **"coordinate the development** and implementation of the various components of the SC2, as determined by the Co Chairs" (Ibid.)

Obama is the banner boy for implementing **"The Future We Want"** before it was even formally adopted in June of 2012!

We, of course, acknowledge that such language as that adopted at the Rio+20 Sustainable Development meeting has been used before in the Rio+ 20 Draft document as well as others. So, Obama did not create it. He just **implemented it officially in the USA by executive order** before the Rio+20 officially adopted it.

Executive Order 13603 "National Defense Resources Preparedness" 3/16/2012 (77 FR 16651, March 22, 2012)

It is imperative that the USA be prepared for devastating events in or on our country whether by natural cataclysm or an act of war. The National Defense Production Act of 1950 as amended (50 U.S.C. App. 2061 et. seq.), and section 301 of title 3, United States Code provides such a structure. Other presidents since 1950 have had E O's relating to the Defense Production Act, in fact EO 12919 and EO 12656 are both revoked by this EO while parts of others are left in effect.

Why would this EO 13603 by Obama illicit responses from conservative commentators?

Sheldon Richman, writing for reason.com titles his article, "*Is Serfdom and Executive Order Away? : The dangers of the National Defense Resources Preparedness*" (April 7, 2012)

He says that, "In it we **see in detail how completely the government may control our lives** -euphemistically called the 'industrial and technological base' if the president were to declare a national emergency."

Conservative Byte posted a comment based on an **examiner.com** article entitled "**Obama Signs Executive Order Allowing Control Over All US Resources**" (conservativebyte.com 3/18.2012) This article points out that, "the National Defense Resources Preparedness order gives the Executive Branch **the power to control and allocate** energy, production, transportation, food, and even water resources by decree under the auspices of national defense and national security. The order is not limited to wartime implementation, as one of the order's functions includes the command and **control of resources in peacetime** determinations." (*Ibid.*)

The Washington Times chimes in with Jeffrey T. Kuhner writing, "This document is stunning in its audacity and **flagrant violation of the Constitution**. It states that, in case of war or national emergency, the federal government has the authority to take over almost every aspect of American society. Food, livestock, farming equipment, manufacturing, industry, energy, transportation, hospitals, health care facilities, water resources, defense and construction - all of it could fall under the full control of Mr. Obama." (washingtontimes.com/news/2012/mar/22/obamas-power-grab)

The stated "**purpose**" of EO 13603 is, "This order **delegates authorities** and addresses **national defense resource policies** and programs under the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended." (Op. cit. EO)

The "policy" includes "**technological superiority** of its **national defense equipment in peacetime and in times of national emergency.**" (*Ibid.*)

This policy can't possibly be faulted. Obviously, you need the best equipment and technology in both times of peace and emergency.

Once again we read in the, "**General Functions**" section that "requirements" "relating to national defense" must (a) **identify** and (b) **assess on an ongoing basis** the capability of the domestic and industrial and technological base to **satisfy requirements in peacetime and times of national emergency**, specifically **evaluating the availability** of the most **critical resource and production sources**, including subcontractors and suppliers, materials, skilled labor, and professional and technical personnel; (c) **"availability of adequate resources and production** capability, including services and critical technology, for national defense requirements" must be "ensured"; (d) **efficiency and responsiveness** of the domestic industrial base to support **national defense requirements** must be "improved"; (e) **"cooperation** between defense and commercial sectors **for research and development** and for **acquisition of materials, services, components, and equipment** to enhance **industrial base efficiency** and responsiveness" must be "fostered". (*Ibid.*)

We would assume that all of this has to do with actual defense preparedness if we did not know certain facts.

First, we not know about Obama's **EO 13514 "Federal Leadership in Environmental, Energy, and Economic Performance"** (FR vol. 74, no 194, 10/8/2009)

This EO states the Obama administration policy thusly.

"United States Federal agencies **shall increase energy efficiency**; measure, report, **and reduce their greenhouse gas emissions from direct and indirect activities**; conserve and protect water resources through efficiency, reuse, and storm water management; eliminate waste, recycle, and prevent pollution; **leverage agency acquisitions to foster markets for sustainable technologies** and environmentally **preferable materials, products, and services**; **design, construct, maintain, and operate high performance sustainable buildings in sustainable locations**; strengthen the vitality and livability of the communities in which Federal facilities are located; and inform Federal employees about and involve them in the achievement of these goals.

It is further the policy of the United States that to achieve these goals and support their respective missions, agencies shall prioritize actions based on a full accounting of both economic and social benefits and costs and shall drive continuous improvement by **annually evaluating performance**, extending or expanding projects that have net benefits, and reassessing or discontinuing under-performing projects."

We know that sec. 8 required each agency to develop a "**Strategic Sustainability Performance Plan**" that had to be approved by the OMB Director that had to include evaluating "agency **climate-change risks and vulnerabilities to manage the effects of climate change on the agency's operations and mission in both the short and long term.**" (Ibid.)

We know that in sec. 9 "Recommendations for Greenhouse Gas Accounting" the "**Department of Defense**" as well as **other appropriate agencies must make "efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions; consider and account for sequestration and emissions of green-house gases** resulting from Federal Lands management practices." (Ibid.)

We also know that "**climate change**" has now been declared a national security issue. For instance Leon Panetta, Secretary of Defense is quoted as saying, "**The area of climate change has dramatic impact on national security: rising sea levels, to severe droughts, to the melting of the polar caps, to, more frequent and devastating natural disasters all raise demand for humanitarian assistance and disaster relief**" (<http://mediamatters.org/blog/2012/05/30/15-military-leaders-who-say-climate-change-is-a/184705>, "15 Military Leaders Who Say Climate Change Is a National Security Threat")

A *Washington Times* article reported that, the National Intelligence Council, finished their first assessment of the **national security implications of climate change** last year.

The report concluded that **climate change by itself would have significant geopolitical impacts around the world** and could contribute to a number of problems, including poverty, environmental degradation and the weakening of national governments. The assessment warned that the storms, droughts and food shortages that might result from a warming planet in coming decades would create numerous relief emergencies.

The report stated, "The demands of these potential humanitarian responses may **significantly tax U.S. military transportation and support force structures, resulting**

in a strained readiness posture and decreased strategic depth for combat operations." (John M. Broder, "Climate Change Seen As Threat to US Security" <http://www.nytimes.com/2009/08/09/science/earth/09climate.html?>)

If we did not know the above information, we might think that Obama's modifications to previous presidents EO's concerning Defense Preparedness was just his was of personalizing and managing the effort. However, with the knowledge of President Obama's agenda we may gather some different insight.

First, **"the authority of the President is conferred** by section 10 of the Act, 50 U.S.C. App.2071, **to require acceptance and priority performance of contracts or orders ... over any other contracts or orders**, and to allocate materials, services, and facilities as deemed necessary... to the following agency heads: Secretaries of Agriculture, Energy, Health and Human Services, Transportation, Defense," and "Commerce". (Ibid.)

With the authority delegated by this EO the implementation of the previous EO 13514's mandate for the Federal Leadership in Environmental, Energy, and Economic Performance **is given top priority as essential for National Defense Resource Preparedness.**

That's right, the demands of the Climate Change Regime to enact rules legally requiring the reduction of green house gasses, the reduction of fossil fuel usage, a low carbon society are enacted by this E O, which implements Obama's Global Climate Change Initiative aka The Climate Change Regime.

Someone says, that cannot be. No declaration of national emergency has been declared.

Unfortunately, this EO specifically says it is for peace time as well as national emergencies but there is more.

We are currently under two declarations of national emergency that enables the full implementation of all aspects of EO 13603. First, on September 9, 2011 Barak Obama, extended the declaration of national Emergency declared by George W. Bush. He writes, **"Because the terrorist threat continues**, the national emergency declared on September 14, 2001, and the **powers and authorities adopted to deal with that emergency must continue in effect** beyond September 14, 2011. **Therefore, I am continuing in effect for an additional year the national emergency that was declared on September 14, 2001**, with respect to the terrorist threat." (Continuation of National Emergency Declared by Proc. No. 7463, 76 F.R. 56633) **Second**, on **June 27, 2012** president Obama issued **Executive Order 13617** where **he declared "a national emergency** to deal with" the threat of the Disposition of Highly Enriched Uranium Extracted from Nuclear (Russian) Weapons." (FR Vol. 77, No 124)

This national Emergency declaration appeals to the **International Emergency Economic Powers Act**. Sec.5 says, "The Secretary of the Treasury, in consultation with the Secretary of State, The Secretary of Energy, and, as appropriate, other agencies, is hereby authorized to take such actions, **including the promulgation of rules and regulation**, and to employ all powers granted to the President by IEEPA, as may be necessary to carry out the purpose of this order." (Ibid.)

Wikipedia says, "The IEEPA **authorizes the president to declare the existence of an "unusual and extraordinary threat... to the national security**, foreign policy, or economy of the United States" that originates "in whole or substantial part outside the

United States." It further authorizes the president, after such a declaration, to block transactions and freeze assets to deal with the threat."

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/International_Emergency_Economic_Powers_Act)

Cornell University Law School confirms the **wiki** statement above and adds (b) "The authorities granted to the President by section [1702](#) of this title may only be **exercised to deal with an unusual and extraordinary threat** with respect to which a national emergency has been declared for purposes of this chapter and may not be exercised for any other purpose". (<http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/50/1701>)

It seems strange that Obama **perceives such an unusual and extraordinary threat from the Russian Federation in light of Obama and Medvedev's April 8, 2010 agreement to cutting the U.S. nuclear arsenal in half**. The treaty was ratified on December 22, 2010. On February 2, 2011, Obama signed the New START Treaty, which, according to Oliver North and others, is a "one sided agreement with a pro-Moscow bias". In fact, "to avoid **embarrassing questions about the damage to our national security, Obama banned the press from the Oval Office signing ceremony**." (Oliver North, "Reckless Lunacy", Townhall.com, 8/26/2012)

EO 13604 "Improving Performance of Federal Permitting and Review of Infrastructure Projects 3/22/2012 (FR 77 18887 March 22, 2012

This EO emphasizes that it is "critical that the executive departments and agencies take all steps within their authority ..to execute Federal permitting and review processes with maximum efficiency and effectiveness." The goal is, of course, to include "early and active consultation" dealing with the concerns of "**all citizens**" and "**stakeholders**", "**state local, and tribal governments**" incorporating and addressing their "interests," incorporating them "into routine agency practice to provide demonstrable improvements in the performance of Federal infrastructure permitting and review processes, including lower costs, more timely decisions, and a healthier cleaner environment."

This EO Expands upon efforts undertaken in EO 13580,(Interagency Work Group on Coordination of Domestic Energy Development and Permitting in Alaska), E O 13563 (Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review, and memorandum (Speeding Infrastructure Development Through More Efficient and Effective Permitting and Environmental Review)" (Ibid.)

A Steering Committee on Federal Infrastructure Permitting and Review Process Improvement is established, to be chaired by the Chief Performance Officer, in consultation with the Chair of the Council on Environmental Quality.

As usual **the membership of the committee is made up representation from the Departments of Defense, Interior, Agriculture, Commerce, Transportation, Energy, Homeland Security, EPA, Advisory council on Historic Preservation, Army, and "such other agencies or offices as the CPO may invite to participate.** (Ibid.)

This E O is in complete conformance with the **Rio+ 20 Draft** heading "**Engaging Major groups** 17. The statement reads, "We underscore that a fundamental prerequisite for the achievement of sustainable development is **broad public participation in decision-making.**" Which includes, "**indigenous peoples, non-governmental organizations, local authorities, workers and trade unions, business and industry**" ... (Rio+20 Draft, "The Future We Want" pp.4-5 1/10/ 2012)

Once again Obama is implementing the Climate Change Regime by Executive Order if not word for word at least group by group and point by point. Every time Obama does this he is continuing to build one legal precedent upon another. Like wrapping kite strings around an individual, while easily broken when there are only one or two it is impossible with two or three hundred.

EO 13610 Identifying and Reducing Regulatory Burdens 5/10/2012

Henry Shivley posted on *Before Its News*, "Premier Obama has signed yet another Executive Order, this one (13610) is Identifying and Reducing Regulatory Burdens 5/10/2012) that when coupled with his Executive Order (13609) Promoting International Regulatory Cooperation (5/1/2012) and Executive Order (13602) Establishing a White House Council on Strong Cities, Strong Communities 3/15/1012, **opens a direct path to bring United Nations regulations to the grass roots of our body politic.**"

EO 13609 works in conjunction with EO 13563 (Improving Regulation and Regulatory Review) and **makes the USA subject to international regulations.** It states, "In some cases, the differences between regulatory approaches of the U.S. agencies and those of their foreign counterparts might not be necessary and might impair the ability of American businesses to export and compete internationally. **In meeting shared challenges involving health, safety, labor, security, environmental, and other issues, international regulatory cooperation can identify approaches that are at least as protective as those that are or would be adopted in the absence of such cooperation.** International regulatory cooperation can also reduce, eliminate, or prevent unnecessary differences in regulatory requirements." (77 FR 26413, May 4, 2012)

How strange that in the USA Obama wants to regulate everyone and everything but International regulations are at least as good as ours?

Why did people complain about children's toys from China that had lead paint then?

What about the FDA? Will this effort to internationalize our regulations place the US under the United Nations FAO's World Food Security guidelines"?

One writer says "Today's executive order marks a paradigm **shift for U.S. regulators by directing them to take the international implications of their work** into account in a consistent and comprehensive way," Sean Heather, vice president of the chamber's Center for Global Regulatory Cooperation, said in an e-mailed statement. This **also brings the USA closer to becoming a "North American Union" and -also- eliminating its sovereignty - in toto.**" (beforeitsnews.com/story/2093, 3/3/2012)

Executive Orders of succession

Executive Orders of succession EO's [13533](#) Department of Defense, [13542](#) Department of Agriculture, 13557 Department of Justice, 13612 Department of Agriculture; EO 13613 Department of Commerce; EO 13614 EPA; EO 13615 Office of Management and Budget.

All these Executive Orders deal with a scenario in which the head and assistant head of the department or agency has either died or is unable, for what ever reason to continue in their executive capacities.

All these Executive Orders list those who may fill the positions vacated within the department.

However, all contain the section "Notwithstanding the provisions of this order, the **President retains discretion**, to the extent permitted by law, **to depart from this order in designating an acting Secretary.**"

With ability to appoint whomever he desires in the positions listed the president could literally have enough control so that no one could buy or sell without a number that his administration has assigned to you. Hmm, that sounds like a dictatorial government.

What a strange group of Executive Orders this is especially in the light that they revoke previous orders of succession.

EO 13618 Assignment of National Security and Emergency Preparedness

Communications Functions 7/6/2012 (77 FR 40779, July 11,2012)

This Executive Order goes hand in hand with EO 13617 declaring an national Emergency, and EO13603 (National Defense Resources Preparedness).

This EO insures that when the electrical grid goes down or for some, as yet know event everyone else has lost internet communication abilities the Federal Government will have the infrastructure in place to continue their essential high speed and high level communications.

"The Federal **Government must have the ability to communicate at all times and under all circumstances to carry out its most critical and time sensitive missions.**" (policy) To accomplish this "there is established national security and emergency preparedness (NS/EP) communications policies Executive Committee to serve as a forum to address NS/EP communication matters" (sec 3.1)

The Secretary of Homeland Security shall establish an Executive committee Joint Program Office to provide full-time, expert, and administrative support for the EO's performance of its responsibilities under sec. 3.3. (sec.4.1)

What becomes immediately apparent here is that while every one else will be without communications the Federal Government will not be interrupted at all. We do not want to read anything sinister into this. We know that governmental communications are essential but, while you are planning, why not have a plan to provide essential communications for every one? This is especially relevant when NASA has warned that there could be a solar flare that could take out the entire electrical grid at any time for the next couple of years. (<http://blog.alexanderhiggins.com/2011/04/26/nasa-warn-super-solar-storms-forecasted->)

Blocking communications is a very simple way to keep the people uninformed, off guard and uncoordinated.

High Level Traitor Leaks Highly Classified Information

According to Tony Lee, writing for **Breitbart.com**, "**Senator Diane Feinstein (D-CA), chairwoman of the Senate Intelligence Committee**, on Monday said that the **White house appears to be responsible for leaking classified national security information.**" (www.breitbart.com/Big-Government/2012/07/24/Feinstein-National-Security-Leaks-Coming-From-White-House-Ranks)

“I think the White House has to understand that **some of this is coming from their ranks,**” Feinstein said at a World Affairs Council forum, according to the Associated Press (AP). (Ibid.)

Republicans, such as Sen. John McCain (R-AZ), have accused the Obama administration of leaking national security information to win votes. Specifically, McCain mentioned the computer virus program that disabled some of Iran’s nuclear facilities -- and other sensitive national security matters.

"McCain also pointed to previous leaks that revealed details of last year's U.S. raid that led to the killing of Al-Qaeda leader Osama Bin Laden.

He was responding to [a New York Times report](#) from Friday which said that Obama ordered [the Stuxnet virus attack](#) on Iran in 2010, as part of a wave of cyber sabotage and espionage against the would-be nuclear power." (Ed Beenari 6/4/2012 McCai: Obama Leaked Info To Win Votes www.israelnationalnews.com/News/News.aspx/156501%23.UA6eKzGOpYQ#.UD4s56CgR8E)

Recently, Sen. **Lindsey Graham has challenged Obama to "clear the air"** by demanding he **appoint a special counsel to investigate national security leaks** that some in the president's own party believe may have come from the highest ranks of his administration." (Greg McDonald, "Sen. Graham: White House Leaks Were 'Orchestrated' for Political Gain", *News Max*, 7/25/2012)

Finally, some of those who have been involved in covert operations have stepped forward and made a video opposing the idiocy of leaking, or publicly stating as President Obama has done, what should have been classified information. The group called OPSEC, made up of ex U.S. Navy SEALs, Special Forces and CIA operatives, has created a video attacking leaks from President Obama and his administration.

In the opening of their 22-minute video entitled **Dishonorable Disclosures**, Ben Smith, a former Navy SEAL, says: "Mr. President, you did not kill Osama bin Laden, America did. The work that the American military has done killed Osama bin Laden. You did not. As a citizen, **it is my civic duty to tell the president to stop leaking information to the enemy.** It will get Americans killed."

Scott Taylor, chairman of OPSEC, told *Reuters*: "We want the American people **to understand the cost of these leaks and politicization both on those who serve and on our national security and to hold those in positions of leadership accountable if it doesn't stop.**" (Michael Allen, "Former Navy Seals Launch Attack Ad on President Obama", *Opposing Views*, 8/15/ 2012, www.Opposingviews.com)

These leaks are not just about "political gain", to even make that assertion is at best naïve and at worst purposeful misinformation. When you tell the "Muslim jihadist world, you, the President of the US, are regularly deciding who to blast with a lethal drone attack, **like the ones you have done before, you are purposefully inciting and fanning the flames of jihad.** When you **leak the names of the Navy Seal team** and its unit and details of the operation you are **inciting jihadist attacks and telling them who to track down!** When you **leak that US operatives** were involved in a foiled al-Qaeda plot in Yemen to bring down an airliner **your are purpose fully inciting jihad and betraying those operatives.** When that leaked information leads to intelligence **operatives and their families being withdrawn from Yemen, evidently because they could be identified, you have committed an act of espionage** at best if not outright treason.

Like Sen. Graham said these leaks are, **"the most devastating event in our national security in decades."** (Op, cit, McDonald, News Max)

This leak, and those like the Stuxnet leak, **are purposefully calculated to inflame Iran, the entire jihadist Muslim world, China, and Russia not to mention Syria.**

News Max published a July 27, 2012 article entitled "US Fears Syria Preparing for Aleppo Massacre" which reveals another unbelievable security leak. The article states, "Reuters has learned that the White House has crafted a presidential directive, called a "finding," **that would authorize greater covert assistance for the rebels**, while still stopping short of arming them.

"It is not clear whether President Barack Obama has signed the document, and U.S. officials declined to comment on the finding, **which is a highly classified authorization for covert activity.**"
(http://www.newsmaxworld.com/global_talk/syria_us_massacre_/2012/07/27/464696.html?s=al&promo_code=F974-1)

If this is a "highly classified authorization for covert activity, why was it leaked to Reuters news?!!!

Could this be a required Presidential Directive that would allow the US to send a Stuxnet type of virus to take out Syria's power grid, or attack other computer controlled things like government access to bank funds, electronic controls over weapons of mass destruction etc.?

Whoever leaked this information is a traitor and should be immediately apprehended and prosecuted!

Furthermore, **this leak had to come from what could only be some one who has the highest level security clearance with direct access to the Obama's covert actions.** This leak is someone in the Obama administration thumbing their nose at Congress and "showing them" they can, and will leak, what ever they want and whenever they want and **let you know about some of it.**

Everyone in Congress, except the Democrats that the Communist Party USA say are theirs, should be concerned that you only know of leaks that are published. If Congress asks too many questions the President invokes "Executive Privilege". **Or Congressional formal requests by the Oversight Committee are just ignored.** For instance, Rep Michele Bachman and fellow concerned Reps state that, "Attempts by the **House Judiciary Committee** to obtain, from the Department of Justice and the FBI, all of the case **evidence submitted during the Holy Land Foundation trial and provided**

to the defense in disclosure have been fruitless. ... **This information has already been turned over to convicted terror supporters and yet the Administration has refused all requests to turn these documents over to members of the U.S. Congress serving on the Oversight Committee.**" (Letter to Rep. Hon. Keith Ellison, 7/13/2012, by Michele Bachman)

This stonewalling by this administration and ignoring the rights of Congress to do its duty in official investigations could potentially be covering up treasonous acts.

News Flash!!! How stupid do you have to be to figure out that if insiders are publishing classified leaks, they are leaking higher level classified info without telling everyone!!

We already know of one classified info leak that has not been dealt with.

Mohamed Elihiary, a member of the Muslim Brotherhood, was given a security clearance by evidently by the Department of Homeland Security. Mr. Elihiary used his clearance to gain access to classified documents which he downloaded and then provided to media outlets in an effort to portray the Texas Department of Public Safety as "Islamophobic." (Letter to Charles K. Edwards, Acting Inspector General Department of Homeland Security, June 13, 2012, from Reps. Michele Bachman, Louie Gohmert, Trent Franks, Thomas Rooney, and Lynn Westmoreland.)

Purposeful security leaks compromise the security and sovereignty of this nation and are laying the foundation for an ultimate take over by the Climate Change Regime.

The Climate Change Regime Christ

We have said from the start of this work that the Climate Change Regime is built from a particular religious perspective. We have revealed that a commitment to Gaia, or Mother Earth and holism (also known as Monism) was at the very foundation of the environmental movement. We have pointed out that nature (the environment) is placed central in all decisions related to Agenda 21 and the Climate Change Regime

What we have not said is that the ancient religious base for this movement **virtually always had a male deity** coupled with the female deity. For instance, the **Egyptian goddess Isis was the consort sister and wife of Osiris**, the Semitic goddess Astarte (Ashtoreth, Ishtar) is linked with Baal, Greek goddess Hera was sister and wife of supreme god Zeus etc. al. It should not surprise any one therefore, to find out that there is a male deity associated with the Climate Change Regime.

Obviously, the male deity of the Climate Change Regime would not operate within the Judeo-Christian Worldview because that is blamed for causing all the environmental problems to start out with.

Who is this Climate Change Regime Christ? To find the answer to that question we will have to look at the over all plan of implementing The Climate Change Regime aka New World Order.

The Great Invocation and the United Nations

Attorney, New Age researcher and writher Constance E. Cumbeys writes, "The Great Invocation was first used in 1945, **the same year the United Nations was founded. Twenty years later a leaflet was issued showing how the Great Invocation could be used by men and women of goodwill to strengthen the United Nations.** In addition to a brief explanation **showing how the Invocation relates to the United Nations,** this leaflet includes **the text from the UN Charter** of the Principles of the United Nations." (*Hidden Dangers of the Rainbow* p. 207) According to this book resources for distribution of the Great Invocation are available from the **World Goodwill** headquarters in New York. (Ibid. p. 208)

What is the Great Invocation? According to the Lucistrust website, "**The Great Invocation is a world prayer, [translated into almost 70 languages and dialects.](#)** It is an instrument of power **to aid the Plan of God** find full expression on Earth. **To use it is an act of service to humanity and the Christ.** It expresses certain central truths which all people innately and normally accept:

- That there exists a basic intelligence to whom we give the name of God.
- That there is a divine evolutionary Plan in the universe – the motivating power of which is love.
- That a great individuality called by Christians the Christ – the World Teacher – came to Earth and embodied that love so that we could understand that love and intelligence are effects of the purpose, the will and the Plan of God. **Many religions believe in a World Teacher, knowing him under such names as the Lord Maitreya, the Imam Mahdi, and the Messiah.**
- The truth that only through humanity itself can the divine Plan work out." (lucistrust.org/en/service_activities/the_great_invocation__1/what_is_the_great_invocation)

What does the Great Invocation Say?

The World Goodwill website, which is also the Lucis Trust website calls **The Great Invocation "A Mantram for the New Age and for all Humanity"** and presents it as follows.

From the point of Light within the Mind of God
Let light stream forth into the minds of men.
Let Light descend on Earth.

From the point of Love within the Heart of God
Let love stream forth into the hearts of men.
May Christ return to Earth.

From the centre where the Will of God is known
Let purpose guide the little wills of men –
The purpose **which the Masters know and serve.**

From the centre which we call the race of men
 Let **the Plan** of Love and Light **work out**
 And may it seal the door where evil dwells.

Let Light and Love and Power **restore the Plan on Earth.**
 (http://www.lucistrust.org/en/service_activities/the_great_invocation__1)

Here we see that "**The Great Invocation**" from the Lucis Trust web site, has to do with the "**Christ's return**" to earth. We must take note however that **the "Christ" that they are invoking is not Jesus the Christ of Biblical Christianity.**

In fact, "**Alice Ann Bailey**, a leading disciple of the Russian theosophist Madame **Helena Blavatsky**, formed the Lucifer Trust in 1920. 1922 saw the organization's name changed to *Lucis Trust* though the **advancement of the Luciferian beliefs remained true.** Beliefs that in Blavatsky's words:

"oppose the materialism of science and every dogmatic theology, especially the Christian, which the Chiefs of the Society regard as particularly pernicious."

Lucis Trust promulgates the work of an "**Ascended Master**" who was working 'through' Alice Bailey for some 30 years. (Part 1, *Lucis Trust*, Alice Bailey, World Goodwill and "**The False Light of the World,**" by **Terry Melanson**)
 (http://www.bibliotecapleyades.net/sociopolitica/esp_sociopol_lucytrust05.htm)

Wikipedia says, "The World Goodwill group, founded in 1932, has been recognized by the United Nations as a Non-Governmental Organization (NGO), and is represented during regular briefing sessions for NGOs at the United Nations. The Lucis Trust has consultative status (roster level) with the United Nations Economic and Social Council." (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Lucis_Trust_%26_World_Goodwill)

Lucis Trust Association was included on the UN NGO roster of "Organizations placed on the roster by virtue of action taken by the Economic and Social Council on the recommendation of the Committee on Non-Governmental Organizations 1. Pursuant to Council resolutions 1296 (XLIV) and 1996/31" in 1989.
 "(http://www.un.org/esa/coordination/ngo/pdf/INF_List.pdf)

Alice Bailey had a disciple named Benjamin Crème. "Touring the globe since 1975, Crème has managed to win the support of influentials ranging **from U. N. officials** through Methodist Ministers; from Belgian nuns through Elizabeth Kubler-Ross; from Hunger Project through holistic health leaders, and from mind-control trainers and trainees through astrologers." (Cumbey, Dangers, p. 16)

The Share International website says that Benjamin Crème's role is being the "editor of *Share International* magazine and chairman of the Dutch/British Share International Foundation." "*Share International*, a **monthly magazine** read in over 70 countries. Along with **reports about the progress of Maitreya's emergence, it includes articles relevant to his priorities:** realization by humanity of our divine nature; a world at peace; restoration of the environment; **sharing of the world's resources; and adequate and appropriate food, housing, healthcare and education for all people.**"
 (<http://www.share-international.org/aboutus/aboutus.htm>)

In spite of the fact, **Share International has a long list of prominent, well respected international diplomats, religious leaders and political figures who have had articles published in this magazine.** They include articles written by former UN leader Boutros Boutros-Ghali; Kofi Annan; former president of Ireland Mary Robinson; Gro Harlem Brundtland, who was the director general of the United Nations World Health Organization and former Prime Minister of Norway; the Dalai Lama; Britain's Prince Charles of Wales, and of course former Vice President of the USA Al Gore. (2012 The Year of Project Enoch? Rema Marketing 2009) "It is easy to show that this magazine is well-respected and taken seriously by many influential members of the Global Elite... the magazine, as stated on the inside cover of each issue, **is published by Share International 'in association with the Department of Public Information at the United Nations.'**" (Ibid.)

On April 25 1982 millions of people world wide read a full page news paper add proclaiming **"The Christ Is Now Here"**. This add, was **"placed in more than 20 major cities' news papers,** "from Rome to Jerusalem, from Kuwait to Karachi and from New York to Los Angeles" **through Crème's front organization, the Tara Center,** based in New York, Los Angeles, Amsterdam, and London. The add reads as follows:

The world has had enough ... of hunger, injustice, war. In answer to our call for help, as world teacher for all humanity, **The Christ is Now Here.**

How will we recognize him?

Look for a **modern man concerned with modern problems- political, economic, and social.** Since July, 1977, **the Christ has been emerging** as a spokesman for a group or community in a well known modern country. He is **not a religious leader, but an educator** in the broadest sense of the word-- **pointing the way out of our present crisis.** We will recognize Him by his extraordinary **spiritual potency, the universality of His viewpoint,** and his love for all humanity. He comes not to judge but to aid and inspire.

Who is the Christ?

Throughout history, **humanity's evolution has been guided by a group of enlightened men, the Masters of Wisdom.** They have remained largely in the remote desert and mountain places of earth, working mainly through their disciples who live openly in the world. **This message of the Christ's reappearance has been given primarily by such a disciple trained for his task for over 20 years.** At the center of this "Spiritual Hierarchy" stands the World Teacher, **Lord Maitreya,** known by Christians as the Christ. And as Christians await the Second Coming, so the Jews await the Messiah, the Buddhists the fifth Buddha, the Moslems the Imam Mahdi, and the Hindus await Krishna. These are all names for one individual. His presence in the world guarantees there will be no third World War.

What is He saying?

"My task will be to show you how to live together peacefully as brothers. This is simpler than you imagine, My friends, for it requires only the acceptance of sharing."

"How can you be content with the modes within which you now live: when millions starve and die in squalor; when the rich parade their wealth before

the poor; when each man is his neighbor's enemy; when no man trusts his brother?"

"Allow me to show you the way forward into a simpler life where no man lacks; where no two days are alike; where the joy of Brotherhood manifests through all men."

"Take your brother's need as the measure for your action and solve the problems of the world."

When Will we See Him?

He has not as yet declared His true status, and his location is known to only a very few disciples. One of these has announced **that soon the Christ will acknowledge his identity** and within the next two months will speak to humanity **through a worldwide television and radio broadcast**. His message will be heard inwardly, telepathically, by all people in their own language. From that time, with His help, we will build a new world.

Without sharing there can be no justice;

Without justice there can be no peace;

Without Peace there can be no future.

What is interesting is that **the so called "Lord Maitreya" did not manage to declare his true status in two months, or two years or twenty years**. In fact **we are still waiting on him to declare his true status to the world**. Don't get too disappointed though he is still on the way.

Mr. Crème's website **Share -International.org** issued **News Release 91** entitled, "Spiral light over Norway- the 'star' that heralds Maitreya's emergence". The release continues;

The enormous, spiral light with its glowing centre, seen over Norway on 9 December 2009, has excited and baffled thousands of Norwegians including astronomical experts. What does it mean?

On 12 December 2008 Share International Foundation announced **that a large, bright 'star'- like object would soon be seen in the sky. It is a sign heralding the imminent appearance of Maitreya, the World Teacher**, on His first television interview, which will take place in the USA.

The 'star' is really one of four enormous spacecraft placed around the world. Since December 2008 numerous sightings of the 'star' have been reported on YouTube and television news programmes. Share International magazine has received hundreds of photographs showing the 'star' in a variety of stunning colours and shapes."

The huge spiral manifestation over Norway is an extension of the work of these spacecraft and is irrefutable evidence of their reality. Our information is that further such manifestations are planned for the future. For more information **watch 'The star sign' video on YouTube** and visit Share International website: [www: shareinternational.org](http://www.shareinternational.org)

In the Winter 2010 "**The Emergence News**" publisher Share International USA had a question and answer section with Benjamin Crème with the following question and answer.

Q.Did an extraterrestrial intelligence cause the failure of the Russian rocket in order to generate a spiral light knowing that it would capture worldwide attention during President Obama's Norway Trip? Did extraterrestrials do so knowing full well that Obama would discuss the abolition of nuclear weapons during his Nobel Peace Prize acceptance speech?

A. No. There was no nuclear missile test on December 9, 2009, Russian or otherwise. There is a strictly held-to agreement between the Russians and the Western powers to inform the 'other side' of any such test in advance (thus obviating the possibility that either side was starting World War III). The spiral over Norway was caused by a spaceship (in fact Maitreya's 'star') rising into the sky behind the mountain and then carefully and deliberately creating the spiral. The whole event lasted 12-14 minutes and had no debris fallout.

We are purposefully not told who Maitreya is yet. However, **considering the star sign and how it coincided with Obama's acceptance of the Nobel Peace prize**, one would have to assume that if he not Maitreya he is at least very close to him.

Paralleling the declaration that the Christ is now here is the virtual declaration of Maitreya's government's intended establishment in Copenhagen.

Remember that the **recommendation of the Ad Hoc Working Group on Long-term Cooperative Action Under the Convention** did actually propose "**the government will be ruled by the COP with the support of a new subsidiary body on adaptation**, and of an Executive Board responsible for the management of the new funds and the related facilitative processes and bodies." (Op. Cite. FCCC/AWGLCA/2009/inf.2)

On the eve of the **United Nations Climate Change Conference in Copenhagen** in December 7-18, 2009, Fifty-six (56) news papers in 45 countries took the unprecedented step (except when it was a paid add) of **publishing the same editorial calling for dramatic global action against Climate Change**. "**We do so**", the editorial began "**because humanity faces a profound emergency**." The text was drafted by a team of editors from more than 20 of the newspapers involved, and was translated into 20 languages. "**Unless we combine to take decisive action, Climate Change will ravage our planet, and with it our prosperity and security**," the editorial says....

"Overcoming Climate Change will take a triumph of optimism over pessimism, of vision over short-sightedness of what Abraham Lincoln called 'better angels of our nature'." (source The Guardian, UK reported in *The Emergence News* Vol XXVIII No. 1 Winter 2010)

Although Obama did not manage to get the countries of the world to commit to a **legally binding Climate Change Regime treaty** in Copenhagen, we have pointed out already that **he was the one who "brokered" the "Copenhagen Accord."**

We have also pointed out that **the G20 agreed April 2, 2009 to reach an agreement on Climate Change in Copenhagen** and that such an agreement was reached. We have pointed out that the language of the Copenhagen Accord, while not using the word "government," nevertheless incorporated the specific recommendations that are in the same section of the working draft where Ad Hoc Working group specifically recommends an "institutional Framework" that includes "government".

We should not be surprised then that in January 2010 Mr. Crème had a new dramatic announcement to make.

Maitreya Steps Forward

On January 20 , 2010 *Share International* issued the following news release.

Maitreya Steps Forward.

The way **prepared by his herald the 'star', Maitreya**, the World Teacher, has given his first interview on American Television. Millions have heard him speak both on TV and the internet. His open mission has begun.

He was introduced not as Maitreya, the World Teacher and head of our Spiritual Hierarchy, but simply as a man, one of us. In this way he "ensures that men follow and support him for the truth and sanity of his ideas rather than for his status".

He spoke earnestly of the need for peace, achievable only through the creation of justice and sharing of the world's resources.

This first of many such interviews which will be given in the USA, Japan, Europe, and elsewhere, bringing his message of hope top the world.

Background information

For over 30 years artist, author, and lecturer Benjamin Crème has been preparing the way for the biggest event in history - the emergence of Maitreya the World Teacher and his group, the Masters of Wisdom. Millions of people around the world have heard his information and wait expectantly for this momentous event.

See YouTube video "Maitreya, the World Teacher, steps forward"

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JHqncRa4fcA>

Astoundingly Maitreya is saying the same things that the UN, through many of its various agencies, has been saying for years. For instance, From Share International's abridged web magazine for Jan/Feb. 2011 we read, "The Master reiterates one of the essential tenets of Maitreya's and esoteric teaching – the essential unity and interconnectedness of humanity both on a social/political level across the planet, and more deeply, on all planes throughout cosmos. Right at the beginning of the year, He relates this inclusive understanding of unity both to the political events across the world: "Humanity is still evolving in consciousness, together, as One" (Man's essential Brotherhood, January/February); and, later, to the health of planet Earth: "We must learn to live in harmony with the planet itself to know a future of harmony with each other." (share-international.org/magazine/old_issues/2012/2012-01.htm#Mestari)

Listen to this message from Maitreya and see if it sounds like the UN doctrine of Sustainable Development.

"Ours will be the task to oversee the development of the new society along paths that preserve correct balance, and naught that infringes human need will receive our recommendation. Beauty and sense of fittingness will be the touchstone. All that is ugly, **mechanical and harmful to the human spirit** will be eschewed. The aim will be to **maintain, in full freedom and harmony, a right relationship between man and his environment;** to ensure that every technological and scientific advance is seen as serving better man's needs and knowing better the nature of Reality.

The time is coming when man will develop a new relation to his environment. In keeping with his sense **that man, nature and God are One**, he will build forms which allow him to manifest that truth. (The Emergence News Vol. XXVIII No. 4 Autumn 2011 excerpt from Benjamin Crème's Master, from "Life in the New Age")

This sounds just like statements that we have already quoted about man's relationship to Mother Earth and her rights.

Consider this quote from Maitreya "Daily, the evidence mounts to show men that the world is One, that humanity is an organism whose well-being depends on the health of every part, and that to ignore the signs of danger and disease is no longer possible or wise. Many now see this and call for justice but only the cry of awakened humanity will suffice to shift the Powers from their positions of greed." (The Emergence News Vol. XXVIII no 3 Summer 2011, "Sharing the World's Resources" p. 3)

This next quote sounds like it comes from the UN Millennium Goals. "The needs of all peoples are the same: **adequate food, housing education, and healthcare** are essential requirements without which men cannot reach, nor render to society, their full potential. Millions today are denied these basic rights. **When Maitreya emerges, His first priority will be to address this fundamental problem to show how simple it is the change the status quo when men see themselves as brothers.**" (Ibid.)

While many references could be given to prove that Maitreya is the Environmental Christ of the Climate Change Regime let the following article from Share International Magazine Vol. 6 No. 9, November 2007 provide clear documentation.

The Earth In Travail

by the Master —, through Benjamin Creme, 14 October 2007

It may be said that at last some men are beginning to Take seriously the **dangers posed by global warming and the consequent climate changes that this is bringing about.** It is true that there is much disagreement over the reality and extent of the dangers, and of the best means of approaching the problems which are agreed to exist. However, there is no doubt **that some men, at least, are recognizing that men face a formidable task in halting the progress of destruction and in stabilizing the environment.** It is also true that even the most aware and concerned of men know little of the extent and complexity of the problems.

The problem of pollution is such a case. Pollution takes many forms, some obvious and easily dealt with, if the will to do so exists. Some, however, require a science and a remedy as yet unknown to man; they are **so toxic and destructive that they must be given high priority to overcome.** The effect of pollution on the quality of air, food, on animals, and on fish, in rivers and the oceans, is known but largely ignored. The most destructive of all, **that caused by nuclear radiation, awaits discovery by Earth scientists.** The upper levels of nuclear radiation are beyond the present atomic technology. They are also the most toxic and hazardous to man and the lower kingdoms. On all those levels the problems of pollution must be overcome. This can be achieved only by a complete reconstruction of the present political, economic and social structures.

Man has ravaged and polluted the Earth, and severely damaged his own environment. Now man must see it as a top priority to remedy what he has hurt and so restore to health his ailing planet. He must learn to simplify his demands on the planet and learn the beauty of simplicity and the joy of sharing.

Man has but little choice: **the urgency of the task demands immediate action; few indeed realize the true scale of damage already done.** The question may be asked: can planet Earth be saved and by what means?

The answer is a resounding YES! and by **means which involve the transformation of the present modes of living by the majority of men.**

The paramount ambition of all so-called 'developed' countries is to achieve an ever higher percentage of growth of their economies to become, thereby, richer; and, in an economic world based on competition, to attain dominance and power, and so enjoy a higher standard of life. This being so, the pillaging of the Earth, the cavalier waste of resources, is seen as only natural and necessary. This irresponsible action has at last brought planet Earth almost to its knees.

Maitreya, you can be sure, will not be long in addressing this urgent problem and in presenting His solutions. The first step, He will advocate, is the acceptance of the urgency which many today deny. Sharing, He will say, is the beginning of the process of change which will provide the answers to our woes and the rehabilitation of Earth." (citation given above , p. 3)

This article proves that **Maitreya is actually a radical environmentalist.** He comes to implement the "Climate Change, Sustainable Development Regime " (or use as a way to control the world) Man and his "developed country" civilization is the problem. He speaks in a way that makes earth sound like GAIA, a sentient being.

Could the fact that President Obama issued an Executive Order: -- Russian Highly Enriched Uranium June 25, 2012 declaring a "national emergency to deal with that threat" have anything to do with Maitreya and the Space Brothers work of scrubbing the atmosphere of harmful radiation? (More on this latter)

There is more news just in case anyone did not notice the reference from the Share International News release 91 above. Maitreya is not alone. In the named news release it states that Maitreya's spaceship "is really one of four enormous spacecraft placed around the world."

One wonders why a "spiritual being " needs a space craft in the first place. I suppose that the fact that he has now materialized into a human form makes it necessary. Some all powerful Lord he is.

Back to the other spaceships. According to Crème and others "Maitreya has come to awaken humanity. **The space people too have come to help and save humanity.**" According to the article, these "space brothers" are utterly absolutely harmless. "In fact, **their presence here is a spiritual mission. They come to save humanity from even greater suffering than we would otherwise have known** since the discovery of nuclear fission."

The space brothers, mainly from Mars and Venus, are engaged on a spiritual mission to neutralize this radiation," (from reactor meltdowns and nuclear testing.) "The people of other planets spend countless hours mopping up this energy and at the

same time creating on the dense physical plane a replica of our planet's magnetic field." (Op. cite. Emergence, winter 2010)

Maitreya is given the credit for the "Arab Spring" movement as well as the "Occupy Wall Street" in many references but see The Emergence News Vol. XXIX no 5 Winter 2012. "The events of the Arab Spring are a sign that the young are responding to Maitreya's Call. Ahead of their elders, they have awakened to the new energies of Aquarius and the promise of the new life that they bring. They have lost all fear and gladly sacrifice themselves for a new-found freedom and dignity. A new splendour is growing among the young." (Share International Mag Jan/Feb 2012)

Crème says that, " **The Sword of Cleavage**, wielded by Maitreya, the Christ and World Teacher, is doing its beneficent work: separating and dividing men, **accentuating their different natures and proclivities**. In this way, **the choice before men becomes clearer, more sharply defined**. Maitreya's energy of love is impersonal, it stimulates everyone, those who long for peace and right relations, and those who love greed and competition, risking thereby a final war and total self-destruction." (*Ibid.*)

Crème tells us that **on the "Day of Declaration" Maitreya will "acknowledge His true identity and status."** "On that glorious day men will know, beyond all gainsaying, that their long wait has not been in vain, that help, indeed, is at hand, that the Teacher is ready to aid and guide That **he comes as an Elder Brother rather than a Saviour, ready to take the lead to save our planet**, and to enable men themselves to restore sanity to their lives and ways of living." (Op. Cite. Emergence, Winter 2010)

This all sounds so good. **In fact it sounds too good. Actually, on the "Day of Declaration," everyone will be required to make a choice of whether to follow Maitreya into the New Civilization (The Climate Change Regime, Sustainable Development world) or face the consequences.**

Constance Cumbey tells about attending one of Crème's meetings in her book *Hidden Dangers of the Rainbow*. "People were present who were extremely active in the fight against the Moral Majority. One of the staunchest campaigners in this effort jumped to his feet and let the crowd in a standing ovation for Crème's remarks that all **false Christs and false teachers about the Christ would "disappear" when Maitreya made his declaration.**" (Op. cite. *Hidden Dangers* pp. 97-98)

The Iranian Connection

An article entitled "Mahdi Fever Fueling Confidence Behind Threats To Israel & US", published by WND it states;

"Shiites believe their **12th Imam, Mahdi**, will reappear at the end of times and kill all infidels, raising the flag of Islam in all four corners of the world.

The attendants at Tuesday's event, which was organized by the cultural center for the "Hidden Imam" in the city of Shiraz, discussed actions needed for the reappearance of the last Islamic messiah.

"The followers of the Imam have one thing in common, and that is a love for martyrdom and complete submission to their leader," Khatami said. "The heartbeat of the nuclear issue is in the hands of the supreme leader (Ayatollah Ali Khamenei), and the decision of what to do with America is only upon him."

As revealed in the Iranian documentary "The Coming Is Upon Us," centuries-old hadiths have predicted the current climate in the Middle East " the fall of Saddam Hussein in Iraq and Hosni Mubarak in Egypt, and the events in Afghanistan and other parts of the region " which has Iran's radical leaders excited the time for Mahdi's reappearance is ever closer.

More importantly, the hadiths cited in the documentary said the two most important events that would trigger the coming would be the death of Saudi King Abdullah (who is currently very ill) and then the destruction of Israel.

An editorial in the Iranian **Keyhan** newspaper on Wednesday laid out the plans for the coming and said Iran's influence has spread throughout the **world and this "earthquake" is the beginning of Islam taking power. The Keyhan newspaper is directly under the supervision of Iran's supreme leader.**

The **Middle East Quarterly** Fall 2008, volume XBIV: Number 4 has a very informative article on Iranian president Mahmoud Ahmadinejad's belief system concerning the 12th Imam. We are told; "

The inspiration for Ahmadinejad's thinking can be found in traditional Shi'ism. As with other monotheistic religions, **Shi'i teachings promise the return of a messiah. For Twelver Shi'a, the messiah will be Muhammad al-Mahdi, the Twelfth Imam, who went into occultation in 874 CE and is expected to return before the Day of Judgment to lead the righteous against the forces of evil.** Such ideas pervade Iranian culture, even beyond the Islamic context. **The idea of the Mahdi has historical precedence,** for example, in ancient Zoroastrian beliefs. Persian literature and poetry are awash with the notion of a promised savior. Abol-Ghasem Ferdowsi (935-1020), the author of *Shahnameh* (The book of kings), Iran's national epic, wrote **that a "noble man" would appear in Iran from "whom will spread the religion of God to the four corners of the world."** (*The Middle East Quarterly*, "Ahmadinejad and the Mahdi", by Mohebat Ahdiyyih, Fall 2008, Vol XV, Nu. 4, p. 1)

Further coloring Ahmadinejad's world-view, even if not his Mahdism, has been German philosopher Martin Heidegger. Iranian intellectuals react favorably to Heidegger's real or perceived **anti-American sentiments, anti-Semitism, and his criticism of traditional Western thought.** His grand theory of existence and

his objection to attaching great significance to logical reasoning and intelligibility, as well as his theories of the value of nothingness, are concepts that have made him the darling of many Iranian intellectuals. (Ibid.)

The Middle East Quarterly article also informs us of the influence of Mahdism in Iran by stating, "After the 1979 revolution, the Islamic Republic incorporated the idea of Mahdism into its complex system of governance. Under Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini's concept of *velayat-e faqih* (guardianship of the jurisprudent), **Khomeini became the "guardian of Muslims" and representative of the Mahdi in the "first government of God" on earth.** He allowed the election of a parliament, the Majlis, but then commanded the elected deputies in May 1980 to offer their "services to Lord of the Age [the Mahdi], may God speed his blessed appearance." (*ibid.*)

The **Middle East Quarterly** article tells us what they consider the greatest influence on Ahmadinejad to be. The article says:

But what surely has had the greatest influence on Ahmadinejad and his peers is systematic indoctrination by the Hojjatieh Society. The name Hojjatieh derives from *Hojjat* (proof), one of the titles of the Mahdi; the society was founded in the mid-twentieth century by clerics to combat the Baha'i faith, founded in the nineteenth century by a prophet whom Muslim clerics have labeled and opposed as a false mahdi. The Hojjatieh grew with the help of prominent clerics and assistance from the late shah, who sought to curry favor with the clerics. It soon became a powerful nationwide organization of fundamentalists trained in Mahdism and proved a menace to the late shah.

The Hojjatieh played an important role in radicalizing Ahmadinejad and other secular Muslim youth, students, teachers, government bureaucrats, and even some members of the armed forces prior to the 1979 revolution. Many Hojjatieh activists participated in the 1979 Islamic Revolution. But in the early 1980s, Khomeini moved against the society, both because it challenged his *velayat-e faqih* doctrine of leadership and because it was poised to take the reins of power in Iran. The ensuing purge of its members from the Islamic regime forced numerous aspiring advocates of the new Islamic regime, such as Ahmadinejad, to renounce or hide their membership in or sympathy for the Hojjatieh. (Ibid.)

An *Asian Times* Article tells us that after the Islamic revolution in 1979 the Grand Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini combined the concept of Mahdism with the institutions of a nation-state government. The end results of this combination made, "the main duty of the state-supported Shi'ite clergy in Iran is to proselytize and prepare for the advent of the savior." (Asian Times, "Waiting for the Mahdi, Part 2", by Pepe Escobar, http://www.atimes.com/atimes/Middle_East/GI01Ak02.html Sep. 1, 2005)

According to the *Asian Times* article, "The theological and eschatological ramifications concerning Imam Mahdi are complex. In the body of *hadiths* - the sayings

of Prophet Mohammed - expectation of Imam Mahdi is as important as (defensive) jihad in the cause of Allah, for example in Iraq and Palestine. (Ibid.)

The Mahdi will come with a worldwide revolution started by the imam and 313 disciples by the Kaaba in Mecca, with Jesus coming down from heaven to pray, the vanguard marching towards Iraq and the imam settling down in Kufa, 20 minutes away from Najaf. **The so-called "victorious armies of Islam" taking over the world will present humanity with a stark choice.**

Guess what the choice is that the Imam al-Mahdi will give to the world?

According to Ayatollah **Ibrahim Amini** in his book *Al-Imam al-Mahdi - The Just Leader of Humanity* (Ansaryan Publications, Qom), "on seeing the fulfillment of many of the signs promised in the traditions, a large number of unbelievers will turn towards Islam. **Those who persist in their disbelief and wickedness shall be killed by the soldiers of the Mahdi.** The only victorious government in the entire world will be that of Islam and people will devotedly endeavor to protect it. Islam will be the religion of everyone, and will enter all the nations of the world." (Ibid.)

Some one reading this description of the Imam Mahdi might say that it sounds strangely like the description of the Climate Change Regime Christ (Maitreya) we have discussed previously. It might not come as a surprise to know that Benjamin Crème has stated in the **Share International Magazine May 2011** issue that Maitreya was behind the revolution in Egypt. He says "Maitreya spent three weeks in Cairo, much of the time with the protestors in Tahrir Square." (share-international.org/magazine.2011-05.htm)

In another place in the same **Share International** magazine issue Crème says, "the **people of the Middle East are undergoing a great awakening**, and are demanding **new freedoms and participation in the management of their lives.**" He sums up the section by saying, "Nothing can halt its progress and the young are its harbingers... Not for nothing was it the young of Tahrir Square who sat at Maitreya's feet as he taught them the ways of the future, the ways of the New Time, the Time of Peace, justice and sharing, of Freedom and Love." (Ibid.)

Do you still think that there is no correlation between Crème's Maitreya Christ and the 12th Imam?

Read what Ahmadinejad said in remarks he made on the 32nd anniversary of the overthrow of the Shah of Iran. **"We will soon see a new Middle East materialising without America and the Zionist regime and there will be no room for world arrogance (the West) in it,"** Ahmadinejad told the cheering crowds who gathered despite the cold and cloudy weather.

"They (the United States) have adopted a friendly face and saying 'we are friends of people of North Africa and Arab countries', but be watchful and united. You will be victorious," he said.

Then Ahmadinejad brought up his messianic beliefs saying the world was witnessing a revolution managed by Imam Mehdi, the 12th Shiite Imam who disappeared down a well as a five-year-old in the 10th century and who Shiites believe would return on the judgment day when the world is covered with blood and chaos

“The final move has begun. We are in the middle of a world revolution managed by this dear (12th Imam). A great awakening is unfolding. One can witness the hand of Imam in managing it,” said Ahmadinejad.....

“Come and take away the Zionist regime which is the source of all crimes... take it away and liberate the region. Free the region and give it to the people and take this regime, which is the child of Satan (the United States), out.” (Live Leak, "Iranian Documentary: The 12th Imam is Near and Ahmadinejad is Going to Lead His Army", posted by Jeff Dunetz Mar 13, 2011)

Would it surprise anyone that **some have already seen and talked with the Imam Mahdi?**

On the **International Conference of Mahdism Doctrine** website we are told, in an article entitled **Imam Mahdi (a.s.) and Those Who Deny Him**, "A group has denied the Holy Esistence of Imam Mahdi (a.s.) **while some of the Shias and believers have actually met the Holy Imam in person.**" ("Imam Mahdi and Those who Deny Him", by Mahsa Ehsani, <http://www.mahdaviat-conference.com/vdcbugbaprhb5.e4r.html>)

Would you believe **that the Imam Mahdi is concerned with the environment and world development?**

In an article entitled, "Government which paves the way for the Reappearance of Imam Mahdi (AF)" it says "This paper presents a study on the concept how we could achieve the preferred political, social and cultural conditions to establish a fair and just global government in preparation for the reappearance of Imam Mahdi (af). " ("Government which paves the way for the Reappearance of Imam Mahdi", by Fousiya Bismi, www.mahdaviat-conference.com/vdcic3a52tlaut.lit.html)

The government described by this International Conference of Mahdism Doctrine website is definitely in line with the Millennium Goals of the United Nations and the Rio +20 conference "The Future We Want" agreement adopted during the June 20-22, 2012 "sustainable development" global meeting in Rio de Janeiro, Brazille.

Let us make some comparisons between the "Government which paves the way for the reappearance of Imam Mahdi (AF)", "The Future We Want" document, and "The Millennium Development Goals Report 2010".

Example comparison 1.

The Mahdism Government, "Contains such basic principles of the fulfillment of our obligations to Allah, the **protections of human rights without distinction of religion** or community, **the care of the destitute and the poor and the provision of support to the down-trodden and helpless.** " (Op. site. Mahdi p. 2)

The Millennium Development Goals Report says, in the Foreword, "The Goals represent human needs and basic **human rights that every individual around the world** should be able to enjoy - **freedom from extreme poverty and hunger...**" (The Millennium Development Goals Report 2010, En 20100604 r14 Final.indd, United Nations, New York p. 3)

"**The Future We Want**" emphasizes the responsibilities of all ..**"to respect, protect and promote human rights and fundamental freedoms for all, without distinction of any kind to race, color, sex language or religion ..."** ("The Future We Want", daccess-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/n12/381/64 # 9.)

Example comparison 2.

The Mahdism **Government** preparing for **the reappearance** of the **Imam Mahdi** will have a, "**structure made up** of political parties, councils, national foundations for social and human sciences, legal institutions, academic institutions, foreign affairs, trade and treaties, intelligence, military and internal police, media national and international branches, **environment and natural resource conservation.**" (Op. cite., mahdi p. 4)

"**The Future We Want**" actually has multiple headings that deal with national structures see paragraphs 59, , 60, 61, 62, 63, 67, 71, 75, 76 etc. al.

Excerpts from some of these paragraphs read thusly: 61 " We recognize that urgent action on sustainable patterns of production and consumption where they occur remains fundamental in addressing **environmental** sustainability, and promoting **conservation** and sustainable use of biodiversity and ecosystems,..." Paragraph 62 encourages "each country to consider the implementation of **green economy policies** in the context of sustainable development ..." Paragraph 75 and 76 "underscore the importance of **a strengthened institutional framework**" and recognizes that "**effective governance at local, sub-national, national, regional, and global levels representing the voices and interests of all is critical** for advancing sustainable development." (Op. cite., Future, pp.11-12)

More specifically, the **Mahdism global governance of "Environmental and natural resources"** fits the "following **"Environmental Pillar"** of sustainable development. "**The Future We Want**" reads "87. We reaffirm **the need to strengthen international environmental governance** within the context of **the institutional framework for sustainable development**, in order to promote a balanced integration of economic, social and environmental dimensions o sustainable development as well as **coordination within the UN system.**" (Op., cite. Future)

Would a world government preparing the way for the reappearance of the Imam Mahdi that includes, "**foreign affairs, trade and treaties, intelligence, military, ..national and international branches,**" as well as "**environmental and natural resource conservation**" not provide the "**institutional framework**" to enforce sustainable development goals?

It is for certain that Imam Mahdi's proposed government would fulfill the Millennium Development goal 7 "Ensuring environmental stability", and Goal 8 "Develop a global partnership for development" (op. cite. UN MDG)

We could go on quoting and comparing these sources for another twenty pages at least, but more examples would only reaffirm the two examples already given.

The Mahdi's preparatory government says that: "The basic material needs of individuals that must be satisfied are:

- 1. Training and education** to develop the innate abilities of the individual and to enable him to cater for his well-being independently without becoming a burden on others.
- 2. a suitable job**, profession, or trade in keeping with his capability, aptitude, ambition and needs of society so that he and society both benefit from his ability and training.
- 3. adequate food and clothing,**
- 4. comfortable housing**
- 5. a generally healthy environment** combined with appropriate medical facilities and
- 6. adequate transport facilities** to enable a worker to commute to place of work without unreasonable discomfort and to convey his product to appropriate markets at reasonable costs. " (op. cite., Mahdism p. 4)

These basics of the preparatory government for the Mahdi echo the UN Millennium Development goals and "The Future We Want" and The **Climate Change Regime** goals. Don't forget Article 2. "Objective of the UNFCCC commits to "enable economic development to proceed in as sustainable manner." Furthermore, "sustainable development" is clearly stated in Article 3. principle 4, "**The Parties have a right to, and should, promote sustainable development.**" (UNFCCC, full text of the Convention)

The Imam's government has a program for "**Eradication of poverty and create conditions for full employment and a high rate of growth.**" (Op.cite Mahdism p. 7) **It has a " Physical and social Infrastructure" that includes, " Public works programs for the improvement and extension roads and highways, building of dams and bridges, provision of irrigation networks, construction of ports, airports and telecommunication services** are necessary for the physical infrastructure of the economy." (Ibid.)

Talk about sustainability, **the Mahdi's governmental economic policies with technology" are going to "be based on four principles:** 1. Workplaces should be located where people live (thereby avoiding the need for migration into overcrowded cities).

2. Workplaces should be cheap to organize (thereby avoiding the problems of borrowing a great deal of capital to get started).

3. The manufacturing systems should be uncomplicated (so that demand for high skills is avoided).

4. The production should be based on local materials for local use (thereby avoiding high transportation costs)." (Ibid.)

The **Mahdi's governmental "state" will, "invest in education** so that all citizens regardless of ethnic group or tribe enjoy equal rights to education and physical training regardless of color, race and language." Furthermore, "The government has the duty to create equal conditions for all citizens the opportunity to learn and utilize talents, expertise and knowledge in order to become competent at different kinds of work. The teaching of new skills aimed at continuous improvement and development so that the incentive for research and invention of new techniques of production and distribution is stimulated amongst the people in order to utilize resources to their maximum potential." (Ibid.)

In Mahdi's government there will be, **"the loathing of injustice, aggressive power and oppression."** (Ibid. p. 8)

Mahdi's government has a **universal health plan** that, **"is the responsibility of the state. This includes the provision of adequate medical facilities in order to improve the health people and to reduce suffering from ill health and disease in all living, leisure and working areas."**

Mahdi's government has, "Sanitation facilities in residential and public places, promoting public awareness of keeping a clean environment and curbing of pollution, providing clean water supplies,."

Mahdi's government has, **"comfortable housing"** and encourages "healthy eating habits and regular physical upkeep" knowing that people who "exercise are essential to achieve a healthier public." (Ibid.)

Mahdi knows that, "the monetary fiscal policies of certain countries are responsible for **substantial degree** of price stability" and therefore has a plan, "to resort to healthy financial policies and appropriate direct controls." (Ibid.)

Mahdi's government understands, "It is critical for the **long term growth of the economy that inflation and decline in the real value of money is contained due to the** adverse effects this erosion has on social justice and welfare of the society." (Ibid.)

Mahdi's government understands **"Market Forces"** enough to know, "The state should play an active and conscious role in not only determining priorities and guiding or challenging the scarce resources in the light of those priorities **but also regulating demand** so that occurrence of recession or inflation is avoided **in order to lead to optimum efficiency in the use of resources."** (Ibid.)

Mahdi's government realizes that, "Non governmental organisations (NGOs) are **important elements in a society as they are at the leading edge of change.** They share crisis intervention **work with the government** and take an interest in long term **development of society."** (NGO's are UN non governmental organizations) (Ibid.)

Anyone who has read "The UN Millennium Development Goals Report", "The Future We Want", which is the Sustainable development Climate Change

Regime agreement, would think that someone very familiar with those goals and plans framed them in the context of Islam with supporting quotations from the "Holy Quran." Mahdi could use his government and influence to accomplish what the Climate Change Regime voted to do in Durban in 2011, which is put the world "under the same legal regime enforcing commitments to control greenhouse gases." (Op. Cite. Canadian Business)

The fly in the proverbial ointment with the Imam al-Mahdi is **that the only country to be under a Clerical Islamic government, Iran, has not enacted nor practiced the kind of government described in the "Government which paves the way for the Reappearance of Imam Mahdi."** In fact, the government necessary for the reappearance of the Imam Mahdi, that we have quoted, sounds a whole lot more like the Maitreya Christ of Benjamin Crème than any strictly Islamic Imam Mahdi. To be more specific, **very little in this reappearance government document sounds like Ahmadinejad's declarations of intent to destroy Israel.**

What happens when the Imam Mahdi reveals himself?

"According to Ayatollah Ibrahim Amini in his book *Al-Imam al-Mahdi* - The Just Leader of Humanity (Ansaryan Publications, Qom), "on seeing the fulfillment of many of the signs promised in the traditions, a large number of unbelievers will turn towards Islam. **Those who persist in their disbelief and wickedness shall be killed by the soldiers of the Mahdi.** The only victorious government in the entire world will be that of Islam and people will devotedly endeavor to protect it. Islam will be the religion of everyone, and will enter all the nations of the world." (Op. cite. Mahdi part 2)

The results of not following the Islamic Imam Mahdi sound exactly like the results of not following the Maitreya Christ, you either get liberated to a higher plane of existence, disappear, or get killed. What a choice!

Is it possible that even the USA's sovereignty and national stability is being compromised by ignoring the rising influence of Islamic jihadists in this nation purposefully preparing us for an Islamic occupation?

Some in Congressmen concerned about Muslim Brotherhood Influence

Consider the fact that at least some of our Congressmen have become concerned enough to ask for an official inquiry into the matter of possible terrorist Islamic infiltration. In an article by The Blaze, Erica Ritz reports that, On June 13, five members of Congress including Michele Backman(MN- 06), Trent Franks (AZ- 02), Louie Gohmert (TX -01), Tom Rooney (FL- 16), and Lynn Westmoreland (GA- 03), sent letters to the **Inspectors General of the Office of the Director of National Intelligence, the Department of Defense, the Department of Homeland Security, the Department of Justice and the Department of State** with the intention of finding out." (Erica Ritz, "House Members Demand Answers On Depth of U.S. involvement with the Muslim Brotherhood", June 15, 2012, The Blaze, theblaze.com/house-members-demand ...)

The Bachman's house.gov website makes the following statement:

In the letter, Bachmann, Franks, Gohmert, Rooney and Westmoreland **questioned the Inspectors General about the direct influence within the intelligence community of Muslim Brotherhood operatives.** They explained that **the U.S. government in federal court has established that the group's mission in the U.S. is "destroying the Western civilization from within."** The members went on to request that the respective offices of the Inspectors General conduct a formal investigation or evaluation of the extent to which Muslim Brotherhood-tied individuals or entities are involved.

"The national security of our country depends on getting straight answers from the Inspectors General to the questions we posed in these letters," said Bachmann. **"The Muslim Brotherhood is not shy about their call for jihad against the United States.** We seek answers through these letters because **we will not tolerate this group and its affiliates holding positions of power in our government** or influencing our nation's leaders."

"Evidence indicates that this administration **continues to bow before groups associated with the goal of 'destroying Western civilization from within,'** and about whom **the 5th Circuit Court of Appeals has found that there is plenty of evidence indicating that they support terrorism,**" said Gohmert. "For departments of this administration to continue meeting with such groups and agreeing to further blind our government agents charged with looking for enemies wanting to destroy us is at best foolhardy. At a minimum, we need an independent inspection regarding the role, the roots and the results of such destructive groups within this administration. Our enemies have been identified; now we need to know what they have done to our ability to protect ourselves."

"The Muslim Brotherhood openly calls for violence against the United States, but we're learning that this organization may be infiltrating our ranks, even within our military," said Rooney. "We need our top security agencies to investigate thoroughly the degree to which members of this organization are active in our defense and intelligence communities, and what impact that has on our national security."

"We **must always stay vigilant when fighting against those who want to destroy our way of life,**" said Westmoreland. "The Muslim Brotherhood may not have the name recognition of al-Qaeda or the Taliban, but that does not mean they don't have the potential to be just as deadly. **I'm hoping these letters will send the message to our country's intelligence, law enforcement and diplomatic agencies that we cannot ignore the Muslim Brotherhood** and must look into their operations and membership with the seriousness that is necessary in order to root them out of our government."

Founded in 1928, the Muslim Brotherhood's motto reads, "Allah is our

objective. The Prophet is our leader. The Quran is our law. Jihad is our way. Dying in the way of Allah is our highest hope."

(<http://bachmann.house.gov/news/documentsingle.aspx?DocumentID=299447>)

Copies of the original letters of inquiry sent are available on the Bachman website.

One would think that the very real concern expressed by these Congressmen would be emphatically endorsed by every one. Unfortunately that is not the case. They have met with opposition within the Republican party by John McCain and John Boener.

Clinton's response is recorded by an article published in *The Hill*, by Julian Pequet. She says, " Secretary of State Hillary Clinton on Monday slammed the five House Republicans who suggested one of her top aides has links to the Islamist (Muslim Brotherhood) movement, saying there is "no place in our politics" for such "assaults."

According to Clinton, the fact that, "five House Republicans **have asked** the State Department's deputy **inspector general to probe Abedin's alleged ties to the Muslim Brotherhood**," means "Leaders have to be active in stepping in and sending messages about **protecting the diversity within their countries**,". (Julian Pecque, "Clinton: No Place in our politics for attack on Muslim aide," *The Hill* 7/30/2012/ <http://thehill.com/video/administration/241075--sec-clinton-no-place-in-our-politics-for-house-republicans-assaults-on-muslim-aide>)

Since when has protecting diversity been more important than protecting our national security?

During WWII, would it have been a good idea to invite members of the Nazi party to come and work for the State Department or Department of Homeland Security in the name of protecting the diversity within our county? If you had even suggested such lunacy in WWII you would have been declared a traitor.

It is outrageous that people who either have family ties or have actively been a part of an organization which has declared its intent to destroy this nation are actively employed in our government!!

The U. S. has been at war with Islamic terrorism whether it is called al-Qaeda, The Muslim Brotherhood, Mahdism, since their attack on this country 9/11/2001 Who

Writer , researcher, and TV commentator Glenn Beck has done a documentary entitled "**Rumors of War III**" which purportedly **examines strategic Islamist penetration of the U.S. at the highest levels**. The article for the documentary asks the question.

"Do Islamic extremist groups still pose a mortal threat to the United States"?

The answer to the question is as follows.

"Based on recent events, it [would seem many senior US officials believe the answer is no](#). Just this week, the **Obama administration claimed that the War on Terror, a catch-all term for the series of post-9/11 conflicts between civilization and violent radical Islam, has come to an end.**

"But a new GBTV Documentary, "Rumors of War III: Target U.S." shatters this claim. It makes the case **that not only has the threat from radical Islam endured— it is in fact growing and more imminent with each passing day.**" (Buck Sexton, The Blaze TV, April 25, 2012"

"This whitewashing of Islamist ideology is not just meant for general public consumption. **A series of national security experts appear on screen in ROW III to describe the long-established radical ties of key Islamic spokesman in the U.S. They name names,** often referencing links to a pivotal terrorism finance trial unfamiliar to many Americans." (Ibid.)

"As shown in ROW III, those on the front lines of combating Islamic terrorism are increasingly unable to be frank in their analysis or open with their conclusions. **Somewhere along the way, a series of highly-placed advisors to the White House, Department of Defense, and other crucial government agencies have apparently convinced senior U.S. leadership that the mere discussion of radical Islam is inherently an offense to all people of Islamic faith.**" (Ibid.)

The people who testify on the GBTV Rumors of War III: Target U.S. documentary give absolutely astounding information that everyone in this nation needs to see. The documentary is available at <http://web.gbtv.com/> for members.

General William G. Boykin gives testimony in another GBTV video entitled "**The Muslim Brotherhood**", also available on the GBTV website. This video shows **James Clapper Director of National Intelligence** being questioned before a congressional committee. ("The Muslim Brotherhood", <http://web.gbtv.com/for members>)

He states unequivocally, "The **term Muslim Brotherhood** is an umbrella term **for a variety of movements.** In the case of Egypt a very heterogeneous group, largely secular, which **has eschewed violence and has decried** al-Qaeda as a perversion of Islam." They have pursued social ends, betterment of the political order in Egypt um etc." (Ibid.)

In answer to a question about the non violent side of the Brotherhood Clapper says, "In other countries there are also chapters of the Muslim Brotherhood, **but there is no overarching agenda uh particularly in pursuit of violence...**"(Ibid.)

Clapper's statement is followed by U. S. Army Lt General William G Boykin who is the former **"Deputy Under secretary of Defense Intelligence"**. Gen. Boykin says, **"I was stunned and I know that Jim Clapper knows better.** I don't know if he,.. if that's the party line and that is what he is asked to portray, whether he had a bad day, or whether he just really doesn't know, but **its incredible after being in the intelligence business this long, and being as bright as he is, that he would say, The Muslim Brotherhood was a largely secular organization.** My goodness, doesn't the name itself give you a clue." (Ibid.)

Has any one considered the possibility that either Clapper is complicit with the cover up or he has been brainwashed?

Let this author interject here, **one must remember that Maitreya aka. the 12th Imam** was supposedly **involved in the Egyptian governmental overthrow**, according to Benjamin Crème's "Master". Crème said in his *May, 2011 issue of "Share International Magazine"* that, "Under the impact of the powerful energies now focused by **Maitreya and His group**, the people of the Middle East are undergoing a great awakening, and are demanding new freedoms and participation in the management of their live. (Op. cit Share, May 2011, p. 3) Furthermore, Crème says, **"Not for nothing was it the young of Tahrir Square who sat at Maitreya's feet as he taught them the ways of the future, the ways of the New Time, the Time of Peace, Justice and Sharing, of Freedom and Love"** (Ibid.) By the, way don't forget that Maitreya aka. the 12th Imam has a lot to say about us all, the ones who believe in him, being brothers.

Has any body paid any attention to the results of the voting in Egypt?

Isn't it astounding that, **Egypt has announced Mohammed Morsi, the Muslim Brotherhood candidate is the new president!** "Throngs of Morsi supporters in Cairo's Tahrir Square erupted in cheers and dancing when the result was read out on live television. Some released doves with his pictures over the square where the uprising that ousted Mubarak last year was born." (Huffington Post AP, 6/25/2012, http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2012/06/24/egypt-election-results-morsi-president_n_1622133.html)

While the Egyptian parliamentary elections were an **overwhelming victory for Islamists, who won the majority of seats?**

"The Muslim Brotherhood, which was banned under Mubarak's regime, won the biggest share of parliamentary seats (38%), according to the High Elections Committee. Its Freedom and Justice party (FJP) has named Saad al-Katatni, a leading Brotherhood official who sat in the old parliament as an independent, as speaker of the assembly." (Jasmine Coleman, The Guardian, 1/21/2012, <http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2012/jan/21/egypt-election-clear-islamist-victory>)

The BBC reported, in a July 8, 2012 article entitled "Egyptian President Mursi reverses parliament dissolution", that even though an effort was made to dissolve the

Parliament by the military, "Egypt's President Mohammed **Mursi has ordered Parliament to reconvene**, a month after it was dissolved."
(<http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-middle-east-18761403>)

"The military council held emergency talks on Mr. Mursi's decree but did not decide on any action, officials said. (Ibid.)

The Beck "**Muslim Brotherhood**" documentary has more info. **John Guandolo former FBI Special Agent** says, " I just think that across the board we are seriously missing the boat and, more importantly, **the very people we are getting our advice from** currently regarding the Islamic movement, terrorism, counter terrorism, **are leaders in the Muslim Brotherhood**. And **those are the people advising our White House, State Department, FBI, CIA, DHS, that's the problem.**" (Op.cit. Brotherhood)

Can anyone believe this? **The ones who we are using as the source of our inside information on Islamic terrorism are the very ones whose leader declared Jihad against the United states.** "**Supreme Guide**" Mohammed Badie, whose Brotherhood connections were mentioned in Congressman Bachman's letters cited above, "**openly called for jihad by all 'Arab Muslim peoples' against the United States in 2010**". (op.cit, Ritz)

Another revelation from the Beck Muslim Brotherhood video is that **in 2002 Mohamed Eliabary founded the Freedom and Justice Foundation, in Plano, Texas. Freedom and Justice is the political party of the Muslim Brotherhood in Egypt.** He was **appointed a member of the Homeland Security advisory council**, which meant that Eliabary had access to security documents. **In October of 2011 it was reported he took sensitive reports from the Texas Department of Public Safety.**" (Op. cite. Brotherhood)

When questioned by Rep Louie Gohmert (R-Texas), member of the House Judiciary Committee, asked **U.S. Department of Homeland Security Secretary, Janet Napolitano about her knowledge of the Eliabary security breach**, and to the direct question, "**were you aware of that?**" Her response was, "No."

When Gohmert asked, "Do we need to appoint somebody or will you have that investigated yourselves, and if so by whom"?

The response was "well **since I don't know the facts, I'll have to look into the facts.**"

Gohmert, "So you'll be the one to make that call."

She says "We'll have somebody. It'll be my self or someone."
(<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=354GJU3X54Y>, uploaded by Gohmert Oct. 26, 2011)

Every one needs to watch the latest video on the Elibiary question provided on U-Tube posted July 20, 2012. In this video Representative Gohmert questioned Napolitano about her follow-up on the Elibiary mater in light of her assurance that she or someone else she appointed would investigate the question.
(<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=MDk0DLxO-TY>)

Gohmert says, "back in October we discussed a gentleman, Mr. Elibiary, and the week before he had been on line, using the secret security clearance that you gave him, when **you placed him on the advisory, The Homeland Security Advisory Council.** And He had used that to access the um the State and Local Intelligence Community of Interest Classified Material Database and **downloaded material.** And We had information that he had shopped that trying to uh claim Texas was Islamaphobes, because **they were concerned about radical Islamists.** But, uh, since that time, you yourself told me you were going to look into it. So, what did you find out?"

Napolitano responds, "I found out that the, uh the statements that were made in that regard are false. They are misleading, and objectionable and I think they are wrong."

Gohmert interrupts and says, "you need to know that you have people who are lying in your department. Because Texas Department of Public Safety has been told, the **investigation was done. He did access the classified information, with his own private computer. He did download the documents that we knew he did.** And the one thing that they could not confirm, because they didn't talk to the reporter, or the people that he shopped the story to, uh they couldn't confirm that he shopped the story."

"But, **are you saying before this Congress, right now, that as Secretary of Homeland Security, that it is a lie, that Mohamed Elibiary downloaded material from a classified website using the secret security clearance you gave him?** Are you saying that's a lie?"

Napolitano responds, "I'm saying that is inaccurate. That is correct."

Gohmert, asks "alright, what is inaccurate about that?"

Napolitano says, "A number of things. **First of all, we have a, several people on the Homeland Advisory Committee who are Muslim.** They've been helping law enforcement for a long time. Mr. Elibiary himself was recognized by the FBI.. for his apparent.."

Gohmert interrupts and says, "**I didn't say anything about that** so if you could **confine your answer** to what I said and what you find misleading in it.."

Napolitano, "Well, uh one of the things I find misleading in it is that he somehow downloaded classified documents."

Gohmeert, "So you're saying the State and Local Intelligence Community of Interest Database is not classified?"

Napolitano, "I'm saying that **he, as far as I know, did not download classified documents..**" (Ibid.)

The questioning of Napolitano reveals that she **not only refuses to acknowledge that proven flagrant misuse of security clearance by Mr. Elibiary but also the fact that he formed the Plano based "Freed and Justice Foundation**, which had its nonprofit 501c3 status revoked for not filing proper documentation.

Napolitano, under pressure from Gohmert, does finally acknowledge that she does know what the Freedom and Justice Party in Egypt is (the political party of the Muslim Brotherhood)

To the question, "Has Elibiary's status on the Homeland Security Council changed?" Napolitano says, "**No.**"

Gohmert says, "It did not bother you that he accessed information?"

Napolitano says, "uh he accessed some information. Uh, **what bothers me, quite frankly are the allegations are made against anyone who happens to be Muslim.**"

These are the types of games that are being played by this administration. If you **ask a direct question with proof in hand** that they cannot get out of the play the race card and pretend that you are laying blanket allegations against someone. There is evidently **factual evidence** that will stand up in a court of law of a security breach by Mr. Elibiary. The breach by Mr. Elibiary was of **the State and Local Intelligence Community of Interest Classified Material Database from which he downloaded material** using his private computer and the secret security code given to him by Napolitano, or someone working for her.

People of Islamic decent and religion are not the problem. **The problem is that persons who are directly linked with the Muslim Brotherhood and their declaration of jihad against the USA is a real reason for concern.** Anyone who will not take Muslim jihadist threats seriously should not be in any position where national security is concerned.

While our **current administration no longer wants to use the term "Islamic Terrorist", we have others, like retired Army Lt. General William Boykin, "sounding the alarm" that Islamic Shariah law is already inside the United States** and presents a real threat to the future of this nation.

The General's Concern

Boykin has recently stated, "There is a threat to this country from Shariah and The Muslim Brotherhood." **"The new Egyptian president, Mohamed Morsi said he joined the Muslim Brotherhood in 1978 while he was in the United States of America.** People who say that the Muslim Brotherhood is not in America are not dealing with reality." (freedomoutpost.com/2010/07/us-general-shariah-is-here-now)

General Boykin says, **"Muslims who are not pushing for Shariah in the United States are pushed aside and don't have a voice. They're often marginalized in their mosques and sometimes not allowed to participate."** (Ibid.)

General Boykin contends **that Shariah law has already been used to determine certain cases in the US.** "People say that Shariah isn't going to be a threat in the United States. Fifty-three cases in 28 states have been decided by Shariah law at the appellate level." (Ibid.)

General Boykin says that the Muslim Brotherhood's master plan for the US includes controlling the dialogue, **"ensuring that people don't talk about Shariah** or its objectives for the country." Gen Boykin also informs us that **The Muslim Brotherhood met with "FBI director Mueller," in which they "complained about the counterterrorism training manual."** (Ibid.)

General Boykin's concern is amplified as others, such as the Center For Security and Policy, have become greatly concerned about Islamic jihadist influence in this country as well. Everyone needs to see the U-tube video published by Secure Freedom April 24, 2012. The posted introductory statement says, " In the closing days of the 2008 presidential campaign, Democratic candidate Barack Obama declared that he was poised to begin **"fundamentally transforming the United States of America."** He has **certainly done so with respect to policies favored by Islamists.**

"This part is a two-hour deep drill-down **into the disastrous policies of the Obama White House, its State Department, Defense Department, Justice Department, Department of Homeland Security,** and more." This two hour documentary is posted at <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hVCDUTlo0sg&feature=related>)

The opening statement in this video entitled, **"Muslim Brotherhood in America, Part 9: Team Obama & the Islamist Agenda"** should get any red blooded American's attention. The video starts with opening remarks by Frank Gaffney Jr. currently President of the Center for Security Policy in Washington D.C.

Frank J. Gaffney Jr., who **acted as Assistant Secretary of Defense under President Ronald Regan** says, "We've examined thus far in the course the nature of the Islamic totalitarian supremacist code its adherents call "Sharia", and the efforts made by the Muslim Brotherhood, and other Islamists, to bring it to America. In part 8, the course explored how, under president Obama, the penetration of the U.S. government and

influence operations run against it, during the previous administration have translated into even greater ontray for individuals associated with the Brotherhood. In this " (part we will consider the extent to which policies favored by such individuals and their friends have been adopted to the detriment to this country's values, constitution, and national security. (*Ibid.*)

The Entire series from **Secure freedom** is available at no charge on UTube. The "Muslim Brotherhood in America: The Overview" can be seen starting at http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ul2hpEOngyo&feature=list_other&playnext=1&list=SPF834C7965BEA6BCC. This series is far more informative than this author has the time and ability to do. The research is well done. Documentation is presented by photocopy and direct quotes.

In 2009 President Obama made a June 4 speech at the Cairo University. According to **The Huffington Post**, article entitled "Obama Egypt visit: Cairo University Prepares", by Jason Keyser, "In recent years, **the university has been at the center of anti-government protests**. Like many of Cairo's centers of higher learning, there are **followers of the Muslim Brotherhood, an outlawed opposition movement**, among its **students and staff**. It had already been under the close watch of security services, who keep tabs on student opposition supporters."

Perhaps Obama chose the Cairo University with its 300,000 students because, "Its students had a leadership role in protesting the British occupation of Egypt, which ended in the 1952 revolution." (Jason Keyser 5/28/2009 "Obama Egypt Visit:...

(http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2009/05/28/obama-egypt-visit-cairo-u_n_2)

A picture with the article shows a man holding plaque saying "**Obama new**



Tutankamon of the World."

A U-Tube video posted at <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eGLIL90MNcg> with content from Reuters has more info on the Huffington Post picture. The heading says, " Deep in Cairo's historic Khan al-Khalili bazaar, shop owner Gamal Shousha has hit upon a unique way to commemorate US President Barack Obama's upcoming visit to Egypt.

Shousha's souvenir shop, which sells silver and brass handicrafts on a street lined with ancient mosques, is now producing memorabilia **hailing Obama as the New King Tutankhamun**, the famous boy Pharaoh who ruled Egypt over 3,000 years ago. The T-shirts and brass plaques feature an image of Obama inside a traditional ancient Egyptian Cartouche, with the President's name written underneath in Hieroglyphics. Shousha says that he hit upon the idea when he thought about all that King Tut and Obama have in common. **'We saw that the American President is a young president and King**

Tutankhamun was also young, and there's a resemblance, and so he's the Tutankhamun of the world," he told Reuters on Monday (June 1).

Obama Tutankhamun's Mideast timeline

An article entitled "**How Obama Engineered Mideast Radicalization**" gives a **timeline of the untold story of the "Arab Spring"** that will help clarify our previous discussion of the Muslim Brotherhood connection with his administration. (IBD editorial, news.investors.com/articleprint/618823)

2009: Prior to Obama's speech at Cairo University The Brotherhood spiritual leader - Sheikh Yusuf Qaradawl -writes an open letter to Obama arguing that terrorism is a direct response to U.S. policy. (Ibid.)

2009, June 4 Obama speaks at Cairo University, where it is known there are Brotherhood members among its students and staff, infuriating the Mubarak regime by **inviting banned Brotherhood leaders to attend.** Obama said, " That experience guides my conviction that partnership between America and **Islam must be based on what Islam is, not what it isn't.** And I consider **it part of my responsibility as President of the United States to fight against negative stereotypes of Islam wherever they appear.**" (www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/remarks-president-cairo-university-6-04-09)

2009: Brotherhood associated Rashad Hussain appointed as U.S. envoy to the **Organization of the Islamic Conference, which supports the Brotherhood.** POLITICO obtained a recording of his presentation to a Muslim students' conference in Chicago, **where he can be heard portraying the government's cases towards professor Sami Al-Arian, as well as other Muslim terrorism suspects, as "politically motivated persecutions."** Al-Arian later pled guilty to aiding terrorists..... ("Breaking News: Rashad Hussain Admits Making Controversial Comments" The Global Muslim Brotherhood Daily Report, <http://globalmbreport.org/?p=2269>)

2010: The State Department lifts visa ban on Tariq Ramadan, suspected terrorist and Egyptian- born grandson of Brotherhood founder Hassan al-Banna.

2010: Hussain meets with Ramadan at American-sponsored conference attended by U.S. and Brotherhood officials.

2010: Hussain meets with the Brotherhood's grand mufti in Egypt.

2010: Obama meets one-on-one with Egypt's foreign minister, Ahmed Aboul Gheit, who later remarks on Nile TV: "The American President told me in confidence that he sis a Muslim."

2010: The Brotherhood's supreme guide calls for jihad against the U.S.

2011: Qaradawi calls for "days of rage" against Mubarak and **other pro-Western regimes throughout Mideast.**

2011: Riots erupt in Cairo's Tahrir Square. Crowds organized by the Brotherhood demand Mubarak's ouster and storm buildings.

2011: The **White House fails to back longtime ally Mubarak**, who flees Cairo

2011: White House sends intelligence czar James Clapper to Capitol Hill to whitewash the Brotherhood's extremism. Clapper testifies that the group is moderate, "largely secular."

2011: Qaradawi, who was exiled from Egypt for 30 years, is given a hero's welcome in Tahrir Square, **where he raises the banner of jihad.**

2011: Through his State Department office, William Taylor - Clinton's special coordinator for Middle East transitions and a longtime associate of Brotherhood apologists - gives Brotherhood and other Egyptian Islamists special training to prepare for the post- Mubarak elections.

2011: The Brotherhood wins 38 % control of Egyptian Parliament, along with other Islamic fundamentalists and **vowing to tear up Egypt's 30 year treaty with Israel** and reestablish ties with Hamas and Hezbollah.

2011: Obama gives Middle East speech in which he demands that Israel give lands to Palestinians, returning to 1967 borders.

2011: Justice Department drops prosecution of U.S. based Brotherhood front groups who were revealed to be collaborators in the conspiracy trial to funnel millions of dollars to Hamas.

2011: The State Department formalizes ties with Egypt's Muslim Brotherhood, letting diplomats deal directly with Brotherhood party officials in Cairo

April, 2012: The Obama Administration State Department waive antiterrorist support guidelines and release \$1.5 billion in foreign aid to the Brotherhood Egyptian Regime

June 2012: Morsi wins presidency amid widespread reports of electoral fraud and voter intimidation by gun toting Brotherhood advocates. In spite of anti-Christian blockades the Obama administration recognizes Morsi as president.

June 2012: Morsi vows to instate Shariah Law in victory speech, making Egypt a theocracy comparable to Iran. He **demands World Trade Center terrorists and Brotherhood leader Omar Abdel-Rahman, to be released from prison.**

June 2012: State Department grants visa to banned Egyptian terrorist who joins a delegation of Brotherhood officials from Egypt. They are all invited to the White House to meet with Obama's deputy national security adviser, who listens to their demands for the release of Abdel-Rahman (the blind Sheik).

July 2012: Obama invites Morsi to visit the White House this September. (timeline dates from various sources but "How Obama Engineered Mideast Radicalization" News.investors.com/articleprint/618823/201207191846/obama is primary)

September 10, 2012 in spite of **credible intel report of planned violence on September 11** Obama's deputies negotiate a \$1 billion dollar aid package to Egypt to purchase German made U-Boats. (the Daily Caller, "Obama aids Egypt as it tries to buy U-boats" 9/10/2012, dailycaller.com/2012/09/10/obama-aids-egypt-as-it-tries-to-buy-u-boats/)

September 11, 2012 At the Opening of the "Arab Forum on Asset Recovery" early Tuesday morning 9/11/2012 (posted on the White House YouTube channel) Obama delivers a welcome offering thanks to "His Higness Sheikh Hamad bin Khalifa Al Thani. He refers to "Arab Spring" as a movement "determined to forge their own Future." He makes **specific reference** that The Arab Forum's goal is to recover assets stolen by autocratic regimes, including "**Tunisia, Egypt, and Libya**" (<http://www.whitehouse.gov/photos-and-video/video/2012/09/11/president-obama-s-message-arab-forum-asset-recovery>)

September 11, 2012 American Embassy in Egypt is attacked, later American Embassy in Libya is attacked with 4 death including the Ambassador and two non-active Navy Seals. Who knew that two inactive navy seals would be in Libya with the Ambassador? Why was this raid known about at least 48 hours in advance and nothing done to protect either the Ambassador or the Navy Seals from a planned Al Qaeda assault? In spite of knowing at least 48 hours in advance of planned assault related to 9/11 and killing of Osama bin Laden..

September 13, 14, 16 2012 Islamic attacks spread and include Afghanistan, Yemen, and surprisingly Tunis, Tunisia. (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2012_diplomatic_missions_attacks)

The total absurdity of not demanding accountability

Caroline May wrote in **The Daily Caller** that the FBI has investigated 100 suspected Islamic extremists in the US military or closely associated with it. The investigation reveals some "serious cases" which include **suspects that were planning attacks** or were in touch with "dangerous individuals." The threats have been reported to include both active and reserve military personnel, whit access to military facilities. (Caroline May, The Daily Caller, 6/26/2012, dailycaller.com/2012/06/26/report-fbi...)

In light of the foregoing time line and actions by the Obama administration, everyone should recognize the total absurdity of not demanding an immediate response to the five House Representatives who are simply asking the question, "what is going on here? In relation to people with know ties to the Muslim Brotherhood"

Somebody better start answering questions real quick or they should be arrested immediately and tried for treason!

The actions of aiding and abetting the enemy who has declared "holy war" aka "jihad" is treason.

To entertain, pander, hire, give classified passwords and access to highly classified information to individuals, who are members of the same group, whose **supreme leader declared "jihad" against this country in 2010** in high levels of our government is a treason or at the very least espionage!

Anyone who does not immediately stand up and demand answers now is, by negligence, complicit in these treasonous actions.

How does any of this link to the Climate Change Regime?

The Climate Change Regime Christ is Islam's Imam Mahdi

It is the opinion of this writer that the Climate Change Regime Maitreya and the 12th Imam Mahdi, as presented on the Mahdism Doctrine website, are one and the same person. We have already noted from quotations in our previous discussion that the **Climate Change Regime secret** is that there are numerous **UN officials who know of and are working for the World government Christ**, who Benjamin Creme calls Maitreya, while acknowledging he would be called the Imam Mahdi in Islam and Christ in Christianity.

We have observed from various sources that **Iran's Supreme Ayatollah, Khamenei and President Ahmadinejad believe that the Imam Mahdi's reappearance is imminent** and they are making preparations for his return. **We have documented that some, including Khamenei, have supposedly met with the 12th Imam in person (the flesh).** An article titled "Ahmadinejad Claims Egyptian Riots Work of 12th Imam" by Jeff Dunetz 2/16/2011, www.newsrealblog.com is insightful in this regard.

We have noted in our previous discussions that both Benjamin Creme and Ahmadinejad claim that the, so called, **"Arab Spring" riots are the work of the Christ who is now here in the flesh** whether called Maitreya or Imam Mahdi.

Whoever this Mahdi, Christ, Maitreya is he has not yet openly declared his true status as the Lord of all Lords and king of all kings.

We wonder who this person could be?

Who ever this Maitreya, Christ, Mahdi is he would be a leader behind the green revolution, and working to implement The Climate Change Regime to control the world environmentally, socially, technologically, and legally, and at the same time

be covertly implementing the Islamic Messianic Imam Mahdi Regime to control the world religiously. Furthermore, to really make this work you would have to be in control of a nation so powerful that it could impose its will economically and militarily on the whole world.

Whoever this Maitreya, Christ, Mahdi, is **he must first, have the financial leaders and heads of the most powerful nations of the world in agreement with him through something like the G20, and leaders of the UN Security Council, The Club of Rome, The Council on Foreign Relations.** **Second,** He would need the technology available and in place to shut down entire nations Power grids, like the recent short term outage of the Power Grid in India, and to **hack bank systems** controlling the flow of all transactions, and shut down nuclear reactors like in Iran. **Third,** he, through his country's advanced internet infrastructure, would have to be able control all essential communications. **Finally,** to really implement the Climate Change Regime and his total control **he would need something really dramatic to happen like a world crisis.** **Any kind of world crisis could do the trick, from a pretended attack of UFO's, an Iranian bombing of Israel, Giant solar flares taking out much of the electric grid, or an imminent impact by an asteroid.** **Better still, have an Islamic extremist or Jihadist uprising that's turns increasing violent and spreads.**

Since the hidden 12th Imam Mahdi is already here the last option should not be a problem. **All he would have to do is give them some kind of signal, like on September 11, 2012 by issuing a prerecorded greeting to the Arab Forum on Asset Recovery held in Qatar, and specifically naming Tunisia, Egypt and Libya** **The meeting** that was planned earlier in the spring of 2012 at the G8 meeting at Camp David. (<http://youtu.be/SZ7HK5ns6mE>) hear and see countries named at 1.05- 1.09 min.

Of course this is just speculation as to what one scenario might be. It is speculation that the one who gave a speech in Cairo and invited the outlawed Muslim Brotherhood actually was actually giving a signal that started the overthrow of Egypt's Mubarak Regime. It is not speculation that Benjamin Crème said Maitreya aka Imam Mahdi instigated the entire Arab Spring and was actively involved in the Tahrir Square protest, as we have previously documented.

With the signal given, **the previous plans for attacking US Embassies and expanding the Occupy Wall street movement into the Occupy by Islam movement begins.** The Occupy by Islam movement is based on religious hatred of the little satan and the big satan (Israel, and the USA). An trailer for a movie named "Innocence of Muslims, that caricatures Mohammed, which had been on the internet for months, is the pretended Of the Islamic riots. However the real **cause is anti Christianity, anti capitalism, anti democracy based on Christian values, and anti civilized industrial society.**

It would help if your country had technology that could either cause or simulate earthquakes, like the recent one in Iran. It would help if your country had the technology to heat sections of the atmosphere causing high pressure domes that would divert rain from states that you were angry at like Texas or the mid west.

Gosh, with multiple military satellites at your disposal and the ability to fly in and out of the earth's atmosphere at will it would be so easy to deceive an unsuspecting and ignorant world.

Bingo, Maitreya, 12th Imam Mahdi, Christ, the great teacher and source of light, love, whoever he wants to call himself, announces that he has the solution to the world problem. This scenario has already been planned, out of course, so that the supposed solution appears to work.

Most will be in awe of the solution and the power of the supposed Imam Mahdi/Christ and will gladly **follow his leadership into the New Age of love, light, and integrity, where global poverty is being eradicated, clean water, food, housing, and healthcare is supplied to all followers who will simply sign up and take the implanted certified Id number via rfid, to guarantee they are who they say they are.**

The Mahdi, Maitreya, Christ, or teacher, **already has the hidden government in place right in front of everyone's eyes.** As in other Communist takeovers the rebels and especially **their leaders will be summarily executed first**, if they haven't already been killed in the fighting, lest they spill the truth of the plan.

With peace established the declaration to the world of "**The Future We Want**", that has already been declared and approved during the Jude 20 Rio+20, 2012 meeting, will be recognized and given its proper place as a governing document.

The latest revision of "**The Earth Charter**" with its goal of bringing forth a "**sustainable global society founded on respect for nature, universal human rights, economic justice, and a culture of peace,**" becomes the spiritual guideline. Humanity will be reminded that "**Earth, Our Home**", "with finite resources, **is a common concern of all people,**" and that, "**the protection of earth's vitality, diversity, and beauty is a sacred trust.**" Attention will be especially paid to the heading "**The Global situation**". "**The Global Situation**" states, "**The dominant patterns of production and consumption are causing environmental devastation, the depletion of resources, and, massive extinction of species.** Communities are being undermined. The benefits of development are not shared equitably and the gap between the rich and poor is widening. **Injustice, poverty, ignorance, and violent conflict are widespread and the cause of great suffering.** An **unprecedented rise in human population has overburdened ecological and social systems.** The foundations of global security are threatened." (www.earthcharterinaction.org)

It will make no difference that, **first**, dominant patterns of production and consumption **are not causing environmental devastation in the hated USA.** **Second**, it is of no consequence that because of enhanced new farming techniques and conservation measures **there is no depletion of resources from farming.** Furthermore, some natural resources like coal, oil, and natural gas in the USA have enough volume to give us 150 years, at least, to come up with new technologies to meet the same present needs economically. **Third**, it will not count that **there has not been "a massive extinction of species"** caused by **modern patterns of production and consumption** at all. Even if extinctions are occurring, they are no more devastating to mankind and the earth than the extinction of the dinosaurs. **Fourth**, the **only things undermining communities are prejudice, stupidity, too many regulations, and Federal government controls** which should be left to locals who know what is really going on. **Fifth**, there is nothing inherently wrong with some people being more financially successful than others. Equal hard work does not always mean equal pay or advancement. **Happiness, joy and life are not dependent on how much money you make but what you make of what you have.** **Sixth**, the unprecedented rise in human population has not even come close to

overburdening either earth's ecological or social system. By the way, if welfare stays out of the mix, when places get too crowded people either find more space or they stop having more children than they can care for. It is not unheard of for fertility rates to actually go down naturally, think modern industrialized civilization. **Seventh**, what is **threatening the foundations of global security is destroying the foundations** by any totalitarian Regime, whether is Islamic, Communistic, Communistic Green, Fascist, Socialist, or Climate Change Rules Based legally enforced Regime.

What ever the case may be, whether under Maitreya, or Imam Mahdi, **the Climate Change Regime is determined to control all aspects of life, as soon as possible, on this entire planet through enforced legally binding agreements.** The instrument that has been in the development stages since at least 1995 designed to pull all other legal instruments, agreements, and treaties together is called the International Covenant on Environment and Development. As we have discussed however a fifth edition of The International Covenant on Environment and Development is likely to be the document introduced as the "consensus" document with legal force that the Durban Deal called for. The consensus document Covenant may well be introduced this year at the UNFCCC meeting Qatar just in case Obama is not reelected.

The legally binding Instrument is adopted: what then?

Like Obama Care most will not realize what has happened until it is too late.

People, businesses cities or any one who defies the **Climate Change Regime** will have law suits filed against them and they will be made to pay for **polluting Mother Earth, like the law suite against Texas for cross state pollution.**

Those who dare to act as if any nation or state has a right to enforce their borders, and act like someone is an illegal immigrant, will immediately have law suits filed against their state, city, town, individual Sheriff or law enforcement agent, like the state of Arizona and sheriff Joe Arpaio.

How dare any farmers try to make a big business out of dairies or feed lot beef, or poultry, turkey, or pork! They **now are required by law to report how much poop they gather. They must, by law, report how they are managing that poop** after they gather it. They will be required to handle, transport, and store it in such a way that it will not contaminate any water source even if no water source but a drilled well is within 50 miles! They **will, by law report, how they are disposing of that poop** and guarantee that it will not pollute the atmosphere. All of this is already demanded by the EPA Mandatory Reporting ruling and going straight to the UN Climate Change Regime accounting department from the EPA.

The greatest threat to the world will not be **Islamic Jihadist suicide bombers** it will be Christians and their organizations who will be accused of promoting the pollution, pillaging, deforestation, and destruction of Mother Earth.

The global policeman army, who now has the mandate of **combating Climate Change** as the **primary national and global security threat, the US military**, will deal with any person, city, state, region, or nation that dares to fail to meet its CO2 GHG goals.

Welcome to the Climate Regime World **where every electronic transaction that you make is recorded**, every move outside your home is watched, every call you make is recorded. (This is already happening more than you know.)

Welcome to the world **where there is no longer any worry about credit theft, medical records, where you are, and who you are because your personal rfid will positively identify you, locate you, and have all pertinent personal records.**

Welcome to the fact that in your own home TV's, phones, computers, and Ipads with cameras can be turned on remotely to see you, and hear every word you speak.

Welcome to the world where rfid is required (Austin, San Antonio, and others) by your school that continually communicates your gps coordinates.

Welcome to the world where you can't eat too much, use too much water, drive too much, swim in or walk beside the Ocean, a lake except, a river, creek, or any body of naturally occurring water.

You will have world wide universal healthcare and all of your personal **information will be in the rfid chip that every one will be required to have for immediate access to personal medical records.** (These are already in use.)

You will live a long and healthy life or you will be "allowed to advance to a higher plane of existence" if too much health care costs are involved. (An Obama Care committee will decide when you have exceeded your limits.)

If you chose to marry someone of the opposite sex and have no birth defects of any kind you will be granted the privilege of having one child. If for any reason the nonbeing that is born is unsatisfactory you may abort it unless it is more than six months after the initial deposit (birth). There are already those who advocate abortion up to six month after birth. China's one child policy has received rave reviews among population control advocates.

Your use of electricity will be extremely curtailed because the Wind farm electricity is great on windy days but must always be stored somehow just as solar energy and the batteries used to store it contains toxic substances which might accidentally leak somehow and cause damage to the Holy Mother. We must not potentially harm The Mother. Electricity has now jumped from 11 cents a kilowatt hour to 11 dollars.

All fossil fuels have been banned. All old pipelines have been dug up. All evidence that there was ever oil, gas, or coal industries have been removed,

No more synthetic wrinkle free clothes for us, they are is all made of naturally occurring substances.

No plastics, on the few cars that are available or in use anywhere, the stuff hasn't existed since they outlawed oil use.

The world that has just been described is already here. Many people are already being implanted with medical rfids. If the government decides to implement involuntary rfids they can simply use micro rfids through vaccinations that are required for all children attending public schools and flu shots for the elderly.

Who knows they may have already started.

Any one who has ever read the Bible book of Revelation can suddenly see the possibility **that the time of Anti Christ is already here.** The Bible tells of a time when **all people will be required to take the number of the beast (Antichrist) or they will not be able to buy or sell anything.** (Revelation 13: 16-17)

The Last Word

In the final analysis people this writer would like to propose that the Climate Change Regime agenda come to a screeching halt.

This country needs to unsign itself from the Climate Change Regime treaty the UNFCCC. We need to stop giving billions of dollars to those who are actively striving to destroy this country and return the principles that made this nation great.

The very first step starts with each individual. We cannot change others but we can make choices for our self.

This author advocates and encourages every reader of this work to get a Bible and start reading the account of Jesus in the New Testament.

Look at the life He lived, how he treated friends and enemies, and ultimately died for all. The answer to the financial crisis is a life with priorities that do not embrace greed, jealousy, hatred, and contention.

The Christian ethic has always embraced hard, honest work. In the Bible we are led to believe that Jesus, who started his earthly ministry when he was thirty, was raised by Joseph a Carpenter. Jesus must have lived by that occupation prior to his itinerate ministry revealing the true God to the world.

Jesus valued nature and could appeal to the beauty of the flowers in the field and the birds of the air while reminding the people that their heavenly Father knew their needs and would provide for them.

Jesus loved a simple life in a simple time but still ran into opposition from Religious rulers and political rulers.

Jesus loved all people regardless of their race, sex, wealth, power or lack thereof.

Jesus loved his enemies but they hated him without cause.

Jesus came to his own Jewish people who had the sacred writings telling of God's work from the beginning and hope for the world through the faith of Abraham.

Jesus read from and taught the Law delivered to Moses, which in the ceremonial and sacrificial practices, revealed the work of God's Son and the way to God's presence.

Many of the Jews of the time of Jesus did believe that He was the promised Messiah. Many others did not see Jesus as the Messiah because He did not fit their preconceived notions of how He should implement the Kingdom of God. But to those who did believe that he was the Christ, the Son of God, He gave them eternal life.

The answer to the present anti Christian Regimes of the world is faith in Jesus.

The answer to the current state of war, hate, and unrest is the prince of peace who came not to condemn the world but that the world through Him could be saved.

My vision for this world is one where all men are free under the perfect law of liberty through Jesus Christ.

I dream of a world where the spiritual attributes of love, joy, peace, patience, kindness, goodness, faithfulness, gentleness, and self control fill the land.

Unfortunately, in our time most men's love has grown cold and a great deception is sweeping the land.

You have a choice.

What will it be?